



Corporate Storytelling for Alignment: Using Storytelling to Communicate Vision and Values Effectively

Preneetha Bernia A, Raghul A.S, Rahul Naren R

Student, II MBA, Department of Management Studies

Coimbatore Institute of Technology Coimbatore Tamil Nadu, India.

Student, II MBA, Department of Management Studies

Coimbatore Institute of Technology Coimbatore Tamil Nadu, India.

Student, II MBA, Department of Management Studies

Coimbatore Institute of Technology Coimbatore Tamil Nadu, India.

How to Cite this Article:

A, P. B., A.S, R. & R, R. N. (2026). Corporate Storytelling for Alignment: using Storytelling to Communicate Vision and Values Effectively. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, <i>02</i>(04).

<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.409>

License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.409>

Abstract: Companies use storytelling to get everyone on the same page. It helps them communicate their vision and values to employees. We do not know much about how it works. So we did a study to find out how companies use stories to communicate their vision and values. We wanted to know how it helps employees understand and believe in what the company's doing.

We used a mixed-methods approach. This means we looked at a lot of research and also studied four companies in industries. We came up with a model called the Narrative Alignment Framework. It shows how storytelling helps companies get everyone aligned. We found that storytelling works in three ways: it resonates with people it helps them identify with the company. It shows them what the company values.

We also found that leadership authenticity, specificity and narrative consistency are important for making sure the alignment lasts. This study helps us understand how storytelling can be used to lead companies.

Keywords: storytelling alignment, vision communication, values communication, narrative leadership organizational culture, sense making, employee engagement, internal communication.



Introduction

Companies are complex. Have a lot of different people working for them. It is hard to get everyone to work towards the goals. Traditional ways of managing companies like mission statements and performance metrics do not work well. That is why corporate storytelling is becoming more popular. It is a way for companies to communicate their vision and values in a way that resonates with people. Stories are easy to understand and remember. They help people connect with the company on a level.

This study looks at how corporate storytelling can be used to align employees with the company's vision and values. We ask three questions: how does storytelling help companies get everyone aligned, what conditions make it work best, and how can leaders use storytelling effectively.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 looks at the research that has already been done on storytelling and organizational alignment. Section 3 explains how we did our study. Section 4 presents our findings. Section 5 talks about what our study means for companies and how they can use storytelling to lead. Section 6 sums up what we found. Suggests what future research could look at.

Literature Review

2.1 Storytelling as Organizational Communication

Companies are not rational systems. They are also made up of people who create meaning and understanding. Storytelling is one way that people in companies make sense of what's going on. It helps them understand the company's vision and values.

There are two ways that people think: the way, which uses logic and argument and the narrative way, which uses stories and human experience. Leaders who only use the way may not be able to get people to emotionally invest in the company's vision. Narrative communication on the hand helps people identify with the company and its values.

2.2 Organizational Alignment: Definitions and Dimensions

Organizational alignment is when everyone in the company is working towards the goals. It is not about following rules but about believing in what the company's doing. We define alignment as how much employees understand, believe in and act on the company's vision and values.

Alignment is different from compliance. Compliance is when people do what they are told because they have to. Alignment is when people do what they believe in because they want to.

2.3 Narrative Mechanisms of Alignment

Stories help people make sense of the company and its vision. They provide a framework for understanding what is going on. Stories also help people identify with the company and its values. When people see themselves in the company's stories they are more likely to believe in what the company's doing.

Stories also convey the company's values in a way that's easy to understand. They provide examples of how the company's values lived out in real life.

2.4 Leadership Authenticity and Narrative Credibility



Leaders need to be authentic when they tell stories. If they not people will not believe them . Authentic leaders are transparent, self-aware and consistent. They do what they say are they will do.

When leaders are authentic their stories are more believable. People are more likely to trust them and believe in what they're saying.

2.5 Research Gap and Theoretical Opportunity

There is a gap in the research on storytelling and organizational alignment. We do not know much about how storytelling helps companies get everyone aligned. This study tries to fill that gap by looking at how storytelling works and what conditions make it effective.

Methodology

3.1 Research Design

We used a mixed-methods approach. This means we looked at a lot of research and also studied four companies in industries. We wanted to see how storytelling works in life.

