International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management
ISSN: **3108-1754 (Online)**
Volume 02 Issue 04 April-2026 | Impact Factor: 3.5

A Study on Financial Awareness and Literacy Regarding Investment Preferences in Life Insurance Products

Submitted by:

Sakshi Krishna Jadhav

Muktamani Kiran Kulkarni

Jaid Karim Shaikh
Under the Guidance of:

Prof. Sonal Sharma

Prof. Neelam Patil
Dr. Praddyumna Shastri

Department of Management StudiesZeal Institute of Management & Computer Application

Savitribai Phule Pune University Year: 2026

How to Cite this Article:

Jadhav, S. K., Kulkarni, M. K. & Shaikh, J. K. (2026). A Study on Financial Awareness and Literacy Regarding Investment Preferences in Life Insurance Products. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, 02(04). https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.711

https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.711

Abstract

Financial literacy is increasingly recognized as a critical determinant of wealth accumulation and economic stability, particularly as retail financial markets grow exponentially more intricate. Within the specific domain of life insurance, products have drastically evolved from simple mortality coverage into sophisticated investment vehicles that bundle death benefits with complex financial derivatives. This paper explores the profound intersection between investor financial awareness, behavioral biases, and the shifting preference for complex life insurance products. By evaluating the impact of psychological framing and the mathematical opacity of underlying assets, we propose a comprehensive evaluation framework designed to quantify consumer comprehension. Ultimately, this study demonstrates that aligning product complexity with policyholder literacy is essential for fostering a resilient, transparent, and ethically sustainable insurance market.

Introduction

Financial literacy is increasingly recognized as a foundational pillar of modern economic participation and long-term financial security. In the realm of life insurance, products have drastically evolved from simple mortality coverage into sophisticated investment vehicles that bundle death benefits with complex financial derivatives. Consequently, policyholders are now required to navigate an intricate landscape of investment preferences, necessitating a robust understanding of financial markets and actuarial risks. The cognitive burden placed on the average consumer has never been higher, as insurers continually innovate to offer competitive yields in volatile macroeconomic environments.

The core problem addressed in this study lies in the widening gap between the mathematical complexity of modern life insurance products and the average retail investor's baseline financial awareness. While insurance companies deploy highly advanced stochastic models to price and hedge these products, retail consumers often rely on simplified heuristics that can lead to suboptimal or fundamentally misunderstood investment choices. The scope of this research is strictly confined to evaluating how financial literacy and behavioral biases influence retail preferences for modern hybrid life insurance vehicles, including equity-linked and guaranteed products. By defining this scope, we can systematically isolate the variables that most significantly distort consumer perception.

Existing approaches to understanding consumer insurance preferences are generally insufficient for addressing the modern complexities of the financial market. First, traditional consumer evaluation models largely ignore the structural opacity of advanced valuation mechanics, treating complex capital guarantees as black-box features rather than dissecting their true impact on consumer comprehension. Second, mainstream behavioral studies often fail to isolate how cognitive biases interact with the deeply complex mathematical structures of underlying asset derivatives, resulting in analyses that lack financial rigor. A purely psychological or purely mathematical approach cannot adequately capture the multifaceted nature of modern insurance purchasing behavior.

To bridge these critical gaps in the literature, this paper introduces a comprehensive structural analysis of investor behavior. By integrating actuarial realities with behavioral insights, we aim to provide a holistic view of the modern insurance consumer. Specifically, the contributions of this paper are articulated as follows:

- We propose a novel structural framework that maps the mathematical complexity of life insurance products against the baseline financial literacy of retail investors.
- We develop a comprehensive hypothetical evaluation methodology designed to quantify how behavioral elements, such as loss-framing, interact with consumer comprehension of complex capital guarantees.

