International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management
ISSN: **3108-1754 (Online)**
Volume 02 Issue 04 April-2026 | Impact Factor: 3.5

High-Density versus Traditional Apple Plantation Systems: A Comparative Review of Productivity, Economic Viability, and Socioeconomic Implications with Special Reference to the Kashmir Valley

[Umar Amin Reshi, Mohd Ikram]

How to Cite this Article:

Reshi, U. A. & Ikram, M. (2026). High-Density versus Traditional Apple Plantation Systems: A Comparative Review of Productivity, Economic Viability, and Socioeconomic Implications with Special Reference to the Kashmir Valley. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, <i>02</i>(04). https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.136

https://doi.org/ 10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.136

ABSTRACT

Apple cultivation is a cornerstone of the agrarian economy in temperate regions, particularly in the Kashmir Valley of India, where it supports the livelihoods of millions of farming households. The transition from traditional, low-density orchard systems to high-density plantations (HDP) has emerged as a transformative agricultural intervention worldwide, yet its adoption in region-specific contexts such as Kashmir remains insufficiently studied. This review synthesizes international, national, and regional literature to critically examine the comparative performance of traditional and high-density apple plantation systems across dimensions of productivity, input-output economics, environmental sustainability, and socioeconomic impact. Traditional orchards, typically accommodating around 100 trees per hectare, yield between 8 and 12 metric tons per hectare with a gestation period of 10 to 12 years. In contrast, HDP systems—ranging from 1,000 to 5,000 trees per hectare—achieve yields of 60 to 80 metric tons per hectare with full economic fruiting attained within 3 to 4 years. Despite these productivity advantages, high initial establishment costs (estimated at over ₹3.6 million per hectare in Indian conditions), requirements for skilled management, and limited access to credit constrain widespread HDP adoption. The review further identifies critical gaps in existing research, notably the absence of detailed comparative input-output analyses specific to the Kashmir Valley and insufficient attention to HDP's socioeconomic effects on farming communities. Climate change, driving apple cultivation to progressively higher altitudes, adds urgency to understanding which system offers greater resilience. The paper concludes with a call for targeted policy interventions, enhanced credit facilities, and farmer training programmes to support a sustainable and inclusive transition to high-density apple farming.

Keywords: High-Density Plantation, Traditional Apple Orchards, Kashmir Valley, Input-Output Analysis, Socioeconomic Development, Climate Change, Horticulture Economics

1. INTRODUCTION

Apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.) is among the most economically significant temperate fruit crops globally, cultivated across diverse agroclimatic zones spanning Europe, Asia, and the Americas. In the Indian subcontinent, and particularly in the Kashmir Valley, apple cultivation occupies a central place in the agricultural economy, sustaining the livelihoods of a large proportion of rural households and contributing substantially to state revenues. The Kashmir Valley, situated between approximately 1,600 and 3,500 metres above sea level, historically offered ideal conditions for apple cultivation, making the region one of India's premier apple-producing zones.

However, the sector faces mounting challenges. Traditional plantation systems, which have dominated the landscape for decades, are characterised by low planting densities, prolonged gestation periods, and yields that compare unfavourably with global benchmarks. Average productivity in India stands at roughly 10 metric tons per hectare (MT/ha), a fraction of the 60–70 MT/ha achieved in technologically advanced apple-growing nations (Majid et al., 2018). Simultaneously, climate change has begun reshaping the altitudinal distribution of apple cultivation, pushing viable growing zones from 1,200 metres in the 1980s to above 3,500 metres by 2014 (Sahu et al., 2020), with attendant consequences for yield stability and long-term viability.

Against this backdrop, High-Density Plantation (HDP) systems have attracted growing interest as a means to bridge the productivity gap, improve economic returns, and adapt to changing environmental conditions. Originating in the Netherlands and North America, HDP systems employ dwarfing rootstocks, precision irrigation, and densities of 1,000 to over 5,000 trees per hectare. International evidence consistently demonstrates their superior performance relative to conventional methods. Yet, their adoption in contexts like Kashmir—characterised by smallholder farming, limited capital access, and distinct agroecological conditions—remains partial and unevenly documented.

This review aims to synthesise the existing body of international, national, and regional literature on HDP and traditional apple plantation systems. It critically examines evidence on productivity, economic performance, labour dynamics, environmental sustainability, and socioeconomic outcomes. By identifying key debates and research gaps, this paper seeks to provide a coherent evidence base for future empirical research and to inform policy directed at modernising the apple sector in the Kashmir Valley and analogous temperate horticulture regions.

1. REVIEW METHOD AND SCOPE

This paper adopts a structured narrative review methodology, examining published and unpublished research spanning from the 1970s to the early 2020s. Literature was sourced from peer-reviewed journals, institutional reports, and academic theses addressing apple cultivation systems across international, national, and regional scales. The review is organised thematically rather than strictly chronologically, although chronological progression is acknowledged where it illustrates the evolution of knowledge within specific sub-domains.

