



Gender and Inequality in World Literature: A Cultural Studies Reading of Vijay Tendulkar's Plays

1. **Mr.S.Rajasekar**, Assistant Professor/English, NPR Arts and Science College, Natham, Dindigul

rajasekars@nprcolleges.org

2. **Dr V.S.Saravanan**, Associate Professor/English, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Perambalur

vssaravanan6161@gmail.com

How to Cite this Article:

S.Rajasekar, (2026). Gender and Inequality in World Literature: A Cultural Studies Reading of Vijay Tendulkar's Plays. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, <i>02</i>(03).

<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i3.043>

License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i3.043>

Abstract

This paper examines the representation of gender inequality in Vijay Tendulkar's selected plays through the theoretical lens of Cultural Studies, situating his dramatic vision within the broader framework of world literature. Tendulkar's theatre is distinguished by its uncompromising exposure of patriarchal power structures operating through social institutions such as the family, marriage, law, and the media. Rather than portraying gender oppression as an outcome of individual moral failure, his plays reveal it as a culturally produced and institutionally sustained phenomenon. Drawing upon the insights of key Cultural Studies and feminist theorists such as Raymond Williams, Stuart Hall, Michel Foucault, and Judith Butler, the paper explores how ideology, representation, surveillance, and gender performativity function in Tendulkar's dramatic world. Through close textual analysis of *Silence! The Court Is in Session*, *Sakharam Binder*, and *Kamala*, the study demonstrates how women's bodies and voices are subjected to moral policing, domestic control, and public spectacle. By placing Tendulkar within world literature, the paper argues that his plays articulate universal patterns of oppression and resistance that resonate beyond the Indian context. The study concludes that Tendulkar's drama remains a significant cultural intervention that challenges dominant ideologies and contributes meaningfully to global conversations on gender justice.

Keywords: Gender inequality, Cultural Studies, World literature, Vijay Tendulkar, Patriarchy

Introduction

Gender inequality continues to be one of the most persistent social problems across cultures and historical periods. Despite sustained movements for women's rights and gender equality, discrimination based on gender remains deeply embedded within social institutions, cultural practices, and everyday interactions. These inequalities manifest not only in visible forms such as economic disparity and political exclusion but also in subtle and normalized practices that regulate women's bodies, voices, and choices. Literature has historically played a crucial role in exposing and interrogating these structures of inequality, offering critical insights into the cultural mechanisms through which oppression is sustained.



Among literary forms, drama occupies a potent position in representing social conflict. Unlike narrative prose, drama unfolds through dialogue and performance, directly confronting audiences with lived experiences of power, domination, and resistance. The stage becomes a cultural space where ideological tensions are enacted and contested. Consequently, modern drama has often served as a site for critiquing patriarchal authority and social hypocrisy. In this context, the plays of Vijay Tendulkar occupy a central place in Indian theatre for their bold and unsettling examination of gender relations.

Within the discourse of world literature, texts that address gender injustice frequently transcend national and cultural boundaries because the experiences they depict resonate across societies. World literature, as David Damrosch argues, is not simply a canon of international texts but a mode of reading that emphasizes circulation, translation, and cross-cultural significance. Tendulkar's plays, though rooted in specific Indian social contexts, articulate concerns that are globally recognizable, such as the policing of female sexuality, domestic violence, and institutional hypocrisy.

Vijay Tendulkar (1928–2008) emerged as one of the most influential voices in post-independence Indian theatre. Known for his psychological realism and moral ambiguity, Tendulkar consistently challenged idealized representations of society. His plays expose the violence—both symbolic and physical—embedded in everyday social relations. Women characters in his drama are often subjected to intense scrutiny and control, revealing how patriarchy operates through both public institutions and private spaces. This paper employs Cultural Studies as an analytical framework to examine gender inequality in *Silence! The Court Is in Session*, *Sakharam Binder*, and *Kamala*, and argues that Tendulkar's critique of patriarchy positions him as a significant contributor to world literature.

