



# Recruiter's Perception of Artificial Intelligence Based Tools in Recruitment at Virtusa, Chennai

Mr. Shabir Ahmed M S

Department of Management Studies

Saveetha Engineering College (Autonomous), Chennai, India Shabirahamed1112@gmail.com

## How to Cite this Article:

S, S. A. M. (2026). Recruiter's Perception of Artificial Intelligence Based Tools in Recruitment at Virtusa, Chennai. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, <i>02</i>(04). <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.005>

## License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i4.005>

**ABSTRACT** Artificial intelligence (AI) has rapidly permeated the domain of human resource management, transforming traditional recruitment processes through intelligent automation, predictive analytics, and data-driven candidate assessment. This study investigates the perceptions of recruiters at Virtusa, Chennai, regarding the adoption, utility, effectiveness, and challenges associated with AI-based recruitment tools. A structured questionnaire survey was administered to 100 recruitment professionals comprising HR recruiters, talent acquisition specialists, senior recruiters, and HR managers. The study evaluates recruiter awareness and usage of AI tools, their perceived impact on candidate quality, time efficiency, workload reduction, selection accuracy, ease of integration, bias mitigation, and overall hiring efficiency. Key findings reveal that 91% of respondents possess awareness of AI-based recruitment tools, and 57% confirm active organizational use. Mean perception scores are highest for workload reduction (4.17/5) and time savings (3.90/5). Phenom and LinkedIn Recruiter AI are the most adopted platforms. Integration challenges (30%) and high costs (29%) are the foremost barriers. Critically, 61% of respondents disagree that AI will replace human recruiters, affirming the enduring centrality of human judgment in talent acquisition. The study contributes empirical evidence for the strategic positioning of AI in technology-sector recruitment and offers recommendations for optimizing AI tool adoption at Virtusa.

**INDEX TERMS** Artificial Intelligence, Recruitment Tools, Recruiter Perception, Virtusa, Talent Acquisition, AI Adoption, Hiring Efficiency, HR Technology.



## 1. INTRODUCTION

The integration of artificial intelligence into human resource management represents one of the most consequential technological transformations of the contemporary workplace. Across industries, organizations are increasingly deploying AI-powered tools to automate, augment, and optimize the talent acquisition process — from intelligent resume parsing and semantic candidate matching to AI-driven video interview assessment, predictive attrition modeling, and chatbot-facilitated candidate engagement. These developments have fundamentally altered the operational landscape of recruitment, enabling organizations to process vastly larger candidate volumes with greater speed, consistency, and analytical depth than was possible through conventional manual methods.

Virtusa Corporation, a leading global information technology services and digital engineering company headquartered in Southborough, Massachusetts, with significant operations in Chennai, India, represents an exemplary organizational context for investigating AI recruitment adoption. As a technology-intensive firm operating in a highly competitive talent market, Virtusa faces persistent challenges in attracting, identifying, and securing specialized technical talent at scale. The organization's recruitment function is therefore both a critical business driver and a natural early adopter of emerging recruitment technologies, including AI-based tools.

Despite the rapid proliferation of AI recruitment tools in the industry, the empirical understanding of how recruitment practitioners themselves perceive, experience, and evaluate these tools remains incompletely characterized. Recruiters occupy a uniquely informed vantage point from which to assess the practical utility of AI tools: they interact with these systems daily, observe their outputs in real candidate contexts, and are directly accountable for the quality and efficiency of hiring outcomes. Their perceptions — of tool effectiveness, ease of use, bias implications, workload impact, and the broader question of AI's relationship to human judgment in hiring — constitute critical evidence for organizational technology investment decisions and policy design.