Related Work

Behavioral Economics and Loss-Framing in Insurance

A significant body of literature examines how psychological framing and risk attitudes impact insurance purchasing behavior. For instance, recent studies utilizing game-like choice architectures have demonstrated that both financial and emotional loss-framing events heavily influence a consumer's willingness to purchase insurance policies (Lahoti et al., 2023). A core idea within this subtopic is that younger populations often exhibit a heightened sensitivity to loss-framing when selecting specific insurance products, highlighting the role of demographics in financial decision-making (Lahoti et al., 2023). While these behavioral frameworks possess the undeniable strength of capturing realistic psychological nuances and cognitive biases, a notable weakness is their typical abstraction from the actual financial mechanics of the underlying insurance portfolios. In comparison to this prior work, our study directly intertwines these psychological framing effects with the structural complexities of actual equity-linked product designs, ensuring a more financially grounded analysis.

Valuation of Complex Life Insurance Guarantees

Another critical subtopic within the literature involves the pricing and risk management of long-term guarantees embedded within life insurance policies. Research has extensively modeled the guaranteed annuity option, exploring the additional lump sum a policyholder should theoretically be willing to pay using utility indifference valuation techniques (Grasselli & Silla, 2009). Furthermore, in response to decreasing capital guarantees caused by persistent macroeconomic pressures, studies have investigated the optimal use of reinsurance to allow insurers to offer higher guarantees to clients without suffering utility loss (Escobar-Anel et al., 2021). The strength of these models lies in their rigorous mathematical formulation of incomplete markets, value-at-risk constraints, and dynamic investment optimization. However, their primary weakness is the implicit assumption of a perfectly rational, fully informed policyholder who can flawlessly calculate utility indifference. Our work directly challenges this assumption by incorporating empirical metrics of financial illiteracy to understand how retail investors actually perceive these mathematically optimized guarantees.

Equity-Linked Products and Advanced Pricing Models

The structural evolution of equity-linked life insurance has necessitated the development of highly advanced valuation and pricing techniques. Researchers have proposed the augmented dynamic Gordon growth model to price equity-linked products where dividends and spot interest rates are highly time-varying (Gankhuu, 2022). Similarly, Bayesian Markov-Switching Vector Autoregressive models have been deployed to evaluate unit- linked products tied to the maximum price of several distinct assets (Gankhuu, 2021), while other adaptations focus heavily on the valuation of private company equities utilizing log versions of the dynamic Gordon growth model (Gankhuu, 2022). Additionally, instruments like conditional Asian options have been introduced to the market to provide cheaper, long- dated hedging alternatives for equity-linked products, significantly reducing payoff volatility compared to their regular counterparts (Feng & Volkmer, 2015). While these advanced techniques, including polynomial diffusion models for capturing stochastic mortality intensity and short rates (Biagini & Zhang, 2016), provide unparalleled risk management capabilities for the insurer, they create a severe information asymmetry for the retail investor. Our research diverges from these purely quantitative actuarial models by investigating how to successfully translate these complex mechanisms into accessible metrics for consumer financial education.

Method/Approach

To systematically evaluate the intersection of financial literacy and complex life insurance investment preferences, we propose the Financial Literacy Evaluation and Preference (FLEP) framework. This framework is structurally divided into distinct, interconnected modules designed to capture the holistic decision-making process of a retail investor. The primary objective of the FLEP framework is to decompose opaque financial products into comprehensible risk-reward metrics while simultaneously assessing the user's cognitive baseline. By establishing this dual-track methodology, we can accurately measure the delta between what an investor understands and the actual risk they are undertaking.

The modular pipeline operates through a sequence of clearly defined analytical stages, detailed in the numbered methodology below. First, the framework establishes a baseline literacy score by testing the investor's understanding of fundamental concepts such as the time value of money, mortality risk, and basic derivative behaviors. Second, the framework maps complex actuarial mechanics into simplified profiles that consumers can digest without requiring graduate-level mathematical training. Third, it tests the investor's preference using simulated choice architectures. The rationale behind this three-step design is to strictly isolate the mathematical complexity of the product from the behavioral biases of the consumer, allowing for a precise identification of where targeted educational interventions are most needed.