Literature Review

The critical study of gender inequality in literature has been profoundly shaped by feminist theory and Cultural Studies. Simone de Beauvoir's seminal assertion that woman is culturally constructed as the "Other" provided the foundation for feminist literary criticism that interrogates patriarchal representation in texts. Subsequent feminist scholars extended this insight to explore how literature reinforces or resists dominant gender ideologies.

Cultural Studies, particularly as developed by Raymond Williams and Stuart Hall, offers an interdisciplinary framework for understanding literature as a cultural practice embedded within systems of power. Williams conceptualized culture as a "whole way of life," emphasizing that literary texts do not merely reflect society but actively participate in shaping social values. Stuart Hall's theory of representation further explains how meaning is produced through discourse and how dominant cultural narratives legitimize unequal power relations. From this perspective, gender inequality in literature is not simply depicted but culturally constructed.

Feminist Cultural Studies integrates these ideas with an explicit focus on gender. Judith Butler's theory of gender performativity challenges essentialist notions of gender by arguing that gender identity is constituted through repeated social performances. Michel Foucault's analyses of power, surveillance, and discipline illuminate how institutions regulate bodies and normalize certain forms of behavior. Together, these theoretical perspectives reveal patriarchy as a complex network of cultural practices rather than a singular source of authority.

Indian theatre scholarship has increasingly applied these frameworks to the study of modern drama. Critics such as Aparna Dharwadkar and T. Mukherjee have highlighted how post-independence Indian theatre reflects social tensions related to class, caste, and gender. Tendulkar's plays have attracted sustained critical attention for their candid engagement with taboo subjects such as female sexuality, domestic violence, and moral hypocrisy. However, situating Tendulkar within world literature allows for a broader understanding of the global relevance of his critique of patriarchy.

Theoretical Framework

This paper draws upon Cultural Studies and feminist theory to analyze gender inequality in Tendulkar's plays. Cultural Studies enables an examination of literary texts in relation to ideology, power relations, and social institutions. Raymond Williams's concept of culture as a dynamic process underscores the idea that patriarchy is



culturally produced and historically sustained. Stuart Hall's theory of representation provides tools for analyzing how gendered meanings are constructed and circulated through language and performance.

Judith Butler's notion of gender performativity is particularly relevant to Tendulkar's drama, where women are often punished for deviating from prescribed gender roles. Butler's theory suggests that gender norms are enforced through repetition and social sanction, a process clearly dramatized in Tendulkar's plays. Foucault's insights into surveillance and discipline further illuminate how institutions such as the court, the family, and the media function as mechanisms of control. By integrating these perspectives, the paper conceptualizes patriarchy as a structural and ideological system rather than an individual moral failing.

Textual Analysis

In *Silence! The Court Is in Session*; Tendulkar employs the device of a mock trial to expose the cultural mechanisms of moral surveillance. Miss Benare's personal life becomes the subject of public scrutiny, revealing the patriarchal double standards governing female sexuality. While male characters escape accountability, Benare is subjected to humiliation and silencing. The courtroom symbolizes institutional authority, and the trial dramatizes how society disciplines women who transgress normative expectations. Benare's eventual silencing reflects the broader cultural suppression of female agency.

Sakharam Binder shifts the focus from public institutions to the private sphere of domestic life. Sakharam's rejection of conventional marriage initially appears progressive, yet his treatment of women reproduces patriarchal domination. Through the contrasting characters of Laxmi and Champa, Tendulkar explores internalized oppression and resistance. Laxmi embodies submissive femininity shaped by cultural conditioning, while Champa represents defiance that is ultimately punished. The play reveals domestic violence not as an aberration but as a normalized outcome of unequal power relations within the household.