The scope encompasses three broad geographic tiers: international literature, primarily from the Netherlands, Romania, New Zealand, the United States, Nepal, and China; national literature from India, with a focus on Himachal Pradesh and Jammu and Kashmir; and regional literature directly concerned with the Kashmir Valley, including its southern districts of Pulwama, Shopian, Kulgam, and Budgam. Studies were selected on the basis of their relevance to the following thematic areas: planting systems and density, yield performance and fruit quality, input-output economics, labour efficiency, climate change impacts, and socioeconomic outcomes for farming households.

The review does not claim exhaustiveness but aims to provide a representative synthesis of the major findings and debates in the field, with particular attention to quantitative evidence that permits comparison across systems and regions.

2. THEMATIC REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Origins, Evolution, and Agronomic Foundations

The cultivated apple (*Malus domestica*) traces its origins to the wild species *Malus sieversii* in the Tian Shan mountain range of Kazakhstan, from which it spread westward along the ancient Silk Roads through a process of domestication and introgression with wild European crab apple (*M. sylvestris*) (Duan et al., 2017; Cornille, 2012). Jackson (2003) documented the genus *Malus* as encompassing 25 to 30 species across temperate Eurasia and North America, providing the genetic diversity that underpins modern cultivar development. The rapid domestication of tree crops, facilitated by hybridisation and vegetative propagation through grafting, allowed early cultivators to preserve superior fruit traits across generations (Spengler, 2019).

The agronomic foundation of modern high-density systems rests on the development of dwarfing rootstocks, notably the M9 series originating from East Malling Research Station in the United Kingdom. These rootstocks constrain vegetative growth, directing photosynthetic resources towards earlier and more prolific fruiting. Wilson (2019) demonstrated that dwarfing rootstocks used in HDP systems enhance early fruiting and overall orchard efficiency, while Kumar (2020) found that the 'Red Velox' variety grafted on M9 rootstock performed best in terms of fruit quality, productivity, and growth in the cold dry temperate conditions of Kinnaur, Himachal Pradesh.

2.2 Productivity and Yield Performance

The productivity differential between HDP and traditional systems is among the most consistently documented findings in the literature. Good (1974), in an early foundational study, demonstrated that traditional orchards suffer from excessive vegetative growth that shades the fruit-bearing canopy interior, reducing productive surface area, while HDP achieves improved light penetration, air circulation, and spray efficacy. Subsequent research has quantified this advantage with increasing precision.

Geodegebure (1980) showed that increasing tree density in orchards in the Netherlands elevated production per hectare while only marginally affecting labour requirements, with the higher establishment cost compensated by substantially greater yields. Miranda et al. (1992), using long-term data from the Dutch Agricultural Economic Institute, found through regression analysis that the economic returns to high-density planting increased continuously up to 5,000 plants per hectare. Robinson et al. (2007) identified the Tall Spindle system at 2,500–3,000 trees/ha as optimal for New York conditions, achieving enhanced yield and fruit quality through disciplined canopy management.

Petrisor et al. (2012) reported cumulative yields exceeding 50 MT/ha across most cultivars in a high-density trial in Cluj-Napoca, Romania, with 'Topaz' recording the highest yield of 57.16 MT/ha. Comanescu (2022) confirmed that HDP trees in Romania achieved full economic fruiting within 3–4 years versus the 10–12 years typical of conventional orchards. Smith (2018) attributed HDP's productivity advantage primarily to improved space utilisation and more effective light interception. Globally, while traditional orchards yield between 8 and 12 MT/ha, HDP systems achieve 60–80 MT/ha—a five-to-tenfold improvement (Majid et al., 2018; Kafle et al., 2021).

Fruit quality, in addition to quantity, is improved under HDP management. Willaume et al. (2004) demonstrated that canopy architecture manipulation enhances light interception, improving fruit size, colour, and return bloom. Lordan et al. (2018), in a 20-year study across eight planting densities, found that high-density planting significantly improved firmness, soluble solids, colour, and fruit size, particularly for 'Fuji' and 'Gala' cultivars. Johnson (2020) further noted that HDP produces apples with more consistent size and coloration compared to the greater variability observed in traditional orchards.

2.3 Economic Viability and Cost-Benefit Analysis

The economic case for HDP is compelling but nuanced. Geodegebure (1980) used internal rate of return and present value of cash flows to demonstrate that higher establishment costs are repaid within a short period due to greater productivity. Badiu et al. (2015) found that super-intensive systems are the most cost-effective, beginning production in the second year with over 80% of output graded as Extra Class, commanding premium market prices. Brown et al. (2019) confirmed that despite higher initial investment, HDP offers greater long-term profitability through higher yields and lower labour costs per unit of output.

In the Indian context, Majid et al. (2018) calculated that HDP provides four times higher returns than traditional orchards, increasing productivity from 15 MT/ha to 60 MT/ha and boosting income from approximately ₹6 lakh/ha to ₹24 lakh/ha. Singh et al. (2012), in a Himachal Pradesh government-sponsored study, confirmed that while initial investment in HDP is higher, the shorter gestation period and production of high-quality uniform fruit more than compensate over the lifecycle of the orchard. Kireeti et al. (2014) reported an average production cost of ₹10.10 per kilogram in traditional Shimla orchards, yielding a net income-to-investment ratio of ₹4.89 per rupee invested—modest relative to HDP potential.