3.2 Systematic Literature Review

We looked at a lot of research on storytelling and organizational alignment. We searched five databases. Found 847 articles. We narrowed it down to 187 articles that were relevant to our study.

3.3 Case Study Selection

We chose four companies to study. We wanted to see how they used storytelling to communicate their vision and values. We chose companies in industries: technology, consumer goods, healthcare and financial services.

3.4 Data Collection and Analysis

We collected data from the companies analyzed it. We looked at how they used storytelling and what conditions made it effective. To collect data for each case we looked at different sources. These included speeches and messages from leaders reports on what employees thought about their experiences, studies on business and management analyses of company websites and surveys of employee engagement.

By using these sources we could be sure that our findings were accurate. We analyzed the data using a method called analysis, which was developed by Braun and Clarke. We also used a method called analysis, which was developed by Glaser and Strauss. First we came up with codes based on what we saw in the data. Then we grouped these codes into themes. We kept looking at the data and refining our themes until we were sure they were right.

We also compared the data from each case to see what was similar and what was different. This helped us develop a model that explained how stories can bring people together in an organization.

Results

4.1 The Narrative Alignment Framework

When we looked at all the data we saw that there are three ways that stories can bring people together in an organization. The Narrative Alignment Framework says that corporate storytelling can create alignment through Emotional Resonance, Identity



Anchoring and Value Embodiment. The framework also says that how well these mechanisms work depends on three things: leadership authenticity, cultural specificity and narrative consistency.

4.2 Mechanism 1: Emotional Resonance

We found that stories that made people feel strong emotions were more effective at creating alignment than stories that just gave information. For example in one Technology Company the CEO told a story about how the company was started by a team that worked hard to meet a deadline. This story made employees feel proud to be part of the company.

The story was powerful because it made employees feel like they were part of something not just because it told them what the company did. This finding is similar to what other researchers have found. They say that when we hear a story we imagine ourselves in the story and feel the emotions of the characters. This makes us more likely to agree with the message of the story.

In organizations stories that make us feel emotions can help us internalize the company's values and mission. We also found that stories are emotionally resonant when they are specific and detailed. For example stories that name people and describe what they did are more engaging than stories that just talk about the company in general.

4.3 Mechanism 2: Identity Anchoring

The way that stories create alignment is by connecting the company's identity to the identities of its employees. For example in one consumer goods Company the leaders told stories about how the company had helped people and the environment. These stories made employees feel like they were part of something than themselves.

The stories were effective because they appealed to the employee's values and sense of purpose. This finding is similar, to what social identity theory says. The theory says that when we hear stories that appeal to our values and sense of purpose we

We found that stories about values are more effective when they show how the values were lived out in situations. For example stories about how employees made sacrifices to live out the values are more compelling than stories about how they did something.

4.5 Contextual Moderators

4.5.1 Leadership Authenticity

We found that the important thing that affects how well stories create alignment is whether the leader is genuine. If the leader is not genuine the story will not create alignment. For example in one company the leader was seen as not genuine. The storytelling program did not work.

4.5.2 Specificity

We also found that the effectiveness of stories depends on the culture of the organization. For example in some cultures stories that emphasize achievement are more effective while in cultures stories that emphasize individual achievement are more effective.



4.5.3 Narrative Consistency

Finally we found that the consistency of the story is important. If the story is not consistent across the organization it will not create alignment. For example if the leader tells one story but the employees hear a story from their managers the storytelling program will not work.

Discussion

5.1 Theoretical Contributions

Our research contributes to the theory of behavior in ways. First it shows how stories can create alignment through connection, identity and value embodiment. Second it shows how the effectiveness of stories depends on leadership authenticity, cultural specificity and narrative consistency. Finally it shows how stories can create alignment than just compliance.

5.2 Practical Implications

Our research has implications for leaders and communication practitioners. First they should use stories that create connection appeal to employees identities and show how the companys values are lived out in practice. Second they should develop narrative leadership capabilities among managers at all levels. Third they should attend to the consistency of the narrative environment. Finally they should be genuine and transparent in their storytelling.

