



Customer Satisfaction Towards Online Shopping

IFFAT JAHAN

MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT
NOIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Under the Supervision of

Dr. Gurpreet Kaur

Associate Professor

How to Cite this Article:

JAHAN, I. (2026). Customer Satisfaction Towards Online Shopping. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, <i>02</i>(04).
<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.834>

License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.834>

ABSTRACT

The rapid proliferation of internet technology and the growing penetration of smartphones have fundamentally transformed retail commerce, giving rise to a vibrant and competitive online shopping ecosystem. Customer satisfaction has emerged as one of the most critical determinants of success in the e-commerce industry, influencing repeat purchase behaviour, brand loyalty, and word-of-mouth recommendations.

This research study investigates the factors that influence customer satisfaction towards online shopping with specific reference to consumers in India. The study examines key determinants including website quality, ease of navigation, product quality and variety, pricing and discounts, delivery speed and reliability, customer service, return and refund policies, and payment security. The theoretical framework draws upon the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Expectation-Disconfirmation Theory (EDT), and the Electronic Service Quality model (E-S-QUAL). Using a descriptive research design with structured questionnaires administered to 100 respondents, the findings reveal that website usability, timely delivery, product quality, and secure payment gateways are the most significant drivers of satisfaction, while delayed deliveries, misleading product descriptions, and poor after-sales service are the primary sources of dissatisfaction.

Keywords: *Customer Satisfaction, Online Shopping, E-Commerce, Website Quality, Service Quality, Consumer Behaviour, Technology Acceptance Model.*



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The advent of the internet has brought about a paradigm shift in the way consumers shop for goods and services. Online shopping has emerged as a dominant retail channel in the 21st century, fundamentally altering buyer-seller dynamics. India had over 900 million internet users by 2024, making it the second-largest online population in the world. Platforms such as Flipkart, Amazon India, Meesho, Myntra, and Snapdeal attract hundreds of millions of shoppers annually. Despite explosive growth, customer satisfaction remains a persistent challenge — dissatisfaction arising from poor product quality, delayed deliveries, complicated return processes, and inadequate customer support continues to impede long-term loyalty.

In the e-commerce context, customer satisfaction is a multidimensional construct shaped by website design, product quality, pricing, delivery experience, customer service, payment security, and post-purchase support. Understanding these factors is critical for e-commerce businesses seeking to sustain competitive advantage.

1.2 Objectives and Research Questions

The primary objectives of the study are: (i) to identify key factors influencing customer satisfaction towards online shopping; (ii) to analyse satisfaction levels across website usability, product quality, pricing, delivery, customer service, and payment security; (iii) to examine online shopping behaviour and preferences; (iv) to assess overall customer satisfaction; and (v) to provide strategic recommendations for e-commerce businesses.

The study addresses the following research questions: What are the key determinants of customer satisfaction? What is the satisfaction level across various service quality parameters? How do demographic variables influence satisfaction? What are the major sources of dissatisfaction among Indian online shoppers?

1.3 Scope and Limitations

The study covers B2C e-commerce consumers who shopped online at least once in the past six months, drawn from urban and semi-urban backgrounds. Limitations include a sample size of 100 respondents (which may limit generalisability), reliance on self-reported data (susceptible to response bias), and a cross-sectional design that may not capture evolving consumer behaviour.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Conceptual Framework

Oliver (1997) defined customer satisfaction as the consumer's fulfilment response — a judgement that a product or service provided a pleasurable level of consumption-related fulfilment. Kotler and Keller (2016) describe it as feelings of pleasure or disappointment resulting from comparing perceived performance against expectations. In the e-commerce context, satisfaction encompasses the entire journey from product search and browsing to post-purchase service. Laudon and Traver (2019) define e-commerce as digitally enabled commercial transactions encompassing marketing, selling, delivering, and servicing.

2.2 Theoretical Models

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), proposed by Davis (1989), posits that perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use determine technology adoption. Gefen et al. (2003) extended TAM to include trust as a key factor in online purchase intentions. The Expectation-Disconfirmation Theory (EDT) by Oliver (1980) proposes that satisfaction is determined by the gap between pre-purchase expectations and post-purchase performance perceptions. The E-S-QUAL model (Parasuraman et al., 2005) measures electronic service quality across four dimensions: efficiency, system availability, fulfilment, and privacy — all of which significantly predict customer satisfaction.

