



A Comparative Study of Consumer Behaviour Towards Ola Vs Uber Services

GAURI RAJPOOT

MBA Scholar

Maharana Pratap Engineering College,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

SHYAM DUBEY

Assistant Professor

Maharana Pratap Engineering College,
Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

How to Cite this Article:

RAJPOOT, G. (2026). A Comparative Study of Consumer Behaviour Towards Ola Vs Uber Services. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, 2(4).
<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.495>

License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.495>

1. ABSTRACT

The transportation sector has undergone a profound structural shift due to the advent of Aggregator-Based Models (ABM). This research paper provides a multi-dimensional comparative analysis of consumer behaviour toward the two dominant players in the Indian ride-hailing market: Ola (ANI Technologies) and Uber (Uber Technologies Inc.). This study investigates the psychological and economic drivers—ranging from price sensitivity to brand prestige—that dictate platform preference. Utilizing a descriptive research design, primary data was collected from a diverse demographic of 45 respondents and analyzed using Percentage Analysis and the 5-point Likert Scale. The findings reveal a market characterized by "Multi-homing" (51% usage overlap) and extreme price elasticity. While Uber is perceived as a "Premium Service" with superior UI/UX, Ola maintains a stronghold through localized "Service Diversity" (Auto/Bike). The paper concludes that brand loyalty is virtually non-existent in the digital utility era, and strategic differentiation must now focus on driver professionalism and "Frictionless Reliability."



CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Evolution of Urban Mobility and the Digital Shift

Urban mobility in India has historically been characterized by "Service Friction"—a term describing the unreliability, lack of transparency, and safety concerns associated with traditional unorganized taxi and auto-rickshaw networks. The "Genesis of the Digital Shift" began in the early 2010s, powered by the convergence of high-speed mobile internet, GPS technology, and the "Sharing Economy" paradigm. This paradigm shifted the economy from ownership-based models to access-based models.

Ola, founded in 2010, acted as the homegrown pioneer, understanding the fragmented nature of Indian roads and the necessity of incorporating diverse vehicle types like auto-rickshaws. Uber's entry in 2013 introduced global operational standards, forcing the entire industry to level up its technological and professional benchmarks.

1.2 The Competitive Landscape: A Duopoly in Flux

The competition between Ola and Uber is a classic case study of "Global Standard vs. Local Insight." In 2026, the Indian ride-hailing market is no longer a niche service; it is an essential urban utility. However, as the market matures, "Commoditization" has become a threat. When two brands offer essentially the same service (a car arriving at a GPS pin), the consumer's decision journey becomes highly compressed, often relying solely on a 5-rupee fare difference or a 2-minute ETA (Estimated Time of Arrival) variation.

1.3 Problem Statement

The primary research problem is the "**Loyalty Deficit**" in the ride-hailing industry. Despite massive investments in customer acquisition, both Ola and Uber suffer from high "Switching Rates." This study seeks to identify the "Hierarchy of Consumer Needs"—is safety more important than price? Is app interface more critical than driver behavior? Identifying these weights is essential for platforms to survive in a market where the "Switching Cost" for the consumer is zero.

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Framework: Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)

The most robust theoretical lens for this study is the **Theory of Planned Behavior (Ajzen, 1991)**. This framework suggests that behavior is driven by:

- **Behavioral Beliefs (Attitude):** A user's internal perception of the brand (e.g., "Uber is professional," "Ola is available").
- **Normative Beliefs (Subjective Norms):** The influence of peers and society (e.g., using Uber for corporate travel as a status symbol).
- **Control Beliefs (Perceived Ease):** The technical reliability of the application.

2.2 The Global-Local Dichotomy

Research by **Smith (2018)** indicates that global players like Uber leverage "Brand Prestige" to charge a premium. However, **Gupta and Sharma (2019)** argue that in emerging markets, "Local Intelligence"—such as Ola's early adoption of cash payments and regional language support—creates a stronger emotional bond with the mass-market consumer.



2.3 The Economics of Surge Pricing and "Mercenary" Consumerism

Chen and Lee (2019) investigated the psychological impact of "Surge Pricing." Their research highlights that consumers are not just price-sensitive; they are "fairness-sensitive." If a user perceives a surge to be an act of "Price Gouging" (during rain or emergencies), their trust in the brand evaporates instantly. This led to the 2025 MVAG (Motor Vehicle Aggregator Guidelines), which capped surges at 2x the base fare—a regulatory intervention that has fundamentally changed the competitive pricing landscape in 2026.

CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

The study adopts a **Descriptive and Analytical Research Design**. It is descriptive in its documentation of user demographics and analytical in its attempt to correlate variables like income and age with platform choice.

