



Love And Ethics: A Comparative Study of Sangam Literature and Shakespearean Sonnets

Dr.P. Jeyasankar

Assistant Prof., Department of Tamil,

NPR College of Engineering and Technology, Natham, Dindigul.

jeyasankarp@nprcolleges.org

How to Cite this Article:

Jeyasankar, P. (2026). Love And Ethics: A Comparative Study of Sangam Literature and Shakespearean Sonnets. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, <i>02</i>(04).
<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.001>

License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.001>

Abstract

Love, as a universal yet culturally mediated experience, occupies a central position in both classical and early modern literary traditions. This study undertakes a comparative analysis of love and ethics in Tamil Sangam poetry and the sonnets of William Shakespeare. While Sangam *Akam* poetry encodes love within a socially regulated and ecologically symbolic framework, Shakespeare's sonnets foreground psychological complexity, individual desire, and moral ambiguity. Using a qualitative textual analysis supported by comparative literary theory and ethical criticism, this paper argues that Sangam literature articulates a collective ethical model rooted in harmony and restraint, whereas Shakespeare's sonnets reveal an inward, often conflicted ethical consciousness shaped by Renaissance humanism. The study contributes to cross-cultural literary discourse by highlighting both convergences and divergences in the ethical imagination of love.

Keywords

Sangam poetry, Shakespearean sonnets, love, ethics, Akam, comparative literature, Renaissance, emotional discourse



Introduction

Love has remained one of the most enduring subjects in global literary traditions. However, its representation varies significantly depending on cultural, historical, and philosophical contexts. Tamil Sangam literature (c. 300 BCE–300 CE) offers a highly systematized portrayal of love through the *Akam* genre, where emotional experiences are mapped onto ecological landscapes (*thinai*). In contrast, the sonnets of William Shakespeare (1564–1616), composed during the English Renaissance, present love as psychologically intricate and ethically unstable.

This paper explores how these two traditions conceptualize love and ethics, focusing on the interplay between emotional expression and moral frameworks. While Sangam poetry embeds love within social codes and collective values, Shakespeare's sonnets reflect individual struggle, desire, and ethical ambiguity.

Research Gap and Objectives

Research Gap

Existing scholarship has extensively examined Sangam poetry (Ramanujan, 1985; Hart, 1975) and Shakespearean sonnets (Vendler, 1997; Booth, 1977) independently. However, **comparative studies focusing specifically on ethical constructions of love across these two traditions remain limited**. Most cross-cultural analyses prioritize thematic similarities without addressing ethical frameworks.

Objectives

This study aims to:

1. Analyze representations of love in Sangam *Akam* poetry and Shakespearean sonnets
2. Examine ethical structures governing romantic relationships in both traditions
3. Identify cultural influences shaping emotional and moral discourse
4. Contribute to comparative literary and ethical criticism

Methodology

This research adopts a **qualitative, interpretive comparative methodology**.

Primary Texts

- Selected poems from *Kuruntokai*, *Akananuru*, and *Natrinai*
- Sonnets 18, 29, 116, 129, and 147 by Shakespeare

Analytical Framework

- **Thematic Analysis:** Love, separation, desire, fidelity
- **Ethical Criticism:** Moral values, social norms, individual conscience
- **Cultural Poetics:** Contextual interpretation of texts

Theoretical Orientation

The study draws upon:

- Comparative Literature (Damrosch, 2003)
- Ethical Criticism (Booth, 1988)
- Cultural Theory (Eagleton, 2008)



Love and Ethical Order in Sangam Poetry

Sangam poetry presents love as a culturally regulated and symbolically structured experience. The *thinai* system connects emotional states with landscapes, creating an ethical ecology of love (Ramanujan, 1985).

Structured Emotional Experience

Each *thinai* encodes specific stages of love:

- *Kurinji* – union
- *Mullai* – waiting
- *Marutham* – conflict
- *Neithal* – separation
- *Palai* – hardship

This system ensures that love is not chaotic but ethically ordered (Hart, 1975).

Ethics of Restraint and Harmony

In Sangam poetry, love is governed by implicit moral codes. For example, clandestine love (*kalavu*) must eventually transition into socially sanctioned marriage (*karpu*), reflecting a balance between desire and duty (Zvelebil, 1973).