5.3 Limitations

There are some things we need to consider when we look at this study. First we did not conduct our research we used information that other people had already made public. This means we do not have much detailed information as we would like. If we wanted to conduct research it would be better to talk to people and see what is happening inside companies.

Second we chose companies that're already good at telling stories, which might not be like other companies. This could mean that what we found out is not true for all companies.

Third we only looked at information from one point in time so we do not know what happens over a period.

This study helped us understand how companies use stories to get everyone working together. We made a framework that shows how stories can make people feel like they are part of the company and want to work towards the goals. The framework has three parts: connection, which is when people feel something because of a story, identity, which is when people see themselves in a story and value embodiment, which is when people see the companys values in a story.

We also found out that there are three things that can affect how well stories work: leadership authenticity, which's when leaders are honest and genuine cultural specificity, which is when stories are tailored to the company's culture and narrative consistency, which is when stories are told consistently.

This study is important because it combines ideas to show how stories can bring people together. It also gives advice on how to use stories to make companies work better.

As companies get more complex and things change faster leaders need to be able to tell stories that inspire and motivate people. We should conduct research on how stories can be used over time and how they can be spread through media.



References

We used a lot of sources for our research, including books and articles by experts in the field.

- * Ashforth, B. E., & Mael F. (1989). Social identity. The organization. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1) 20–39.
- * Avolio, B. J., & Gardner W. L. (2005). Authentic leadership development: Getting to the root of forms of leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 16(3) 315–338.
- * Boje, D. M. (1991). The storytelling organization: A study of story performance in an office-supply firm. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 36(1) 106–126.
- * Boje, D. M. (2001). Narrative methods for communication research.
- * Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2) 77–101.
- * Bruner, J. (1990). Acts of meaning.
- * Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Conducting mixed methods research* (ed.).
- * Denning, S. (2011). *The leaders guide to storytelling: Mastering the art and discipline of business narrative* (Rev. Ed.).
- * Dutton, J. E., Dukerich, J. M., & Harquail, C. V. (1994). Organizational member identification. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 39(2) 239–263.
- * Eisenhardt K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4) 532–550.
- * Fisher, W. R. (1987). Human communication as narration: Toward a philosophy of reason, value and action.
- * Gardner, W. L., & Martinko M. J. (1988). Impression management in organizations. *Journal of Management* 14(2) 321–338.
- * George, B. (2003). *Authentic leadership: Rediscovering the secrets to creating lasting value*.
- * Glaser, B. G., & Strauss A. L. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for research*.
- * Green, M. C., Brock, T. C. (2000). The role of transportation in the persuasiveness of narratives. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79(5) 701–721.
- * Hofstede, G. (2001). *Cultures consequences: Comparing values, behaviours, institutions and organizations across nations* (ed.).
- * Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading change*.
- * Liedtka, J. (2008). Strategy: The search for authenticity. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 80(2) 237–248.
- * Maitlis, S., & Christianson, M. (2014). Sensemaking in organizations: Taking stock and moving forward. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 8(1) 57–125.
- * Mar, R. A., & Oatley, K. (2008). The function of fiction is the abstraction and simulation of experience. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 3(3) 173–192.



- * Meyer, J. P., Allen N. J. (1997). Commitment in the workplace: Theory, research and application.
- * Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & PRISMA Group. (2009). Preferred reporting items for reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement.
- * Mumby, D. K. (1987). The political function of narrative in organizations. *Communication Monographs*, 54(2) 113–127.
- * Nadler, D. A., Tushman M. L. (1980). A model for diagnosing behaviour. *Organizational Dynamics*, 9(2) 35–51.
- * Organ, D. W. (1988). Citizenship behaviour: The good soldier syndrome.
- * Ricoeur, P. (1984). *Narrative* (Vol. 1 K. McLaughlin & D. Pellauer, Trans.).
- * Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational leadership* (ed.).
- * Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict.
- * Tett, R. P., & Meyer, J. P. (1993). Job satisfaction, commitment, turnover intention and turnover: Path analyses based on analytic findings. *Personnel Psychology*, 46(2) 259–293.
- * Timmermans, S., & Tavory, I. (2012). Theory construction in research: From grounded theory, to analysis. *Sociological Theory*, 30(3) 167–186.
- * Weick, K. E. (1995). Sensemaking in organizations.