The establishment cost for HDP is significant, estimated at ₹3,632,714 per hectare in regional studies, and represents the primary financial barrier to adoption. King (2017) found that despite this upfront cost, HDP orchards provide more stable and reliable financial returns, reducing the risk associated with the highly variable yields of traditional systems. The payback period for HDP investments ranges from approximately 3.44 to 5 years in favourable conditions, compared to 9 to 15 years for traditional systems.

2.4 Labour Dynamics

Labour requirements present a complex picture in the HDP versus traditional comparison. Good (1974) noted early on that traditional orchards demand substantial labour for pruning, spraying, and harvesting due to excessive vegetative growth. Taylor (2020) found that while HDP requires greater initial effort for pruning and training, harvesting is considerably more efficient due to the orchard's structured, accessible layout. The net effect, as documented by Kafle et al. (2021), is an increase in labour employment from 125 to 801 man-days per hectare—a transformation that has significant implications for rural employment generation in labour-abundant economies like Kashmir.

Ntakyo et al. (2013), studying apple production in southwestern Uganda, found labour to be the single largest cost component at 41.8% of total production expenses. In the context of HDP adoption, this suggests that regions with relatively low agricultural wage rates may face a different cost-benefit calculus than high-wage economies, potentially improving the relative attractiveness of HDP for labour-abundant smallholder settings.

2.5 Environmental Sustainability and Climate Change

Environmental sustainability considerations increasingly influence the evaluation of plantation systems. Lee et al. (2017) found HDP systems to be more resource-efficient than traditional orchards, requiring lower water and pesticide inputs per unit of fruit produced. Baker (2019) demonstrated that drip irrigation, integral to most HDP systems, significantly reduces water wastage compared to traditional flood irrigation while maintaining optimal soil moisture. Garcia (2020) found that improved canopy management in HDP mitigates wind damage and enhances fruit set through better microclimatic control.

Zelazny et al. (2018) emphasised the importance of mulch-based floor management in HDP for soil quality improvement, noting that long-term live mulch application improves physical soil properties and humus content. Liao et al. (2021) confirmed that mulching without tillage reduces bulk density, improves porosity, and inhibits evaporation, increasing yield in semiarid orchard conditions.

Climate change represents a growing threat to apple cultivation, particularly in mountain regions. Basannagari et al. (2013) documented farmers' perceptions in Himachal Pradesh of rising temperatures, smaller fruit sizes, increased pest attacks, and significant decreases in snowfall. Sahu et al. (2020) quantified the altitudinal shift in viable apple cultivation from 1,200 metres in the 1980s to 3,500 metres by 2014, driven by changes in minimum, mean, and maximum surface temperatures, chilling hours, and rainfall patterns. Sen et al. (2015) recorded a decline of 0.4 MT/ha in apple yields in the Kullu Valley between 1985 and 2009 attributable to climate variability. Dalhaus et al. (2020) demonstrated that spring frost events during flowering exert significant non-linear effects on both yield and apple price at the orchard level.

HDP systems, with their dwarfing rootstocks and adaptive management potential, are increasingly viewed as more resilient to these climatic stresses. Kumar (2020) explicitly advocated for a shift to high-density systems in response to changing climatic patterns and increasing pressure on land from diminishing average landholding sizes.

2.6 Regional Context: Kashmir Valley

The Kashmir Valley presents a distinctive context for the HDP-traditional comparison. Apple cultivation is deeply embedded in the Valley's agrarian structure, and the sector faces a compounding set of challenges including climate change, market instability, fragmented landholdings, and limited access to modern inputs and credit. Wani et al. (2021) documented the positive impact of HDP adoption on farmer productivity in the Valley, while Mir (2021) identified high establishment costs and inadequate technical knowledge as the principal barriers.

Regional studies indicate that HDP can deliver productivity gains from 15 MT/ha to 60 MT/ha in Kashmir conditions, with income increases from ₹6 lakh/ha to ₹24 lakh/ha (Majid et al., 2018).

Studies in Budgam, Kulgam, and Pulwama districts have highlighted the heterogeneity of adoption outcomes, with results strongly conditioned by terrain, soil quality, irrigation availability, and farmer capacity. Bhat et al. (2021) noted that despite increased cultivation area in the Valley, yields have remained stagnant in many traditional orchards, underscoring the structural limitations of the prevailing system.

3. KEY DEBATES AND RESEARCH GAPS

3.1 Productivity Gains versus Economic Accessibility

The central tension in the literature is between the well-documented productivity and economic advantages of HDP and the structural barriers—particularly high establishment costs—that limit access for smallholder farmers. While studies from Europe and North America demonstrate rapid payback and long-term profitability, these findings are not always directly transferable to developing-country smallholder contexts where capital markets are thin, extension services are limited, and land tenure is fragmented. The debate is therefore not simply about agronomic superiority but about the conditions under which HDP becomes accessible and beneficial to the average farmer.