This study addresses this gap by conducting a systematic empirical investigation of recruiter perceptions of AI-based recruitment tools at Virtusa, Chennai. Drawing on structured survey responses from 100 recruitment professionals, the research evaluates awareness and adoption patterns, functional utility perceptions across eight key dimensions, identified challenges and benefits, training adequacy, attitudes toward AI's future role in recruitment, and overall perception of AI-based recruitment technology. The findings are intended to provide HR

leadership, technology strategists, and organizational decision-makers with an evidence-based foundation for optimizing AI recruitment tool adoption and governance.

## 2. LITERATURE SURVEY

The scholarly investigation of artificial intelligence in recruitment has grown substantially over the past decade, mirroring the rapid advancement and commercialization of AI technologies in the human resource management domain. Cappelli [1] provided an influential early analysis of how data-driven approaches to hiring were transforming traditional recruitment practices, cautioning that while algorithmic tools offer significant efficiency advantages, they may inadvertently optimize for historical hiring patterns rather than genuine predictive validity. His work established a foundational critical perspective that has informed subsequent research on AI recruitment tool evaluation.

Tambe, Cappelli, and Yakubovich [2] conducted a comprehensive examination of artificial intelligence applications in human resource management, identifying talent acquisition as one of the most AI-receptive HR functions due to the high volume, repetitive nature, and data availability of recruitment activities. Their study demonstrated that organizations deploying AI-driven resume screening and candidate matching tools achieved measurable improvements in time-to-hire and quality-of-hire metrics, while also highlighting the critical importance of algorithmic transparency and bias auditing in ensuring equitable outcomes.



The question of algorithmic bias in AI recruitment tools has received substantial scholarly attention. Raghavan, Barocas, Kleinberg, and Levy [3] demonstrated that machine learning models used in candidate screening can encode and perpetuate historical biases present in training data, potentially disadvantaging candidates from underrepresented demographic groups. Their findings underscore the importance of the bias perception dimension examined in the present study and highlight the need for recruiter awareness of these limitations as a precondition for responsible AI tool deployment.

Upadhyay and Khandelwal [4] specifically examined the adoption of AI-powered recruitment features in Indian IT organizations, finding that while recruiters demonstrated strong awareness of available AI tools, actual adoption rates were moderated by concerns regarding integration complexity, data privacy, and the perceived adequacy of organizational training support. These barriers align closely with the challenge dimensions evaluated in the present study and provide important contextual grounding for the Virtusa-specific findings.

The perceived threat of AI to human recruiter roles has been extensively debated in both academic and practitioner literature. Hmoud and Laszlo [5] conducted a survey of HR professionals' perceptions of AI recruitment adoption, finding that the vast majority rejected the notion that AI would fully replace human judgment in hiring, instead perceiving AI as an augmentative technology that enhances rather than supplants recruiter capabilities. This finding resonates with the present study's investigation of recruiter attitudes toward AI-human role boundaries at Virtusa.

Pillai and Sivathanu [6] examined the adoption of AI for talent acquisition in Indian IT and ITeS organizations specifically, identifying organizational readiness, perceived usefulness, and ease of use as the primary determinants of AI recruitment tool adoption intention. Their Technology Acceptance Model-based framework provides a theoretical lens for interpreting the ease of use and perceived utility findings of the present empirical investigation. Similarly, Strohmeier and Piazza [7] argued that the effective deployment of AI in HR requires not only technological readiness but also the development of new competencies among HR practitioners — competencies that necessitate structured organizational training and change management support.

### 3. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The accelerating adoption of AI-based recruitment tools in technology organizations such as Virtusa presents both significant opportunities and non-trivial challenges for recruitment practitioners. While the potential benefits of AI in terms of efficiency, scale, and analytical depth are widely documented, the actual experiences of frontline recruiters — who bear the operational responsibility for tool adoption and outcomes — are less systematically understood. Specific gaps exist in the empirical characterization of recruiter perceptions across functional dimensions such as candidate quality improvement, time savings, workload reduction, selection accuracy, ease of integration, and bias implications.