Unlike modern individualism, Sangam ethics emphasize:

- Emotional restraint
- Social responsibility
- Respect for familial structures

Collective Mediation of Love

Love is rarely private. Characters such as the *thozhi* (female friend) mediate relationships, reinforcing communal ethics. As Ramanujan (1985) notes, Sangam poetry “locates personal emotion within shared cultural codes.”

Love and Moral Ambiguity in Shakespearean Sonnets

In contrast, Shakespeare’s sonnets present love as deeply personal and ethically unstable.

Idealization and Transience

In Sonnet 18, the poet immortalizes beauty through verse:

“So long lives this, and this gives life to thee” (Shakespeare, 2002).

Here, love transcends mortality, yet it is dependent on poetic representation.

Desire and Ethical Conflict

Sonnet 129 portrays lust as destructive:

“The expense of spirit in a waste of shame” (Shakespeare, 2002).

This reflects internal moral struggle, where desire conflicts with ethical judgment (Vendler, 1997).



Psychological Complexity

Sonnet 147 describes love as illness:

“My love is as a fever” (Shakespeare, 2002).

Such metaphors reveal emotional instability and moral ambiguity, in sharp contrast to Sangam harmony.

Comparative Analysis

Structural Differences

- Sangam: Systematic, symbolic, culturally codified
- Shakespeare: Fluid, introspective, psychologically driven

Ethical Frameworks

- Sangam: External, community-oriented ethics
- Shakespeare: Internal, individual morality

Representation of Desire

- Sangam: Controlled, dignified expression
- Shakespeare: Intense, often destructive

Nature and Symbolism

- Sangam: Integral to emotional meaning
- Shakespeare: Figurative and rhetorical

Gender and Power

Sangam poetry often reflects balanced relationships, whereas Shakespeare’s sonnets sometimes depict possessiveness and objectification (Greenblatt, 1980).

Discussion

The contrast between Sangam poetry and Shakespearean sonnets reflects broader cultural differences. Sangam literature embodies a collectivist worldview where ethics regulate emotion, while Shakespeare’s works align with Renaissance humanism, emphasizing individual experience and moral introspection.

However, both traditions converge in recognizing love as transformative. Whether through ecological symbolism or poetic immortality, love becomes a means of understanding human existence.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that love, though universal, is shaped by cultural ethics. Sangam poetry presents a harmonious and socially integrated model, while Shakespeare’s sonnets explore emotional depth and moral ambiguity.

Future research may explore translation studies, digital adaptations, and interdisciplinary approaches to expand comparative literary scholarship further.



References

- ❖ Abrams, M. H. (2015). *A glossary of literary terms*. Cengage.
- ❖ Booth, S. (1977). *Shakespeare's sonnets*. Yale University Press.
- ❖ Booth, W. C. (1988). *The company we keep*. University of California Press.
- ❖ Bloom, H. (1999). *Shakespeare: The invention of the human*. Riverhead.
- ❖ Cutler, N. (1987). *Songs of experience*. Indiana University Press.
- ❖ Damrosch, D. (2003). *What is world literature?* Princeton University Press.
- ❖ Eagleton, T. (2008). *Literary theory*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- ❖ Greenblatt, S. (1980). *Renaissance self-fashioning*. University of Chicago Press.
- ❖ Hart, G. (1975). *The poems of ancient Tamil*. University of California Press.
- ❖ Krishnan, R. S. (2010). *Tamil Sangam literature*. International Institute of Tamil Studies.
- ❖ Leavis, F. R. (1952). *The common pursuit*. Penguin.
- ❖ Ramanujan, A. K. (1985). *Poems of love and war*. Columbia University Press.
- ❖ Shakespeare, W. (2002). *Shakespeare's sonnets*. Oxford University Press.
- ❖ Vendler, H. (1997). *The art of Shakespeare's sonnets*. Harvard University Press.
- ❖ Wimsatt, W. K. (1954). *The verbal icon*. University Press of Kentucky.
- ❖ Zvelebil, K. (1973). *The smile of Murugan*. Brill.