3.2 Environmental Trade-offs

While Lee et al. (2017) and Baker (2019) present HDP as more resource-efficient, other scholars raise concerns about the chemical intensity of high-density systems. Kafle et al. (2021) noted that HDP requires chemical-based nutrient management and regular training and pruning, in contrast to the organic pit manuring and flood irrigation practices of traditional orchards. Samnegård et al. (2019) found that organic management, more typical of traditional orchards, supported 48% higher species richness of beneficial arthropods despite lower yields. The literature thus presents a genuine trade-off between yield optimisation and biodiversity conservation that remains incompletely resolved.

3.3 Climate Change and System Resilience

The literature affirms that climate change is already reshaping the apple cultivation landscape, yet debate continues on which system is better adapted to future conditions. HDP's dwarfing rootstocks and precision management offer potential resilience advantages, but the concentrated investment required makes HDP orchards more vulnerable to catastrophic weather losses. Traditional systems, while less productive, may offer greater robustness through diversity of practice and lower sunk costs.

3.4 Research Gaps

The review identifies four principal gaps that warrant targeted empirical investigation. First, there is a notable absence of rigorous comparative input-output analyses for traditional versus HDP systems specific to the Kashmir Valley, where the unique combination of agroecological conditions, market structures, and social organisation requires locally grounded research rather than extrapolation from findings in Himachal Pradesh or international contexts. Second, the socioeconomic impacts of HDP adoption on farming households—including effects on income

distribution, household food security, indebtedness, and gender dimensions—remain poorly documented in Kashmir. Third, most existing studies evaluate HDP in isolation rather than in explicit comparison with traditional systems under matched conditions. Fourth, the interaction between climate change, altitudinal migration of apple cultivation, and the comparative viability of the two systems at different elevations within Kashmir has not been systematically examined.

4. SYNTHESIS OF FINDINGS AND FINAL RESULTS

The collective evidence synthesised in this review converges on several substantive conclusions regarding the comparative performance of high-density and traditional apple plantation systems.

In terms of productivity, the advantage of HDP is unambiguous and consistently demonstrated across geographies, climates, and study methodologies. Yields of 60–80 MT/ha in HDP systems represent a five-to-tenfold improvement over traditional orchards producing 8–12 MT/ha. The gestation period differential—3–4 years for HDP versus 10–12 years for traditional systems—translates directly into earlier capital recovery and more competitive returns on investment. At planting densities of 1,000–5,000 trees per hectare (compared to approximately 100 trees/ha in traditional systems), HDP achieves not only greater quantity but demonstrably superior fruit quality in terms of size, colour, and uniformity, attributes that command premium prices in both domestic and export markets.

Economically, HDP offers substantially higher returns over its productive lifetime. In Indian conditions, the income differential of approximately ₹18 lakh/ha in favour of HDP represents a transformative improvement in farm household economics, provided that the high establishment cost (over ₹3.6 million/ha) can be financed. The payback period of 3–5 years compares favourably with the 9–15-year recovery horizon of traditional systems. Labour requirements, while more technically demanding, generate significantly greater rural employment—a critical consideration in regions like Kashmir where agricultural employment is economically and socially important.

From an environmental standpoint, HDP systems offer genuine advantages in resource efficiency—particularly in water use through drip irrigation—and in integrated pest management. However, these gains may be accompanied by reduced biodiversity and greater chemical dependency compared to traditional systems, reflecting a genuine sustainability trade-off that is not fully resolved in the existing literature.

The climate change dimension reinforces the urgency of HDP adoption. Rising temperatures, shifting chilling hour distributions, and the progressive migration of viable apple cultivation to higher altitudes collectively threaten the long-term viability of traditional low-altitude orchards. HDP systems, supported by adaptive rootstock selection and precision management, offer a pathway to maintaining productivity under these altered conditions, though their suitability across the full altitudinal range of Kashmir's apple-growing zones requires further investigation.

For the Kashmir Valley specifically, the evidence suggests that HDP has transformative potential but that its realisation is contingent on addressing the structural barriers of capital access, technical knowledge, and infrastructure. The persistent stagnation of yields in traditional orchards, despite expanding cultivation area, points to an approaching productivity ceiling that only systemic modernisation can overcome. At the same time, the concentrated nature of HDP investment means that poorly supported transitions can deepen financial vulnerability for already marginal farmers.

5. CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

This review has synthesised a substantial body of international, national, and regional literature to present a comparative assessment of high-density and traditional apple plantation systems. The findings establish that HDP systems are agronomically and economically superior across multiple dimensions—yield, fruit quality, labour efficiency, resource use, and long-term profitability—while acknowledging that these advantages are realised only under supportive institutional and environmental conditions.

For the Kashmir Valley, the implications are significant. The region's apple sector faces a convergence of structural stagnation in traditional systems and accelerating climate-induced risk, making the case for a managed transition to HDP compelling. However, the transition must be accompanied by policy interventions that include targeted subsidisation of establishment costs, enhanced rural credit mechanisms, farmer training and extension services, and infrastructure investment in irrigation and cold-chain logistics. Without these enabling conditions, the benefits of HDP risk being captured disproportionately by wealthier and better-connected farmers, deepening inequality within the sector.