Furthermore, the organizational conditions that mediate successful AI tool adoption — including training adequacy, management support, and system integration quality — and the challenges that impede effective utilization remain insufficiently characterized in the specific context of Indian IT services organizations. The question of whether recruiters perceive AI as a genuinely useful augmentation of their professional capabilities or as an imperfectly implemented technology imposed upon existing workflows is of direct strategic relevance to organizations such as Virtusa that are making substantial investments in AI recruitment infrastructure.

This study addresses these gaps by providing a rigorous empirical assessment of recruiter perceptions at Virtusa, Chennai, with the dual aim of generating organizational insights that can directly inform recruitment technology strategy and contributing to the broader academic literature on AI adoption in talent acquisition.

### 4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### 4.1 Research Design

This study adopts a descriptive quantitative research design. A structured questionnaire comprising 28 items was developed to assess AI tool awareness and adoption, functional utility perceptions (eight dimensions on a five-point Likert scale from 1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree), perceived impact on bias reduction, attitudes toward AI-human role boundaries, primary challenges and benefits, training adequacy, future adoption outlook, and overall perception. The instrument was reviewed by three HR domain experts prior to deployment to ensure content validity and clarity.



## 4.2 Sample and Data Collection

A purposive sample of 100 recruitment professionals at Virtusa, Chennai, and associated HR units was surveyed. Respondents included HR recruiters, talent acquisition specialists, senior recruiters, HR managers, associate recruiters, and sourcing specialists. The demographic profile is presented in Table 4.1.

Variable	Category	Frequency (%)
Age Group	Below 25	45 (45.0%)
	25 – 35	38 (38.0%)
	36 – 45	14 (14.0%)
	Above 45	3 (3.0%)
Gender	Male	58 (58.0%)
	Female	42 (42.0%)
Experience	Less than 1 year	30 (30.0%)
	1 – 3 years	35 (35.0%)
	3 – 5 years	20 (20.0%)
	More than 5 years	15 (15.0%)
Job Role	HR Recruiter	28 (28.0%)
	Talent Acquisition Specialist	22 (22.0%)
	Senior Recruiter	18 (18.0%)
	HR Manager	12 (12.0%)
	Associate Recruiter / Sourcing	20 (20.0%)

**Table 4.1. Demographic Profile of Respondents (N=100)**

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.3 AI Tool Awareness and Adoption

Survey findings reveal a high level of AI tool awareness among Virtusa recruiters, with 51.0% reporting full awareness and 40.0% indicating partial awareness of available AI-based recruitment tools. Only 9.0% reported no awareness, suggesting that organizational communication and professional exposure to AI tools have been broadly effective. With respect to actual adoption, 57.0% of respondents confirmed that their organization actively uses AI- based recruitment tools, 17.0% are planning adoption, and 26.0% indicated no current AI tool usage. The adoption profile reflects Virtusa's progressive positioning as a technology-driven organization, while also identifying a substantial segment of the recruitment function that has not yet fully integrated AI into operational workflows.

Table 5.1 presents the distribution of AI tool awareness, adoption status, and usage frequency among respondents.

Dimension	Category	Frequency (%)
AI Tool Awareness	Yes, fully aware	51 (51.0%)
	Somewhat aware	40 (40.0%)
	Not aware	9 (9.0%)
Organizational AI Adoption	Yes, actively used	57 (57.0%)
	Planning to use	17 (17.0%)



	Not used	26 (26.0%)
Usage Frequency (among users)	Daily	29 (29.0%)
	Weekly	40 (40.0%)
	Monthly / Rarely	31 (31.0%)

**Table 5.1. AI Tool Awareness, Adoption and Usage Frequency (N=100)**

#### 4.4 AI Tools Used and Primary Purposes

Among respondents who confirmed active AI tool usage, Phenom emerged as the most widely utilized platform (24.6%), reflecting Virtusa's established deployment of the Phenom talent experience platform for candidate relationship management and AI-driven sourcing. LinkedIn Recruiter AI followed at 19.3%, leveraging the platform's intelligent candidate recommendations and InMail optimization capabilities. Eightfold.ai (15.8%), Pymetrics (12.3%), and HireVue (12.3%) constituted additional significant platforms, with Veriklick, CBIE AI Bot, and Beeribot representing specialized assessment and screening tools in active use.