2.3 Factors Affecting Customer Satisfaction

Website design and usability are critical predictors of e-commerce quality (Wolfenbarger & Gilly, 2003). Product quality and variety are among the top satisfaction drivers in Indian e-commerce (Rao et al., 2016). Competitive pricing is the most important motivator for choosing online over offline shopping (Bhatt & Bhatt, 2012). Delivery speed, reliability, and packaging quality are strong predictors of satisfaction (Jain & Bhakar, 2014). Customer service responsiveness significantly moderates the relationship between service failures and



satisfaction (Zeithaml et al., 2002). Perceived security and privacy are among the strongest predictors of e-commerce loyalty (Kim et al., 2008). Hassle-free return policies positively influence repeat purchase intentions (Sinha & Kim, 2007).

2.4 Research Gap

Most existing studies focus on metropolitan consumers, with limited attention to semi-urban shoppers — a rapidly growing e-commerce segment in India. Additionally, the relative importance of satisfaction drivers varies across studies, and the applicability of TAM and EDT in the Indian context warrants further empirical investigation. The present study addresses these gaps with fresh empirical evidence grounded in robust theoretical frameworks.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study employs a descriptive research design with a quantitative approach, using structured questionnaires to collect data from 100 respondents selected through convenience sampling. The questionnaire comprised four sections: (A) demographic information; (B) online shopping behaviour; (C) Likert-scale satisfaction ratings (1=Very Dissatisfied to 5=Very Satisfied) across eight service quality parameters; and (D) overall satisfaction and loyalty. Primary data was collected via email and WhatsApp. Secondary data was sourced from academic journals, textbooks, and industry reports. Data was analysed using frequency distribution, percentage analysis, and descriptive statistics (mean scores). A pilot study of 10 respondents validated the questionnaire. All ethical standards were maintained — participation was voluntary, responses were anonymous, and data was used solely for academic purposes.

4. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

4.1 Demographic Profile of Respondents

Table 4.1: Age Group			Table 4.2: Gender Distribution		
Age Group	N	%	Gender	N	%
Below 20	12	12%	Male	52	52%
21–30	45	45%	Female	46	46%
31–40	28	28%	Prefer not to say	2	2%
41–50	10	10%	Total	100	100%
Above 50	5	5%			
Total	100	100%			

Source: Primary Survey, 2026

Table 4.3: Educational Qualification			Table 4.4: Occupation		
Qualification	N	%	Occupation	N	%
Below Graduation	8	8%	Student	30	30%
Graduation	34	34%	Self-Employed	18	18%
Post-Graduation	42	42%	Salaried (Private)	28	28%
Professional Degree	12	12%	Salaried (Govt.)	12	12%
Others	4	4%	Homemaker	8	8%
Total	100	100%	Others	4	4%
			Total	100	100%

Source: Primary Survey, 2026

The majority of respondents (45%) are in the 21–30 age group, reflecting youth dominance in Indian e-commerce. Gender distribution is nearly equal (52% male, 46% female). Post-graduates (42%) and graduates (34%) dominate the sample, indicating a positive correlation between education and online shopping. Students (30%) and private-sector employees (28%) are the leading occupational groups.



4.2 Online Shopping Behaviour

Table 4.6: Frequency of Shopping			Table 4.7: Preferred Platform		
Frequency	N	%	Platform	N	%
Daily	5	5%	Amazon India	38	38%
Weekly	18	18%	Flipkart	32	32%
Fortnightly	22	22%	Meesho	12	12%
Monthly	38	38%	Myntra	8	8%
Occasionally	17	17%	Snapdeal	4	4%
Total	100	100%	Others	6	6%
			Total	100	100%

Source: Primary Survey, 2026

Table 4.9: Product Categories (Multiple)			Table 4.10: Reasons for Shopping (Multiple)		
Category	N	%	Reason	N	%
Clothing & Fashion	78	78%	Convenience & 24/7	82	82%
Electronics	65	65%	Competitive Pricing	75	75%
Beauty & Personal Care	55	55%	Wide Variety	68	68%
Books & Stationery	48	48%	Price Comparison	60	60%
Groceries	42	42%	Time-Saving	58	58%
Home & Kitchen	38	38%	Doorstep Delivery	52	52%
Sports	28	28%	Exclusive Offers	45	45%

Source: Primary Survey, 2026

Monthly shopping is the most common pattern (38%). Amazon India (38%) and Flipkart (32%) together account for 70% of platform preferences. Clothing & fashion (78%) and electronics (65%) are the top purchased categories. Convenience (82%) and competitive pricing (75%) are the leading motivators. UPI is the dominant payment mode (48%), underscoring India's digital payments revolution.