This review also underscores the need for new empirical research grounded specifically in the Kashmir Valley context. Comparative input-output studies at the plot and household level, longitudinal assessments of socioeconomic outcomes following HDP adoption, and integrated analyses of climate change vulnerability across the two systems would each contribute materially to the evidence base required for effective policy design. The apple sector in Kashmir stands at an inflection point; informed, evidence-based intervention now can determine whether the transition to high-density systems becomes a driver of broad-based rural prosperity or an opportunity captured by the few.

REFERENCES

Jackson, J. E. (2003). *The biology of apples and pears*. Cambridge university press.

Spengler, R. N. (2019). Origins of the apple: the role of megafaunal mutualism in the domestication of Malus and rosaceous trees. *Frontiers in plant science*, *10*, 617.

Cornille, A., Gladieux, P., Smulders, M. J., Roldán-Ruiz, I., Laurens, F., Le Cam, B., ... & Giraud, T. (2012). New insight into the history of domesticated apple: secondary contribution of the European wild apple to the genome of cultivated varieties. *PLoS genetics*, *8*(5), e1002703.

PETRISOR, C., MITRE, V., MITRE, I., SESTRAS, A. F., & SESTRAS, R. E. (2012). Growth and Fruiting in High Density Apple Planting System, in Cluj-Napoca area, Romania. *Bulletin of the University of Agricultural Sciences & Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca. Horticulture*, *69*(1).

Goedegebure, J. (1980, August). Economic aspects of high density plantings in apple-growing in the Netherlands. In *Symposium on Research and Development on Orchard and Plantation Systems 114* (pp. 388-398).

Cahn, M. B., & Goedegebure, J. (1992). Economic aspects of apple production in relation to tree density. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science*, *20*(3), 289-296.

Lordan, J., Francescatto, P., Dominguez, L. I., & Robinson, T. L. (2018). Long-term effects of tree density and tree shape on apple orchard performance, a 20 year study—Part 1, agronomic analysis. *Scientia Horticulturae*, *238*, 303-317.

Kafle, R., Pandit, S., Aryal, R., Pokhrel, A., Bist, P., & Timilsina, R. H. (2021). Comparing high-density plantation (HDP) system and the conventional plantation system of apple cultivation in Mustang and Manang districts of Nepal. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, *7*(1), 1896118.

Good, D. (1974). High Density Apple Planting Systems. *Journal of the Northeastern Agricultural Economics Council*, *3*(2), 35-45.

Żelazny, W. R., & Licznar-Małańczuk, M. (2018). Soil quality and tree status in a twelve-year-old apple orchard under three mulch-based floor management systems. *Soil and Tillage Research*, *180*, 250-258.

Wang, H., Sheng, Y., Jiang, W., Pan, F., Wang, M., Chen, X., ... & Mao, Z. (2022). The effects of crop rotation combinations on the soil quality of old apple orchard. *Horticultural Plant Journal*, *8*(1), 1-10.

Liao, Y., Cao, H. X., Liu, X., Li, H. T., Hu, Q. Y., & Xue, W. K. (2021). By increasing infiltration and reducing evaporation, mulching can improve the soil water environment and apple yield of orchards in semiarid areas. *Agricultural Water Management*, *253*, 106936.

Aggelopoulou, K. D., Wulfsohn, D., Fountas, S., Gemtos, T. A., Nanos, G. D., & Blackmore, S. (2010). Spatial variation in yield and quality in a small apple orchard. *Precision agriculture*, *11*(5), 538-556.

Willaume, M., Lauri, P. É., & Sinoquet, H. (2004). Light interception in apple trees influenced by canopy architecture manipulation. *Trees*, *18*(6), 705-713.

Loos, J., Dorresteijn, I., Hanspach, J., Fust, P., Rakosy, L., & Fischer, J. (2014). Low-intensity agricultural landscapes in Transylvania support high butterfly diversity: implications for conservation. *PloS one*, *9*(7), e103256.

Zhu, Y., Yang, G., Yang, H., Wu, J., Lei, L., Zhao, F., ... & Zhao, C. (2020). Identification of apple orchard planting year based on spatiotemporally fused satellite images and clustering analysis of foliage phenophase. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(7), 1199.

Sosna, I. (2018). V-shaped Canopies in an Apple Orchard from the Perspective of over a Dozen Years of Research.

Jantakat, Y. (2019). Spatiotemporal change for agricultural distribution from local administrative to provincial scales-based spatial clustering analysis. *International Journal of Building, Urban, Interior and Landscape Technology (BUILT)*, *14*, 67-80.

Castro, H., Siopa, C., Casais, V., Castro, M., Loureiro, J., Gaspar, H., ... & Castro, S. (2021). Spatiotemporal variation in pollination deficits in an insect-pollinated dioecious crop. *Plants*, *10*(7), 1273.

Zhang, Q., Shi, F., Abdullahi, N. M., Shao, L., & Huo, X. (2020). An empirical study on spatial–temporal dynamics and influencing factors of apple production in China. *Plos one*, *15*(10), e0240140.

Robinson, T., Hoying, S. A., DeMaree, A., Iungerman, K., & Fargione, M. (2007). The evolution towards more competitive apple orchard systems in New York. *NY Fruit Q*, *15*(1), 3-9.