In *Kamala*, Tendulkar extends his critique to the media and liberal reformist discourse. The protagonist Jaisingh's purchase and public display of a tribal woman exposes the commodification of marginalized female bodies. Kamala's objectification at a press conference reveals the intersection of gender and class oppression, demonstrating how even progressive rhetoric can reinforce inequality when it fails to challenge underlying power structures. The play critiques the performative nature of liberal masculinity and exposes the ethical limitations of reformist approaches to gender justice.

Discussion

Across these plays, Tendulkar consistently portrays gender inequality as a culturally sustained phenomenon. Women are subjected to moral policing, domestic control, and public spectacle, while male authority remains largely unquestioned. A key insight emerging from this analysis is that patriarchy operates through both coercion and consent. Characters such as Laxmi internalize oppressive norms, while institutions like the court and the media legitimize unequal power relations.

From a world literature perspective, Tendulkar's themes resonate beyond the Indian context. Similar patterns of gendered oppression can be found in global realist drama, reinforcing the universality of his concerns. Tendulkar's refusal to offer moral closure compels audiences to confront their own complicity in sustaining inequality, positioning his theatre as a powerful form of cultural critique.

Conclusion

This paper has examined gender inequality in Vijay Tendulkar's plays through a Cultural Studies and world literature framework. By analyzing *Silence! The Court Is in Session*, *Sakharam Binder*, and *Kamala*, the study demonstrates how patriarchy is culturally constructed and institutionally enforced. Tendulkar's theatre exposes the mechanisms through which women's bodies and voices are regulated and marginalised. Situating Tendulkar within world literature underscores the transnational relevance of his dramatic vision. Although rooted in Indian society, his critique of



gender inequality speaks to universal human experiences of power, resistance, and injustice. Tendulkar's plays remain culturally and politically relevant, encouraging critical reflection on contemporary debates surrounding gender justice.

Ultimately, Tendulkar's theatre affirms the role of literature as a transformative cultural force—one that not only reflects social realities but also challenges audiences to imagine more equitable futures.

References

1. Abrams, M. H. *A Glossary of Literary Terms*. 9th ed., Cengage Learning, 2015.
2. Beauvoir, Simone de. *The Second Sex*. Translated by Constance Borde and Sheila Malovany-Chevallier, Vintage Books, 2011.
3. Belsey, Catherine. *Poststructuralism: A Very Short Introduction*. Oxford University Press, 2002.
4. Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990.
5. Chaudhuri, Una. *Staging Place: The Geography of Modern Drama*. University of Michigan Press, 1997.
6. Dharwadker, Aparna. *Theatres of Independence: Drama, Theory, and Urban Performance in India since 1947*. University of Iowa Press, 2005.
7. Eagleton, Terry. *Literary Theory: An Introduction*. 2nd ed., Blackwell Publishing, 2008.
8. Foucault, Michel. *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings 1972–1977*. Edited by Colin Gordon, Pantheon Books, 1980.
9. Gilbert, Sandra M., and Susan Gubar. *The Madwoman in the Attic*. Yale University Press, 2000.
10. Hall, Stuart. *Representation: Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices*. Sage Publications, 1997.
11. hooks, bell. *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Center*. 2nd ed., Pluto Press, 2000.
12. Loomba, Ania. *Colonialism/Postcolonialism*. 3rd ed., Routledge, 2015.
13. Moi, Toril. *Sexual/Textual Politics: Feminist Literary Theory*. Routledge, 2002.
14. Mukherjee, T. *Gender and Modernity in Indian Theatre*. Oxford University Press, 2011.
15. Nayar, Pramod K. *Contemporary Literary and Cultural Theory: From Structuralism to Ecocriticism*. Pearson Education, 2009.
16. Said, Edward W. *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books, 1978.
17. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 271–313.
18. Tendulkar, Vijay. *Collected Plays in Translation*. Oxford University Press, 2001.
19. Williams, Raymond. *Culture*. Fontana Press, 1981.
20. Williams, Raymond. *Marxism and Literature*. Oxford University Press, 1977.