The primary purposes cited for AI tool deployment were candidate matching (29.8%), resume screening (28.1%), interview scheduling (21.1%), candidate assessment (12.3%), and sourcing automation (8.8%), reflecting a broad deployment across the recruitment value chain rather than concentration in any single application area.

AI Tool	Frequency (Users)	% of Users
Phenom	14	24.6%
LinkedIn Recruiter AI	11	19.3%
Eightfold.ai	9	15.8%
Pymetrics	7	12.3%
HireVue	7	12.3%
Veriklick	4	7.0%
CBIE AI Bot	4	7.0%
Beeribot	1	1.8%

**Table 5.2. AI Recruitment Tools in Use at Virtusa (N=57 active users)**

#### 4.5 Recruiter Perception Scores Across Eight Dimensions

Recruiter perceptions of AI tool effectiveness were evaluated across eight functional dimensions using a five-point Likert scale. Table 5.3 presents the mean scores and interpretive ratings for each dimension.

Perception Dimension	Mean Score (/5)	Interpretation
AI tools reduce recruiter workload	4.17	Good
AI tools save time in recruitment process	3.90	Good
AI tools improve overall hiring efficiency	3.90	Good
Satisfaction with AI tools currently used	3.75	Good
AI tools improve quality of candidates shortlisted	3.70	Good
AI tools reduce bias in recruitment	3.62	Satisfactory
AI tools are easy to use and integrate	3.53	Satisfactory



AI tools improve accuracy in candidate selection	3.49	Satisfactory
--	------	--------------

**Table 5.3. Recruiter Perception Scores Across Eight Dimensions (N=100)**

Workload reduction ( $M=4.17$ ) and time savings ( $M=3.90$ ) received the highest mean scores, affirming that recruiters most tangibly experience AI's value in alleviating the administrative burden of high-volume candidate processing. Overall hiring efficiency ( $M=3.90$ ) and satisfaction with current tools ( $M=3.75$ ) also received good ratings, while bias reduction ( $M=3.62$ ), ease of use and integration ( $M=3.53$ ), and accuracy in candidate selection ( $M=3.49$ ) received satisfactory ratings, indicating areas where AI tool performance does not yet fully meet recruiter expectations. The relatively lower score for ease of use is consistent with the integration challenge identified as the most prevalent barrier in the challenges analysis, suggesting that technical integration complexity is a meaningful friction point in the AI tool adoption experience.

#### 4.6 Perceived Bias Reduction and AI-Human Role Boundary

The question of whether AI tools reduce bias in recruitment generated notably differentiated responses. While 24.0% of respondents affirmed significant bias reduction and 36.0% acknowledged partial reduction, 23.0% expressed neutral views and 17.0% denied bias reduction effects, suggesting that recruiter perceptions of AI's fairness implications are substantially more ambivalent than marketing communications for these platforms typically represent. This finding is consistent with the academic literature documenting the capacity of machine learning systems to encode historical biases, and underscores the importance of recruiter education regarding algorithmic bias mechanisms and mitigation strategies.

On the critical question of whether AI tools will replace human recruiters, recruiter perceptions were markedly sceptical: 34.0% strongly disagreed and 27.0% disagreed with the replacement proposition, while only 9.0% agreed and 7.0% strongly agreed. This 61.0% majority rejection of the AI-replacement narrative reflects a professionally grounded confidence in the irreplaceable role of human judgment, relationship management, and contextual sensitivity in talent acquisition — capabilities that current AI systems, however sophisticated, have not replicated.