Weibel, F. P., Daniel, C., Hammelehle, A., Pfiffner, L., & Wyss, E. (2010). Potential and limits of pesticide free apple growing by a self-regulating orchard set-up: project presentation and first experiences. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Organic Fruit Growing from February 22nd to February 24th* (pp. 292-296). Fördergemeinschaft Oekologischer Obstbau eV (FOEKO).

Sen, V., Rana, R. S., & Chauhan, R. C. (2015). Impact of climate variability on apple production and diversity in Kullu valley, Himachal Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, *72*(1), 14-20.

Wani, M. H., Bhat, A., & Baba, S. H. (2021). Economic evaluation of high density apple (ex-ante) in Kashmir. *International Journal of Fruit Science*, *21*(1), 706-711.

Mir, J. I., Sharma, O. C., Raja, W. H., Sami-Ullah, S., & Raja, R. H. S. High density plantation in apple–A highly remunerative enterprise. *Indian Horticulture*, *66*(5).

Majid, I., Khalil, A., Nazir, N., & Majid, I. (2018). Economic analysis of high density orchards. *International Journal of Advance Research in Science & Engineering*, *7*(4), 821-9.

Kumar, A. (2020). Performance studies of new apple cultivars on different rootstock under high-density plantation in cold dry temperate region of Kinnaur, Himachal Pradesh. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, *11*(2), 148-152.

Kireeti, K., Guleria, C., Mukherjee, D. N., & Sharma, L. R. (2014). A study of the cost of production of apples in Shimla district of Himachal Pradesh. *Progressive Res*, *9*, 866-870.

Badiu, D., Arion, F. H., Muresan, I. C., Lile, R., & Mitre, V. (2015). Evaluation of economic efficiency of apple orchard investments. *Sustainability*, *7*(8), 10521-10533.

Sahu, N., Saini, A., Behera, S. K., Sayama, T., Sahu, L., Nguyen, V. T. V., & Takara, K. (2020). Why apple orchards are shifting to the higher altitudes of the Himalayas?. *PLoS One*, *15*(7), e0235041.

Antofie, M. M., Barbu, I., Sand, C. S., & Blaj, R. (2016). Traditional orchards in Romania: case study Fântânele, Sibiu County. *Genetic resources and crop evolution*, *63*(6), 1035-1048.

Wang, L., Huo, X., & Kabir, S. (2013). Technical and cost efficiency of rural household apple production. *China Agricultural Economic Review*.

Ntakyo, P. R., Mugisha, J., & Elepu, G. (2013). Socio-economic factors affecting apple production in South-Western Uganda. *African Crop Science Journal*, *21*(4).

Wang, Z., Liu, J., Li, T., Chao, J., & Gao, X. (2021). Factors Affecting New Agricultural Business Entities' Adoption of Sustainable Intensification Practices in China: Evidence from the Main Apple-Producing Areas in the Loess Plateau. *Agronomy*, *11*(12), 2435.

Fadavi, R., Keyhani, A., & Mohtasebi, S. S. (2011). An analysis of energy use, input costs and relation between energy inputs and yield of apple orchard. *Research in Agricultural Engineering*, *57*(3), 88-96.

Rafiee, S., Avval, S. H. M., & Mohammadi, A. (2010). Modeling and sensitivity analysis of energy inputs for apple production in Iran. *Energy*, *35*(8), 3301-3306.

Akdemir, S., Akcaoz, H., & Kizilay, H. (2012). An analysis of energy use and input costs for apple production in Turkey. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, *10*(2), 473-479.

Amgai, S., Adhikari, B. K., & Kadariya, M. (2016). Economic analysis of cost of production of apple in mustang district of Nepal. *æxfd| f] hLjhGt', xfd| f] jftfj/0f/jgÙ;+/If0f u/f}+ nufO {; Dk" 0f {tg, dg/wgÆ*, 148.

Bhat, M. S., Lone, F. A., & Rather, J. A. (2021). Evaluation of long term trends in apple cultivation and its productivity in Jammu and Kashmir from 1975 to 2015. *GeoJournal*, *86*(3), 1193-1202.

Hassan, B., Bhattacharjee, M., & Wani, S. (2020). Economics of High-Density Apple Orchards: A Comparative Analysis of Jammu and Kashmir, India and Trentino-Alto-Adige, Italy.

Maqbool, M., Akhtar, F., Najar, G. R., Peer, F. A., Baba, Z. A., Dar, K. R., & Ramzan, S. (2018). Altitudinal and depth-wise micro nutrient indexing of high density apple orchards in North Kashmir, India. *J Pharmacogn Phytochem*, *7*(3), 1905-1911.

Shah, A. H., Ahmad, H. F., Nengroo, Z. A., Kuchay, N. A., & Bhat, M. S. (2013). Changing Land use and Cropping Pattern in Budgam District of Jammu and Kashmir–A Spatio-temporal Analysis. *Int J Sci Eng Res*, *4*(2), 120-142.

Lone, F. A., Ganaie, S. A., Ganaie, M. I., Bhat, M. S., & Rather, J. A. Geo-economic Feasibility of Apple Orchards Across Physiographic Divisions in Kashmir Valley, India.