4.3 Satisfaction with Key Service Quality Parameters

Table 4.12–4.19: Satisfaction Ratings Summary (Scale: 1=Very Dissatisfied to 5=Very Satisfied)

Service Quality Parameter	Very Satisfied (5)	Satisfied (4)	Neutral (3)	Dissatisfied (2)	Very Dissatisfied (1)	Mean Score
Website Design & Usability	22%	40%	22%	12%	4%	3.64
Product Quality	18%	35%	24%	16%	7%	3.41
Pricing & Discounts	28%	42%	18%	9%	3%	3.83
Delivery Speed & Reliability	20%	38%	20%	15%	7%	3.49
Customer Service	14%	32%	28%	18%	8%	3.26
Return & Refund Policy	16%	34%	26%	16%	8%	3.34
Payment Security	24%	40%	22%	10%	4%	3.70
Product Variety	30%	42%	18%	7%	3%	3.89

Source: Primary Survey, 2026

Product variety (mean 3.89) and pricing/discounts (mean 3.83) are the highest-rated parameters. Payment security (3.70) and website usability (3.64) also score well. Delivery (3.49) and product quality (3.41) are moderate. Customer service (3.26) and return/refund policy (3.34) are the weakest dimensions — respondents



cited long response times, unhelpful chatbots, complex return processes, and long refund turnaround times as key pain points.

4.4 Overall Satisfaction and Loyalty

Table 4.20: Overall Satisfaction Level			Table 4.21: Willingness to Recommend		
Level	N	%	Response	N	%
Highly Satisfied	20	20%	Yes, definitely	55	55%
Satisfied	42	42%	Probably yes	28	28%
Moderately Satisfied	22	22%	Not sure	12	12%
Dissatisfied	12	12%	Probably not	3	3%
Highly Dissatisfied	4	4%	Definitely not	2	2%
Total	100	100%	Total	100	100%

Source: Primary Survey, 2026

62% of respondents are satisfied or highly satisfied with their online shopping experiences. 22% report moderate satisfaction while 16% express dissatisfaction. A strong 83% are willing to recommend online shopping to others, indicating high advocacy levels and positive word-of-mouth potential.

4.5 Key Findings

- Young adults (21–30 years) are the primary segment of Indian e-commerce consumers.
- Amazon India and Flipkart dominate platform preferences (combined 70%).
- Convenience (82%) and competitive pricing (75%) are the top motivators for online shopping.
- Product variety (3.89) and pricing/discounts (3.83) are the highest-rated satisfaction parameters.
- Customer service (3.26) and return/refund policy (3.34) are the weakest dimensions, requiring urgent improvement.
- 62% of respondents are overall satisfied; 83% are willing to recommend online shopping to others.
- UPI has emerged as the most preferred payment mode (48%), reflecting India's digital payments revolution.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusions

The study concludes that online shopping has achieved widespread acceptance among Indian consumers across demographic segments, driven by the compelling value propositions of convenience, competitive pricing, and product variety. High satisfaction levels for product variety and pricing confirm e-commerce platforms have effectively leveraged these core strengths. However, significant dissatisfaction persists around customer service quality and return/refund processes — critical pain points that erode the overall customer experience and can lead to churn. Product quality remains a persistent concern, particularly in categories where quality cannot be fully assessed from online descriptions. The study confirms the relevance of TAM, EDT, and E-S-QUAL in the Indian e-commerce context: consumer satisfaction is fundamentally shaped by the gap between expectations and actual performance across multiple service quality dimensions.

5.2 Recommendations

- Strengthen Customer Service: Invest in multichannel support (live chat, phone, email, social media), extended hours, faster response times, and AI-powered chatbots that escalate complex queries to human agents seamlessly.
- Simplify Return & Refund Processes: Adopt consumer-friendly policies with extended return windows, hassle-free pickup, faster refund processing, and transparent communication on eligibility and timelines.
- Ensure Consistent Product Quality: Implement rigorous seller verification, 360-degree product photography, video demonstrations, and robust review systems; actively monitor and act against poor-quality sellers.