Dimension	Category	Frequency (%)
AI Reduces Bias in Hiring	Yes, significantly	24 (24.0%)
	Yes, to some extent	36 (36.0%)
	Neutral	23 (23.0%)
	No	17 (17.0%)
AI Will Replace Recruiters	Strongly Disagree / Disagree	61 (61.0%)
	Neutral	23 (23.0%)
	Agree / Strongly Agree	16 (16.0%)

**Table 5.4. Perceived Bias Reduction and AI-Human Role Boundary (N=100)**

#### 4.7 Challenges and Benefits of AI Tool Adoption

Table 5.5 presents the primary challenges and most significant benefits identified by respondents in relation to AI- based recruitment tool adoption.



Challenges	Frequency	% of Respondents
Lack of integration with existing systems	30	30.0%
High cost of AI tools	29	29.0%
Data privacy concerns	18	18.0%
Lack of training / knowledge	15	15.0%
Resistance from management	8	8.0%
Most Significant Benefits	Frequency	% of Respondents
Faster candidate screening	39	39.0%
Better candidate quality	27	27.0%
Reduced manual effort	19	19.0%
Improved decision making	10	10.0%
24/7 sourcing capability	5	5.0%

**Table 5.5. Challenges and Benefits of AI Recruitment Tool Adoption (N=100)**

Integration challenges (30.0%) and high costs (29.0%) are the foremost barriers to AI adoption, underscoring the importance of vendor selection that prioritizes seamless ATS compatibility and the development of scalable, cost-efficient licensing models. Data privacy concerns (18.0%) reflect the heightened regulatory sensitivity around candidate data processing in AI systems, while training inadequacy (15.0%) points to a significant organizational investment gap. On the benefits side, faster screening (39.0%) and better candidate quality (27.0%) are the most valued outcomes, consistent with the high workload reduction and time savings scores reported in the perception analysis.

#### 4.8 Training Adequacy, Future Adoption, and Overall Perception

Training adequacy findings reveal that only 36.0% of respondents consider AI tool training adequate, while 32.0% indicate training is provided but needs improvement, and a combined 32.0% report insufficient or absent training. This training gap represents a critical organizational priority, as effective AI tool utilization is strongly dependent on practitioner competency in tool operation, output interpretation, and bias-aware application. Future adoption outlook is broadly optimistic, with 46.0% expressing definite confidence in wider future AI adoption and 37.0% expressing conditional optimism, yielding an 83.0% overall positive future outlook.

Dimension	Category	Frequency (%)
Training Adequacy	Yes, adequate	36 (36.0%)
	Yes, but needs improvement	32 (32.0%)
	No, insufficient / None received	32 (32.0%)
Future AI Adoption Outlook	Yes, definitely	46 (46.0%)
	Yes, maybe	37 (37.0%)
	Not sure / No	17 (17.0%)



Overall AI Perception	Very Positive / Positive	66 (66.0%)
	Neutral	24 (24.0%)
	Negative / Very Negative	10 (10.0%)
Recommend AI to Others	Strongly Recommend / Recommend	78 (78.0%)
	Neutral	15 (15.0%)
	Do Not Recommend	7 (7.0%)

**Table 5.6. Training, Future Adoption, Overall Perception and Recommendation (N=100)**

Overall perception of AI-based recruitment tools is positive or very positive for 66.0% of respondents, with 24.0% expressing neutral views and 10.0% holding negative perceptions. The 78.0% recommendation rate — combining those who strongly recommend and recommend AI tools to fellow recruiters — represents a strong endorsement from practitioners who have direct experiential knowledge of these systems' capabilities and limitations, and constitutes a compelling organizational signal for the continued and expanded investment in AI recruitment technology at Virtusa.