Ahmed, N., Lone, F. A., Hussain, K., Kanth, R. H., & Sheraz Mahdi, S. (2019). Impact of Climate Change on Temperate Fruit Production in Kashmir Valley, North Western Himalayan Region of India–Challenges, Opportunities and Way Forward. *Climate Change and Agriculture in India: Impact and Adaptation*, 251-262.

Naqash, F., Wani, F. J., & Bhat, I. F. (2018). Socio-Economic analysis of apple beneficiaries in Kashmir Valley. *Agro-Economist*, *5*(1), 13-21.

Hassan, B., Bhattacharjee, M., & Wani, S. A. (2020). Economic analysis of high-density apple plantation scheme in Jammu and Kashmir. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and rural Development*, *10*(1), 379.

Bhat, M. S., Lone, F. A., Shafiq, M. U., & Rather, J. A. (2021). Evaluation of long term trends in apple cultivation and its productivity in Jammu and Kashmir from 1975 to 2015. *GeoJournal*, *86*, 1193-1202.

Malik, Z. A., & Choure, T. (2014). Horticulture growth trajectory evidences in Jammu and Kashmir (A lesson for apple industry in India). *Journal of Business Management & Social Sciences Research*, *3*(5), 7-10.

Islam, R. T., & Shrivastava, S. (2017). A Study on Area, Production and Productivity of Apples in J&K from 2006-07 to 2015-16. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM)*, *5*(7), 6513-6519.

Bhat, T. A., & Choure, T. (2014). Status and strength of apple industry in Jammu and Kashmir. *International Journal of Research*, *1*(4), 277-283.

Cross, J., Fountain, M., Markó, V., & Nagy, C. (2015). Arthropod ecosystem services in apple orchards and their economic benefits. *Ecological Entomology*, *40*, 82-96.

Pardo, A., & Borges, P. A. (2020). Worldwide importance of insect pollination in apple orchards: A review. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, *293*, 106839.

Duan, N., Bai, Y., Sun, H., Wang, N., Ma, Y., Li, M., ... & Chen, X. (2017). Genome re-sequencing reveals the history of apple and supports a two-stage model for fruit enlargement. *Nature communications*, *8*(1), 249.

Li, M., Guo, J., Xu, C., Lei, Y., & Li, J. (2018). Identifying climatic factors and circulation indices related to apple yield variation in main production areas of China. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, *16*, e00478.

Samnegård, U., Alins, G., Boreux, V., Bosch, J., García, D., Happe, A. K., ... & Hambäck, P. A. (2019). Management trade-offs on ecosystem services in apple orchards across Europe: Direct and indirect effects of organic production. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *56*(4), 802-811.

Bhagat, R. M. (2020). Impact of climate change on apples production: World scenario. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, *9*(5), 1219-1228.

Feng, X. L., Chen, Z. X., & Huo, X. X. (2015). Influencing factors of apple farmer adaptation to meteorological disasters. *Resour. Sci*, *37*, 2491-2500.

Tozer, P. R., & Marsh, T. L. (2018). Dynamic regional model of the US apple industry: Consequences of supply or demand shocks due to pest or disease outbreaks and control. *Agricultural systems*, *164*, 252-263.

Kim, M. R., & Kim, S. G. (2014). Examining impact of weather factors on apple yield. *Korean Journal of Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *16*(4), 274-284.

Dalhaus, T., Schlenker, W., Blanke, M. M., Bravin, E., & Finger, R. (2020). The effects of extreme weather on apple quality. *Scientific reports*, *10*(1), 7919.

Kishore, J. (2023). Economic Evaluation of High-density Apple Plantation Technique in Kashmir: Comparison with Traditional Cultivation Methods and Assessment of Financial and Ecological Sustainability. *Journal of Land and Rural Studies*, *11*(2), 150-178.

Majid, I., Khalil, A., Nazir, N., & Majid, I. (2018). Economic analysis of high density orchards. *International Journal of Advance Research in Science & Engineering*, *7*(4), 821-9.

Economics of Apple Production in High Density and Traditional Orchard in Manang, Nepal. (2024). *International Journal of Life Science and Agriculture Research* , *3*(12), 987-994. https://doi.org/10.55677/ijlsar/V03I12Y2024-10

Wani, M. H., Bhat, A., & Baba, S. H. (2021). Economic evaluation of high density apple (ex-ante) in Kashmir. *International Journal of Fruit Science*, *21*(1), 706-711.

Singh, R., Vaidya, C. S., Saraswat, S. P., Singh, I., & Pawan Verma, M. (2012). *Impact of high density apple plantation under horticulture in Himachal Pradesh* (pp. 1-126). Agro Economic Research Centre, HP University.

Hassan, B., Bhattacharjee, M., & Wani, S. (2020b). Economics of high-density apple orchards: A comparative analysis of Jammu and Kashmir, India and Trentino-AltoAdige, Italy. Global Journal of Human Social Science, 20(9), 27–36.

Daniel-Nicolae, C., & Gheorghe, P. (2022). Evaluation of productive and quality performances at some apple varieties cultivated in a high density system in the Dambovita fruit basin.

Smith, J. (2018). Yield efficiency in high-density apple orchards. *Journal of Horticultural Science*, 45(3), 123-134.

