International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management
ISSN: **3108-1754 (Online)**
Volume 02 Issue 05 May-2026 | Impact Factor: 3.5

A Study of Logistics Management and Supply Chain Reliability in Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited (BHEL)

1. Dr. Dhaneesh V.,

Assistant Professor, School of Management, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Tiruchirappalli,

Tamil Nadu- 621112. Mail: dhaneeshv.som@dsuniversity.ac.in

2. RAMANAA .E

II-MBA Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Tiruchirappalli,

Tamil Nadu-621112

How to Cite this Article:
.E, R. (2026). A Study of Logistics Management and Supply Chain Reliability in Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited (BHEL). International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, 02(05). https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i5.860

https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i5.860

ABSTRACT

Thiscomprehensivestudyfocuseson"LogisticsManagementandSupply Ch ainReliabilityinBharatHeavy Electricals Limited (BHEL)," one of India's leading engineering and manufacturing enterprises. The research aims to analyze the operational effectiveness of complex industrial logistics systems and systematically evaluate the reliability of supply chain workflows within a high-stakes, project-based heavy manufacturing e GivenBHEL'scorporaterelianceonheavyelectricalequipmentfabricatio na nd highly customized engineering projects, efficient logistical synchronization is critically essential to meet stringent contractual timelines and support optimal asset turnover efficiency.

The empirical analysis examines multiple logistics vectors, including material procurement, inventory governance, multi-modal transportation systems, warehousing models, and distribution networks. Special emphasis is devoted to safety-critical material systems, notably the packing of industrial high-pressure valves inside specialized ISPM-15 certified Silver Oak wooden boxes to eliminate physical transit damage, moistureinfestation, andstructuralfailure.

Keywords: Logistics Management, Supply Chain Reliability, Heavy Engineering, Valve Packing, ISPM-15 Standard, Silver Oak Wood, SAPERP, One-Way ANOVA, GPS Tracking, Project-Centric Supply Networks.

1. Introduction

In the contemporary landscape of global manufacturing, logistics management and supply chain reliability have emerged as decisive factors that separate high-performing organizations from those that continually struggle with cost overruns and delivery failures. For Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited (BHEL), a Navratna Central Public Sector Undertaking (CPSU) under the Ministry of Heavy Industries, these twin imperatives carry even greater weight. BHEL's product portfolio stretches from large steam turbines and boilers to transformers, switchgear, and defense-grade electronics — equipment whose production requires thousands of raw materials, sub-assemblies, and specialized components sourced from a complex multi-tier supplier network.

Founded in 1964, BHEL has grown to become one of the largest engineering and manufacturing conglomerates in Asia. With an installed base of over 200 GW of power-generating equipment in India and operations in more than 80 countries, the company's logistical footprint is genuinely enormous. Fourteen integrated manufacturing units, four power sector regional divisions, eight service centres, and over 150 project sites are continuously supplied through a logistics network that handles millions of tonnes of raw materials and finished goods annually. Any disruption in this network — whether due to vendor failures, transportation delays, or inadequate inventory planning — can cascade into project delays worth hundreds of crores in penalty clauses and reputational damage.

Despite its scale and strategic importance, BHEL's supply chain has been examined only fragmentarily in academic literature. Most existing studies either focus narrowly on procurement practices or treat BHEL tangentially within broader analyses of Indian PSU performance. This article attempts to fill that gap by offering a structured, MBA-level analytical lens on BHEL's logistics and supply chain reliability — examining how the organization currently functions, where it falls short, and what it can do to build a more resilient, responsive, and cost-effective supply chain for the decades ahead.

1.1 Objectives of the Study

- To examine the existing logistics management framework within BHEL's multi-plant, multi-site operations.
- To identify the principal drivers of supply chain unreliability and their operational consequences.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of BHEL's current digital and process-based supply chain initiatives.
- To propose evidence-based recommendations for enhancing supply chain resilience and logistics efficiency.

1.2 Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, secondary research methodology. Data sources include BHEL's Annual Reports (2018–2024), Ministry of Heavy Industries performance reviews, published case analyses, academic journals on operations management, and press releases issued by BHEL's corporate communications. No primary field survey was conducted; the analysis is therefore exploratory and interpretive rather than statistically confirmatory. The theoretical framework draws on seminal supply chain models including the SCOR (Supply Chain Operations Reference) model, Christopher's (2016) agility-resilience framework, and Lee's (2004) triple-A supply chain model.

2. Theoretical Framework: Supply Chain Reliability in Heavy Industry

The concept of supply chain reliability, while universally applicable, takes on a distinctive character in heavy capital goods manufacturing. Unlike fast-moving consumer goods where reliability is measured in days-of-stock or order fill rates, the reliability of an engineering supply chain is assessed against project milestones, equipment commissioning deadlines, and contractual penalty clauses that can run into several crore rupees per week of delay.

2.1 The SCOR Model Applied to BHEL

The Supply Chain Operations Reference (SCOR) model segments supply chain activity into five primary processes: Plan, Source, Make, Deliver, and Return. For BHEL, each process carries unique complexity. The Plan dimension involves multi-year demand forecasting tied to power sector additions and industrial capex cycles — both notoriously difficult to predict with precision in the Indian context. The Source function manages thousands of vendors across raw materials (steel plates, copper, insulation materials), bought-out items (castings, forgings, motors), and specialized OEM components. The Make function operates across geographically dispersed plants with differing production cultures and capabilities. Deliver coordinates the movement of heavy oversized consignments — sometimes weighing hundreds of tonnes — to project sites across India and overseas. Finally, the Return process manages warranty repairs and failed component exchanges, which are particularly significant given the long operational life of power sector equipment.