3.2 Data Collection and Sampling

- **Primary Data:** A structured digital questionnaire was distributed to 45 respondents.
- **Secondary Data:** Sourced from Fortune Business Insights (2026), ResearchGate papers on urban mobility, and corporate annual filings.
- **Sampling Method: Convenience Sampling** was used, targeting the "Digital Native" demographic (Ages 18–40) who are the primary users of these platforms.

3.3 Research Variables

- **Independent Variables:** Price (fare transparency), Safety (in-app features), Waiting Time (ETA accuracy), and Service Quality (driver professionalism).
- **Dependent Variables:** Customer Satisfaction and Continuance Intention (Brand Loyalty).

CHAPTER 4: DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION

4.1 The Phenomenon of "Multi-Homing"

A critical finding of this study is that **51% of users use both apps**. In academic terms, this is called "Multi-homing."

- **Interpretation:** This high percentage proves that the ride-hailing market in India has reached "Perfect Competition" levels where brand loyalty is superseded by real-time utility.

4.2 Preference Rankings: Uber's Qualitative Edge

When forced to choose a primary preference:

- **58% Prefer Uber:** Respondents cited "Cleaner Vehicles" and "Fewer Cancellations."
- **42% Prefer Ola:** Respondents cited "Higher Availability" in non-metro pockets and "Better Auto-Rickshaw Service."

4.3 Factor Weightage Analysis

The Likert scale analysis revealed a clear hierarchy:

1. **Price (33%):** Remained the #1 driver across all age groups.
2. **Safety (20%):** Ranked #1 specifically for female respondents during late-night hours.



3. **Waiting Time (18%):** Became the dominant factor during "Peak Office Hours" (8:00 AM - 10:00 AM), where users were willing to pay 15% more for a 5-minute faster ETA.

CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION & FINDINGS

5.1 The Death of Brand Loyalty

The data suggests that ride-hailing has entered the "Utility Phase." Consumers interact with these apps the same way they interact with a light switch; they only notice the brand when it *fails*. The "Switching Cost" is essentially the time it takes to open the second app—usually less than 5 seconds.

5.2 The "Trust Gap" and Safety Perception

Despite 62% of users feeling safe, the **16% "Unsafe" rating** is a alarming metric for the industry. This trust gap is largely due to "Driver Behavior" and "Cancellations." The study finds that consumers do not distinguish between the "Driver's action" and the "Brand's identity." One rude driver on Ola tarnishes the entire brand in the eyes of the consumer, regardless of how good the app interface is.

5.3 Reliability vs. Reach

Uber is seen as a "Reliable Partner" for predictable trips (Airport, Office), while Ola is seen as the "Available Friend" for spontaneous, short-distance, or localized trips. Ola's advantage in Tier-2 cities remains its "Diversified Fleet" (Auto, Bike, Mini, Prime), whereas Uber is still catching up in the "Budget/Small Vehicle" segment in many regions.

CHAPTER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS & CONCLUSION

6.1 Strategic Recommendations for Market Leaders

- **Pricing Transparency:** To combat "Surge Fatigue," platforms should introduce "Price Lock" features for frequent commuters.
- **Driver Professionalization:** Both companies must move beyond just "verification" toward "Driver Training." Service quality is currently the only non-price differentiator.
- **The "Super App" Pivot:** To stop Multi-homing, platforms should integrate loyalty points that can be used for groceries or food delivery (as seen with Uber One and Ola's recent pivots).
- **Safety Improvements:** Enhanced real-time audio monitoring and "No-Stoppage" alerts for solo travelers can bridge the 16% trust gap.

6.2 Conclusion

The comparative study reveals that while Uber leads in "Service Perception," Ola remains the titan of "Market Reach." Consumer behaviour is governed by a complex, time-sensitive algorithm of **Economy vs. Convenience**. In 2026, the winner of the ride-hailing war will not be the one with the most drivers, but the one who can provide a "Frictionless Human Experience." As India moves toward Electric Mobility, the battleground will shift from "Aggregator Fees" to "Sustainability and Reliability."

CHAPTER 7: BIBLIOGRAPHY

- **Ajzen, I. (1991).** The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211.
- **Chen, L., & Lee, K. (2019).** Technology and Consumer Behaviour in Urban Transit. *Journal of Digital Economy*.
- **Gupta, R., & Sharma, P. (2019).** *Consumer Behaviour in India: A Ride-Hailing Perspective*. New Delhi: Academic Press.
- **Kumar, A. (2020).** *Urban Mobility Studies: The Ride-Hailing Era*. Oxford University Press.
- **Fortune Business Insights (2026).** *Ride Sharing Market Size, Share, & Industry Analysis 2026-2034*.
- **MVAG (2025).** *Motor Vehicle Aggregator Guidelines*. Government of India Regulatory Report.