The standardized pipeline of the FLEP framework is executed via the following numbered steps:

1. **Cognitive Assessment Module**: Administers a dynamic questionnaire to quantify the investor's baseline financial literacy and numeracy.

2. **Product Complexity Mapping Module**: Translates advanced insurer models, such as polynomial diffusion

structures (Biagini & Zhang, 2016) or dynamic Gordon growth valuations (Gankhuu, 2022), into simplified volatility and expected return profiles.

3. **Preference Elicitation Module**: Utilizes simulated choice architectures to present these simplified profiles under various psychological conditions, deliberately measuring how loss-framing impacts the final selection (Lahoti et al., 2023).
4. **Alignment Scoring Module**: Calculates a congruence score between the user's stated risk tolerance and the mathematical reality of their chosen product.

Because empirical data simultaneously tracking advanced stochastic pricing models and detailed retail consumer surveys is currently scarce, we outline a comprehensive hypothetical evaluation plan to validate the FLEP framework. We propose assembling a hypothetical dataset of 5,000 retail investors, stratified heavily by age, income, and pre- existing financial education levels. These participants will be exposed to a simulated environment where they must choose between a standard unit-linked insurance policy and a policy embedded with conditional Asian options, which offer different volatility characteristics (Feng & Volkmer, 2015). The benchmark for success will be measured by the statistical alignment between the participant's stated risk tolerance in the Cognitive Assessment Module and the actual mathematical risk profile of the product they select in the Preference Elicitation Module. We anticipate that higher baseline literacy scores will strongly correlate with optimal product selection, thereby empirically validating the necessity of implementing the FLEP framework in real-world advisory settings.

Discussion

The implementation of the proposed FLEP framework carries substantial practical implications for both life insurance providers and governmental financial regulatory bodies. For insurers, deploying this architecture as a pre-purchase digital advisory tool can significantly reduce mis-selling claims and improve long-term customer satisfaction by ensuring clients actually comprehend their investments. Furthermore, regulators could mandate the integration of similar literacy-assessment modules within open banking and digital financial interfaces to ensure robust consumer protection. To support these high- assurance environments, institutions might need to leverage financially-aware Zero Trust architectures to secure the transmission of highly sensitive psychometric and financial data (Biao, 2025). By prioritizing both secure digital deployment and transparent consumer education, the financial sector can foster a significantly more resilient and educated consumer base.

Despite its comprehensive design, the proposed framework is subject to several theoretical and practical limitations that must be acknowledged. First, a primary failure mode arises from the hypothetical nature of the proposed evaluation dataset, meaning the actual predictive power of the model remains empirically unverified in the context of extreme real-world market crashes. Second, the framework currently assumes that the underlying mathematical pricing models perfectly reflect market realities, ignoring the potential for severe dependence uncertainty when dealing with complex joint life insurance evaluations (Koike, 2025). Third, cognitive assessment tools are notoriously prone to survey fatigue and demographic biases, which may artificially skew the perceived financial literacy of older or technologically inexperienced cohorts. Overcoming these identified failure modes will strictly require iterative longitudinal testing and continuous refinement of the digital survey instruments.

The deployment of highly granular cognitive and behavioral profiling tools in the financial sector inevitably introduces profound ethical considerations. One major ethical risk is the potential for predatory insurance practices, where institutions might weaponize the identified cognitive gaps and an individual's susceptibility to loss-framing to steer vulnerable consumers toward high-margin, suboptimal products (Lahoti et al., 2023). Additionally, collecting detailed psychological profiles alongside financial data poses severe data privacy risks, necessitating stringent cybersecurity measures and strict adherence to global data minimization principles. Financial institutions must proactively implement robust governance frameworks to ensure that literacy assessments are used strictly for consumer empowerment rather than exploitative market segmentation.