## 5. CONCLUSION

This study presents a comprehensive empirical investigation of recruiter perceptions of AI-based recruitment tools at Virtusa, Chennai. The findings collectively paint a picture of broad awareness, moderate-to-strong adoption, and predominantly positive functional evaluation, tempered by significant challenges in system integration, cost, training adequacy, and concerns regarding algorithmic bias. The highest-rated AI benefits — workload reduction (M=4.17) and time savings (M=3.90) — reflect the tangible operational value that AI tools deliver to recruitment practitioners managing high-volume hiring mandates. The more moderated scores for accuracy (M=3.49) and ease of use (M=3.53) identify the dimensions where AI tool performance most needs to improve to fully realize its potential in the Virtusa recruitment context.

The finding that 61.0% of recruiters reject the proposition that AI will replace human recruiters, combined with the 78.0% recommendation rate, reflects a mature practitioner perspective that positions AI as a powerful augmentation of human capability rather than a substitute for it. This framing — AI as copilot rather than pilot — is consistent with the most effective implementations of recruitment technology documented in the academic literature and should inform Virtusa's AI recruitment strategy going forward.

Strategic recommendations include: investing in structured, role-specific AI tool training programs to address the 32.0% training gap; prioritizing vendor selection criteria that emphasize ATS integration compatibility and total cost of ownership transparency; establishing an AI governance framework that includes regular algorithmic bias auditing and recruiter feedback mechanisms; and designing a phased AI expansion roadmap that progressively extends AI deployment from high-volume screening to more nuanced candidate assessment applications. Future research may profitably examine the longitudinal relationship between AI tool adoption maturity and measurable recruitment quality outcomes, as well as the differential perceptions of AI across recruiter experience levels and functional specializations.



## REFERENCES

- [1] Cappelli, P. (2019). Your approach to hiring is all wrong. *Harvard Business Review*, 97(3), 48–58.
- [2] Tambe, P., Cappelli, P., and Yakubovich, V. (2019). Artificial intelligence in human resources management: Challenges and a path forward. *California Management Review*, 61(4), 15–42.
- [3] Raghavan, M., Barocas, S., Kleinberg, J., and Levy, K. (2020). Mitigating bias in algorithmic hiring: Evaluating claims and practices. *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, pp. 469–481.
- [4] Upadhyay, A. K., and Khandelwal, K. (2018). Applying artificial intelligence: Implications for recruitment. *Strategic HR Review*, 17(5), 255–258.
- [5] Hmoud, B., and Laszlo, V. (2019). Will artificial intelligence take over human resources recruitment and selection? *Network Intelligence Studies*, 7(13), 21–30.
- [6] Pillai, R., and Sivathanu, B. (2020). Adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) for talent acquisition in IT/ITeS organizations. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 27(9), 2599–2629.
- [7] Strohmeier, S., and Piazza, F. (2015). Artificial intelligence techniques in human resource management. In C. Kahraman and S. Cevik Onar (Eds.), *Intelligent Techniques in Engineering Management* (pp. 149–172). Springer.
- [8] Van Esch, P., Black, J. S., and Ferolie, J. (2019). Marketing AI recruitment: The next phase in job application and selection. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 90, 215–222.
- [9] Black, J. S., and van Esch, P. (2020). AI-enabled recruiting: What is it and how should a manager use it? *Business Horizons*, 63(2), 215–226.
- [10] Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340.
- [11] Fernandez, V., and Gallardo-Gallardo, E. (2021). Tackling the HR digitalization challenge: Key factors and barriers to HR analytics adoption. *Competitiveness Review*, 31(1), 162–187.
- [12] Nawaz, N. (2019). Artificial intelligence interchange human intervention in the recruitment process in Indian IT sector. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 8(6), 2737–2741.
- [13] Gonzalez, M. F., Liu, W., Shirase, L., Tomczak, D. L., Lobbe, C. E., Justenhoven, R., and Martin, N. R. (2022). Hiring AI: Examining perceptions and evaluations of AI-powered selection. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 7, 100210.
- [14] Armstrong, M. (2014). *Armstrong's Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice* (13th ed.). Kogan Page.
- [15] Brin, D. W. (2018). Will AI take recruiters' jobs? *Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM) HR Magazine*, 63(4), 18–23.