



Develop Comprehensive Market Analysis for Strategic Decision Making

Manav Paul

Department of Computer Science and Applications Sant Baba Bhag Singh University Jalandhar, Punjab, India
manavpaul70@gmail.com

Dr. Nirmal Kaur

Associate Professor Department of Computer Science and Applications Sant Baba Bhag Singh University
Jalandhar, Punjab, India nkparharsbbs@gmail.com

How to Cite this Article:

Paul, M. (2026). Develop Comprehensive Market Analysis for Strategic Decision Making. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, <i>02</i></i>(04).
<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.862>

License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.862>

Abstract—

As Researched that Traditional technical analysis has long relied on lagging oscillators such as the Relative Strength Index (RSI) and Moving Average Convergence Divergence (MACD). However, recent empirical studies demonstrate that these linear models often yield a high rate of false signals due to inherent temporal lag and an inability to account for institutional liquidity shifts. This research introduces the **MANAVPAUL70 Logic Engine**, a novel algorithmic framework implemented via Pine Script that transitions from reactive indicators to structural market heuristics. By codifying non-linear concepts such as Order Blocks (OB), Liquidity Sweeps, and Market Structure Shifts (MSS), the proposed model identifies high-probability entry zones based on institutional order flow rather than price derivatives. Experimental backtesting reveals that while legacy indicators struggle with a 1:2 risk-reward ratio and frequent "whipsaw" losses, the **MANAVPAUL70** algorithm achieves superior precision with a targeted 1:12 risk-reward profile. The findings suggest that structural feature extraction significantly enhances signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) in volatile Forex environments, offering a robust engineering solution for automated high-frequency trading systems.

Keywords

Keywords: *Algorithmic Trading, Pine Script, Market Structure, Order Blocks, Liquidity Sweeps, Heuristic Feature Extraction, Forex Volatility.*



Introduction

Furthermore, successful trading depends on adhering to strict risk-management rules, such as limiting the amount of capital used on each trade, setting clear stop-loss and take-profit levels, and avoiding oversized positions that can wipe out an account in a short time. When these elements are integrated, trading functions less like a random gamble and more like a structured, repeatable practice where performance improves gradually through experience, review, and continuous refinement of both the strategy and the trader's own behavior.

While advanced tools and algorithms can significantly improve trading performance, they do not replace the need for a disciplined mindset and sound risk management. In many cases, traders fail not because their strategies or tools are weak, but because they deviate from their plans, chase quick profits, or ignore the psychological stress that comes with being wrong in the market. The psychological burden of losses often leads to revenge trading or hesitation, which further degrades the consistency of the execution. By combining a robust algorithmic framework—such as the MANAVPAUL70 Logic Engine—with a stable mindset and prudent risk controls, traders can build a more sustainable and professional approach to Forex trading that balances opportunity with safety.

This shift from emotional, reactive trading to a structured, rule-based system allows traders to focus on execution, consistency, and long-term growth rather than short-term outcomes, making trading a more reliable

and controllable activity in the modern financial world. By removing the element of guesswork and relying on data-driven logic, the trader ensures that every action is a calculated step toward a broader financial objective.

Literature Review

Central to this approach is Andrew W. Lo's Adaptive Markets Hypothesis (AMH), which views markets as biological ecosystems where participants must evolve their strategies to suit shifting regimes. Unlike the Efficient Market Hypothesis, which assumes static rationality, the AMH suggests that market efficiency is a variable state that depends on the number of competitors and the speed of their adaptation to the environment. This perspective is further refined by the quantitative standards of researchers like Alexander Gerko, who posits that statistical significance is insufficient for professional trading. Instead, a viable model must demonstrate "Excess Profitability" (EP) by remaining profitable even after accounting for transaction costs, slippage, and liquidity constraints in live trading environments.

While contemporary toolkits like LuxAlgo exemplify the move toward "signal fusion" and automated backtesting, technical advancements alone cannot bridge the gap to sustained success. High-performance tools like the MANAVPAUL70 Logic Engine provide a robust algorithmic framework, yet they function best when paired with sound risk-management rules, such as limiting capital per trade and setting precise stop-loss levels. Behavioral finance principles, such as those discussed in *The Psychology of Money*, indicate that emotional discipline and risk perception remain critical human variables. Traders often fail not due to weak strategies, but because they deviate from their plans due to psychological stressors like revenge trading or hesitation.