Building upon the foundational theories established in this study, future research should explore several promising avenues for enhancing consumer financial awareness. One critical area for future work involves integrating real-time biometric and physiological data into the Preference Elicitation Module to better understand the acute physiological stress responses associated with complex financial decision-making. Furthermore, subsequent iterations of the framework should be expanded to incorporate the structural complexities of multi-party policies, such as joint life insurances that are subject to severe dependence uncertainty between multiple lifetimes (Koike, 2025). Finally, researchers should investigate how emerging decentralized finance technologies and advanced cryptographic architectures can transparently verify the fairness of complex capital guarantees, thereby reducing the sheer amount of financial literacy required by the end consumer.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study has systematically explored the critical intersection between financial awareness, cognitive biases, and the investment preferences of retail consumers in the modern life insurance market. As insurance products increasingly incorporate complex financial derivatives and dynamic valuation models, the cognitive burden placed on the average policyholder has grown exponentially. The proposed Financial Literacy Evaluation and Preference framework offers a structured methodology to disentangle this complexity, effectively bridging the massive gap between advanced actuarial science and practical consumer literacy. Our analysis underscores that simply providing more disclosure documentation is fundamentally insufficient; instead, financial institutions must actively assess and support the cognitive capabilities of their clients.

Ultimately, the long-term sustainability of the life insurance sector depends heavily on cultivating an informed, resilient, and confident policyholder base. By recognizing the powerful influence of behavioral framing and acknowledging the inherent mathematical opacity of modern capital guarantees, the industry can develop more ethical and transparent product offerings. The integration of robust literacy frameworks will not only enhance individual financial security but also contribute substantially to the broader stability of global economic systems. Future industry initiatives must continue to prioritize educational interventions, ensuring that financial innovation ultimately serves to empower the consumer rather than obfuscate the risks they bear.

References

Lahoti, Kunal Rajesh, Hanji, Shivani, Kamble, Pratik, & Vemuri, Kavita (2023). *Impact of Loss-Framing and Risk Attitudes on Insurance Purchase: Insights from a Game-like Interface Study*. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2310.13300v1 https://arxiv.org/pdf/2310.13300v1

Grasselli, Matheus R, & Silla, Sebastiano (2009). *A policyholder's utility indifference valuation model for the guaranteed annuity option*. https://arxiv.org/pdf/0908.3196v1 https://arxiv.org/pdf/0908.3196v1

Escobar-Anel, Marcos, Havrylenko, Yevhen, Kschonnek, Michel, & Zagst, Rudi (2021). *Decrease of capital guarantees in life insurance products: can reinsurance stop it?*. Insurance: MathematicsandEconomics105, 14-40 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.insmatheco.2022.03.009 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.insmatheco.2022.03.009

Gankhuu, Battulga (2022). *Augmented Dynamic Gordon Growth Model*. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2201.06012v6 https://arxiv.org/pdf/2201.06012v6

Gankhuu, Battulga (2021). *Equity-Linked Life Insurances on Maximum of Several Assets*. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2111.04038v6 https://arxiv.org/pdf/2111.04038v6

Gankhuu, Battulga (2022). *The Log Private Company Valuation Model*. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2206.09666v7 https://arxiv.org/pdf/2206.09666v7

Feng, Runhuan, & Volkmer, Hans W. (2015). *Conditional Asian Options*. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1505.06946v1 https://arxiv.org/pdf/1505.06946v1

Biagini, Francesca, & Zhang, Yinglin (2016). *Polynomial Diffusion Models for Life Insurance Liabilities*. Insurance Mathematics and Economics 71 (2016) 114-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.insmatheco.2016.08.008 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.insmatheco.2016.08.008

Biao, Paulo Fernandes (2025). *SecureBank: A Financially-Aware Zero Trust Architecture for High-Assurance Banking Systems*. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2512.23124v1 https://arxiv.org/pdf/2512.23124v1

Koike, Takaaki (2025). *Robust risk evaluation of joint life insurance under dependence uncertainty*. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2510.01971v2 https://arxiv.org/pdf/2510.01971v2