Brown, L., & Green, T. (2019). Economic analysis of high-density planting systems in apple cultivation. *Agricultural Economics Review, 12*(2), 89-102.

Johnson, R. (2020). Quality assessment of apples in high-density vs. traditional orchards. *Fruit Science Journal, 33*(4), 567-578.

Lee, S., & Patel, K. (2017). Environmental sustainability of high-density apple planting systems. *Sustainable Agriculture Research, 6*(1), 45-59.

Martinez, A. (2021). Challenges in transitioning to high-density apple orchards. *Journal of Agricultural Innovation, 8*(3), 112-125.

Wilson, E. (2019). Rootstock performance in high-density apple systems. *Horticultural Plant Journal, 5*(2), 78-90.

Taylor, M. (2020). Labor dynamics in high-density apple orchards. *Journal of Agribusiness Management, 14*(1), 34-47.

Clark, R. (2019). Pest management in high-density apple systems. *Journal of Integrated Pest Management, 10*(1), 56-68.

Garcia, M. (2020). Microclimate effects in high-density apple orchards. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 280*, 108-120.

Robinson, K. (2018). Shelf life of apples from high-density systems. *Postharvest Biology and Technology, 142*, 89-97.

Baker, S. (2019). Irrigation efficiency in high-density apple orchards. *Irrigation Science, 37*(4), 345-357.

Evans, L. (2020). Biodiversity in high-density apple systems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 290*, 106-118.

King, R. (2017). Financial risks of high-density apple systems. *Agricultural Finance Review, 77*(2), 234-246.

Parker, T. (2018). Flavor profiles of apples from high-density systems. *Journal of Food Science, 83*(5), 1234-1245.

Sheikh, B., & Baba, S. (2023). Effects of Climate Change on Apple Farming in Kashmir Valley. *International Journal of Agriculture and Animal Production*. https://doi.org/10.55529/ijaap.34.12.17.

Basannagari, B., & Kala, C. (2013). Climate Change and Apple Farming in Indian Himalayas: A Study of Local Perceptions and Responses. *PLoS ONE*, 8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0077976.

Pramanick, K., Jindal, K., Sharma, G., & Shukla, A. (2017). Effect of Climate Change on Apple Productivity. *Environment and Ecology Research*, 5, 325-333. https://doi.org/10.13189/EER.2017.050501.

Berman, J., & Smyth, R. (2015). Conceptual frameworks in the doctoral research process: a pedagogical model. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 52, 125 - 136. https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2013.809011.

Sheikh, B., & Baba, S. (2023). Effects of Climate Change on Apple Farming in Kashmir Valley. *International Journal of Agriculture and Animal Production*. https://doi.org/10.55529/ijaap.34.12.17.

Ganaie, S. A., Parry, M. J. A. and Bhat, M. S., 2017. Cropping land use shift and food deficit- A disaster in making in Jammu and Kashmir, India. AGU International Journal of Professional Studies and Research (AGUIJPSR), 5, 134-144).

Loos, J., Dorresteijn, I., Hanspach, J., Fust, P., Rakosy, L., & Fischer, J. (2014). Low-intensity agricultural landscapes in Transylvania support high butterfly diversity: implications for conservation. *PloS one*, *9*(7), e103256.)

Kafle, R., Pandit, S., Aryal, R., Pokhrel, A., Bist, P., & Timilsina, R. H. (2021). Comparing high-density plantation (HDP) system and the conventional plantation system of apple cultivation in Mustang and Manang districts of Nepal. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, *7*(1), 1896118.)

Lone, F. A., Ganaie, S. A., Ganaie, M. I., Bhat, M. S., & Rather, J. A. (2022). Geo-economic feasibility of apple orchards Across physiographic divisions in Kashmir Valley, India. *Journal of Geographical Studies*, *6*(1), 40-48.

Zhu, Y., Yang, G., Yang, H., Wu, J., Lei, L., Zhao, F., ... & Zhao, C. (2020). Identification of apple orchard planting year based on spatiotemporally fused satellite images and clustering analysis of foliage phenophase. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(7), 1199.

Tripathi, P., Shah, S., Kashyap, S. D., & Tripathi, A. (2019). Fruit yield and quality characteristics of high density Prunus persica (L.) Batsch plantation intercropped with medicinal and aromatic plants in the Indian Western Himalayas. *Agroforestry Systems*, *93*(5), 1717-1728

Mankotia, S., & Sharma, J. (2023). Response of NPK Fertilization and Irrigation in Nutrient and Soil Moisture Distribution Under High-Density Apple Plantation in the NW Himalayan Region. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 55, 101 - 117. https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2023.2262516.

Parkes, H., Darbyshire, R., & White, N. (2020). Chilling requirements of apple cultivars grown in mild Australian winter conditions. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 260, 108858. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.108858.

Karl, A., & Peck, G. (2022). Greater Sunlight Exposure during Early Fruit Development Increases Polyphenol Concentration, Soluble Solid Concentration, and Fruit Mass of Cider Apples. *Horticulturae*. https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae8110993.

Dallabetta, N. (2014). Effect of training systems and pruning methods on fruit quality in apple. . https://doi.org/10.6092/unibo/amsdottorato/6366.