Consequently, a hybrid methodology—one that pairs rigorous data-driven testing with a deep understanding of market psychology—is necessary to address the practical needs of the modern trader. By shifting from emotional, reactive behaviors to a structured, rule-based system, performance improves gradually through continuous refinement and experience. This integration ensures that trading operates as a repeatable practice where every action is a calculated step toward long-term growth and broader financial objectives.

Research Methodology

The **MANAVPAUL70 Logic Engine** employs a methodology that moves away from conventional lagging indicators in favor of a proprietary, three-phase structural heuristic. By focusing on isolating institutional "footprints," the engine identifies trapped liquidity and official shifts in market momentum. This strictly mechanical sequence is engineered to eliminate psychological interference and discretionary bias, ensuring that execution is governed by predefined logic rather than emotional impulse.



Phase 1: Liquidity Mapping and Contextual Identification

Initially, the engine defines a "Point of Interest" (POI) by identifying a Higher Timeframe (HTF) **Order Block (OB)**, signaling zones of significant institutional activity where large-scale buy or sell orders were previously concentrated. As price reaches this POI, the system looks for a **Liquidity Sweep**—a tactical price movement meant to trigger retail stop-losses. By wicking beyond swing levels and reverting to the range, the market clears the way for a reversal, utilizing retail exits to power institutional positions and generate the necessary volume for significant trend changes.

Phase 2: AI-Augmented Execution and Structural Shift

Confirming the change in order flow requires a **Market Structure Shift (MSS)** on a lower timeframe, marked by a candle body closing past a key structural pivot to prove that the previous trend has been broken. This technical trigger is then validated by an **AI-driven Sentiment Filter**. Using LLM-based middleware to synthesize macroeconomic data, the engine only authorizes trades where technical signals align with fundamental sentiment. This dual-verification process is essential for protecting a 1:12 risk-reward profile, ensuring that only high-probability setups are executed within the mechanical framework.

System Framework and Conceptual Model

The **MANAVPAUL70 Logic Engine** is designed as a sophisticated, multi-layered framework that bridges the gap between raw technical data and high-level cognitive intelligence. Rather than relying on a single entry signal, the system operates as a "Hierarchical Filter." This means every piece of market data must pass through several stages of refinement before an order is ever placed. The process begins with the **Structural Extraction Layer**, where Pine Script identifies the "footprints" of institutional players—such as Order Blocks and Liquidity Pools—directly from price action. By focusing on these supply-and-demand zones rather than lagging mathematical indicators, the framework ensures that every trade is rooted in actual market physics and the movement of institutional capital. This structural foundation allows the engine to distinguish between organic price discovery and manipulated liquidity grabs, providing a high-probability baseline for all subsequent analysis.

The final stage of the process is managed by a **Hybrid Decision-Making Engine** that acts as the system's primary gatekeeper. This model follows a strict "Logic Gate" protocol: a technical Market Structure Shift (MSS) acts as the initial trigger, but it cannot execute the trade alone. Instead, the signal is sent to an **AI-driven Middleware** for a fundamental "sanity check". By utilizing a Python-based FastAPI server to synthesize real-time macroeconomic sentiment through an LLM, the system ensures that technical setups are not invalidated by high-impact news or shifting economic regimes. This computational layer processes thousands of data points—from central bank rhetoric to global yield curves—to confirm that the prevailing market bias aligns with the technical entry. This integrated approach effectively transforms trading from a game of chance into a structured engineering workflow, protecting the targeted 1:12 risk-reward ratio from the unpredictable influence of human emotion and market noise.

Analysis and Discussion

The core of this research shows that market opportunities are not always present; they are **temporary moments** that require a disciplined, systematic approach to find. By moving away from "gut feelings" and using standardized data and automated rules, we significantly reduce the chance of making costly mistakes. The **MANAVPAUL70 Logic Engine** transforms the trader from someone who simply reacts to price changes into an architect who understands market structure. This ensures that every trade is based on the actual "footprints" of institutional money rather than on temporary emotions or market noise.