- iv. Enhance Delivery Reliability: Expand logistics networks in tier-2 and tier-3 cities; provide real-time shipment tracking, proactive delay notifications, and improved packaging standards.
- v. Personalise Shopping Experiences: Leverage AI and machine learning for personalised recommendations and targeted offers based on browsing and purchase history to increase repeat purchases.
- vi. Build and Communicate Trust: Invest in cybersecurity infrastructure, display security certifications and trust badges prominently, and maintain transparent data privacy policies to build consumer confidence.

5.3 Scope for Future Research

Future research should employ larger and geographically diverse samples for greater generalisability. Longitudinal designs could track satisfaction changes over time. The mediating roles of trust and perceived risk in the service quality–satisfaction relationship merit investigation. Comparative studies across e-commerce platforms and research on the impact of emerging technologies (augmented reality try-ons, voice commerce, drone delivery) on customer satisfaction represent promising future directions.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, R. E., & Srinivasan, S. S. (2003). E-satisfaction and e-loyalty: A contingency framework. *Psychology & Marketing*, 20(2), 123–138.
- Bhatt, A., & Bhatt, S. (2012). Factors affecting consumers' online shopping intentions. *Journal of Management Research*, 12(2), 66–77.
- Chen, L. D., Gillenson, M. L., & Sherrell, D. L. (2010). Enticing online consumers: An extended technology acceptance perspective. *Information & Management*, 39(8), 705–719.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340.
- Gefen, D., Karahanna, E., & Straub, D. W. (2003). Trust and TAM in online shopping: An integrated model. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(1), 51–90.
- Gupta, S., & Arora, N. (2017). Investigating consumer intention to accept mobile payment systems through unified theory of acceptance. *Journal of Indian Business Research*, 9(3), 190–209.
- Jain, N., & Bhakar, S. S. (2014). Impact of logistic service quality on customer satisfaction in Indian e-commerce. *International Journal of Marketing and Business Communication*, 3(4), 1–9.
- Kim, D. J., Ferrin, D. L., & Rao, H. R. (2008). A trust-based consumer decision-making model in electronic commerce. *Decision Support Systems*, 44(2), 544–564.
- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing Management* (15th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Laudon, K. C., & Traver, C. G. (2019). *E-commerce: Business, Technology, Society* (15th ed.). Pearson.
- Loiacono, E. T., Watson, R. T., & Goodhue, D. L. (2002). WebQual: A measure of website quality. *American Marketing Association*, 13, 432–437.
- Oliver, R. L. (1980). A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 17(4), 460–469.
- Oliver, R. L. (1997). *Satisfaction: A Behavioral Perspective on the Consumer*. McGraw-Hill.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Malhotra, A. (2005). E-S-QUAL: A multiple-item scale for assessing electronic service quality. *Journal of Service Research*, 7(3), 213–233.
- Pookulangara, S., & Koesler, K. (2011). Cultural influence on consumers' usage of social networks and its impact on online purchase intentions. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 18(4), 348–354.
- Rao, S., Griffis, S., & Goldsby, T. J. (2016). Failure to deliver? Linking online order fulfillment glitches with future purchase behavior. *Journal of Operations Management*, 31(1–2), 692–703.
- Sahu, A. K., & Satpathy, B. (2019). E-service quality and customer satisfaction in e-retailing. *International Journal of Business Excellence*, 17(2), 229–247.
- Sinha, P. K., & Kim, Y. (2007). Return policies and satisfaction with e-retailers. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 23(5–6), 527–553.



Verma, S., & Dutta, N. (2021). COVID-19 and digital transformation: E-commerce growth and consumer behaviour in India. *International Journal of E-Business Research*, 17(4), 51–72.

Wolfenbarger, M., & Gilly, M. C. (2003). eTailQ: Dimensionalizing, measuring and predicting etail quality. *Journal of Retailing*, 79(3), 183–198.

Zeithaml, V. A., Parasuraman, A., & Malhotra, A. (2002). Service quality delivery through web sites. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 30(4), 362–375.