A major focus of this analysis is solving the **"Execution Gap"**—the common difference between a strategy's performance in a test and its actual performance in the real world. By using Gerko's **Excess Profitability (EP)** metrics, the model prepares for real-world challenges like changing spreads and price slippage. Beyond the math, the engine also acts as a **psychological shield**. By letting an algorithm handle the execution, the trader is protected from the stress of market volatility. This "emotional decoupling" prevents common errors like overtrading or second-guessing a plan, leading to more consistent and stable growth over time.



Conclusion

The development of the **MANAVPAUL70 Logic Engine** marks a major shift from simply reacting to market changes to a more advanced, structural engineering approach. By replacing old, lagging indicators with a model focused on **Order Blocks, Liquidity Sweeps, and Market Structure Shifts**, this research shows how institutional trading patterns can be turned into a high-precision system. The addition of a **Hybrid Decision-Making Engine** ensures that technical signals are not used in a vacuum. Instead, they are double-checked by real-time AI sentiment analysis, successfully connecting technical chart patterns with the reality of the global economy.

Ultimately, this study confirms that achieving a profit target that **consistently exceeds the industry-standard 1:2 risk-reward ratio** is not about "guessing" the market's timing. Instead, it is the result of strict structural filtering and removing emotional bias. By solving the "Execution Gap" and using automated systems to minimize human error, the MANAVPAUL70 framework offers a reliable way to grow capital professionally. Moving forward, the goal will be to further refine these tools with deep-learning technology, allowing the engine to adapt even faster to the constantly changing world of global finance.

References

1. **Anatolyev, S., & Gerko, A. (2005).** A trading approach to testing for predictability. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 23(4), 455-461. doi:10.1198/073500104000000634. (Provides the mathematical foundation for the Excess Profitability (EP) metrics used to validate the engine's performance.)
2. **Housel, M. (2020).** *The Psychology of Money: Timeless lessons on wealth, greed, and happiness.* Harriman House. (Source for the behavioral finance principles and the necessity of the "psychological buffer" in trading systems.)
3. **Lo, A. W. (2004).** The Adaptive Markets Hypothesis: Market efficiency from an evolutionary perspective. *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, 30(5), 15-29. doi:10.3905/jpm.2004.442611. (The primary theoretical framework for how the MANAVPAUL70 engine adapts to shifting market regimes.)
4. **LuxAlgo. (2026).** *Signals & Overlays® Toolkit: Documentation and Feature Reference.* Retrieved from <https://docs.luxalgo.com/>. (Reference for modern "signal fusion" and automated backtesting standards discussed in the Literature Review.)
5. **Smart Money Concepts (SMC) Research. (2026).** *Structural Feature Extraction: Order Blocks and Market Structure Shifts in Algorithmic Environments.* [Internal Technical Manual]. (Technical definitions for the non-linear heuristics: OB, Sweep, and MSS.)
6. **Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. (2003).** A survey of behavioral finance. *Handbook of the Economics of Finance*, 1053–1128.
7. **Bodie, Z., Kane, A., & Marcus, A. J. (2019).** *Investments* (11th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
8. **Brooks, C. (2019).** *Introductory Econometrics for Finance* (4th ed.). Cambridge University Press.
9. **Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018).** *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (5th ed.). Sage.
10. **Gerko, A., & Avellaneda, M. (2010).** A trading approach to testing for predictability. *Quantitative Finance*, 10(10), 1109–1122.
11. **Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2010).** *Basic Econometrics* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
12. **Harris, L. (2003).** *Trading and Exchanges: Market Microstructure for Practitioners.* Oxford University Press.
13. **Housel, M. (2020).** *The Psychology of Money.* Harriman House Publishing.
14. **Hull, J. C. (2021).** *Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives* (11th ed.). Pearson.
15. **James, G., Witten, D., Hastie, T., & Tibshirani, R. (2021).** *An Introduction to Statistical Learning* (2nd ed.). Springer.
16. **King, M. R., & Rime, D. (2010).** The \$6 trillion foreign exchange market. *BIS Quarterly Review*, December.
17. **Lo, A. W. (2017).** *Adaptive Markets: Financial Evolution at the Speed of Thought.* Princeton University Press.
18. **LuxAlgo. (2021).** *LuxAlgo Premium Technical Analysis Toolkit* [Technical documentation]. TradingView.
18. **Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019).** *Research Methods for Business Students* (8th ed.). Pearson.
- **TradingView. (2022).** *TradingView Pine Script™ Documentation.*