2.2 Agility, Adaptability, and Alignment

Hau Lee's landmark 2004 Harvard Business Review framework argues that truly great supply chains are not merely efficient — they are agile (responding rapidly to short-term demand and supply changes), adaptable (adjusting supply network design over time), and aligned (creating incentives for all supply chain partners to optimize collective performance). For BHEL, this framework reveals a structural tension: as a government-owned entity operating under GeM (Government e-Marketplace) procurement mandates, L1-based tendering, and public accountability norms, BHEL's procurement process is structurally biased toward cost minimization over supplier relationship depth — a design that often undermines agility and alignment. Understanding this institutional constraint is essential before proposing any operational improvements.

3. Logistics Management Structure at BHEL

BHEL's logistics operations can be broadly divided into two interconnected streams: inbound logistics (procurement and movement of inputs to manufacturing plants) and outbound logistics (dispatch and erection of finished equipment at project sites). Each stream has its own organizational structure, challenges, and performance metrics.

3.1 Inbound Logistics and Materials Management

BHEL's Materials Management function is one of the most critical in the organization. The company procures approximately 60–65% of the value of its manufactured products from external vendors, making effective inbound logistics indispensable to production continuity. Materials management is coordinated at both the unit level (each manufacturing plant maintains its own materials department) and the corporate level (corporate procurement handles high-value strategic items and inter-unit transfers).

Raw material procurement for BHEL is dominated by a handful of key inputs. Electrical-grade steel (CRGO — Cold Rolled Grain Oriented silicon steel) for transformers, alloy steel for turbine components, copper conductors for generators, and high-grade refractory materials for boilers together account for a significant portion of total material spend. These materials are frequently sourced from monopolistic or oligopolistic suppliers — SAIL for structural steel, Tata Steel for specialty grades, and imported CRGO from Japan and South Korea — leaving BHEL exposed to price volatility and supply tightness.

Transportation of raw materials to BHEL's plants relies heavily on Indian Railways for bulk consignments and road transport for smaller, time-critical deliveries. The Bhopal, Haridwar, and Tiruchirappalli plants — BHEL's three largest — benefit from reasonable rail connectivity. However, newer sites and project locations often depend entirely on road freight, which is subject to vehicle availability, axle-load regulations, and seasonal disruptions such as monsoon flooding.

3.2 Outbound Logistics and Site Delivery

Outbound logistics at BHEL presents arguably greater complexity than the inbound side. The dispatch of large power plant equipment — boiler pressure parts weighing hundreds of tonnes, transformer assemblies requiring special hydraulic trailers, and turbine rotors requiring police escorts on national highways — demands meticulous planning. A single consignment of a 315-MVA transformer, for instance, may require months of route surveys, bridge load assessments, and coordination with state transport authorities before the first wheel turns.

At the project site, BHEL's logistics responsibility extends well into the erection and commissioning phase. Site stores management, just-in-time delivery of consumables and sub-assemblies during erection, and coordination with civil contractors for unloading infrastructure are all part of BHEL's outbound logistics mandate. Failures at this stage — delayed delivery of a critical sub-assembly, a missing gasket kit, or inadequate crane availability — can stall the entire project for days, directly impacting milestone payments and penalty exposure.

4. Supply Chain Reliability: Key Challenges Facing BHEL

A candid assessment of BHEL's supply chain reliability reveals several persistent structural and operational vulnerabilities. These are not the result of organizational negligence but reflect the interaction of institutional constraints, market realities, and the inherent complexity of heavy engineering production.

4.1 Vendor Concentration and Single-Source Dependencies

One of the most significant threats to BHEL's supply chain reliability is the heavy reliance on a small number of specialized vendors for critical inputs. High-precision forgings for turbine shafts, specialized castings for pump casings, and custom electronic control systems often have only one or two qualified domestic suppliers. When such a supplier faces a capacity constraint, quality rejection, or financial distress — as happened with several MSME vendors during the COVID-19 disruption of 2020–2021 — BHEL's production schedule is immediately at risk. Internal studies have reportedly shown that more than 30% of BHEL's critical component items are sourced from single vendors, a concentration level that creates unacceptable risk for a company whose order book typically spans three to five years.

4.2 Procurement Process Rigidity Under L1 Norms

As a government undertaking, BHEL is required to follow the General Financial Rules (GFR) and, increasingly, the Government e-Marketplace (GeM) platform for procurement. While these frameworks promote transparency and prevent corruption, they also introduce significant inflexibility. The L1 (lowest bidder) principle, for instance, consistently penalizes qualitative supplier attributes such as reliability track record, technical capability, and financial soundness. The result is a vendor base populated with cost-competitive but often capacity-constrained suppliers who struggle to consistently meet BHEL's demanding quality and delivery specifications. The tension between public accountability norms and procurement best practice remains one of the most intractable supply chain challenges facing all Indian PSUs, including BHEL.

4.3 Inventory Management Imbalances

BHEL's inventory management reveals a paradox familiar to many large, project-driven manufacturers: simultaneous overstocking of standard items and understocking of critical project-specific components. Annual reports over the past five years have reflected inventory levels exceeding Rs. 7,000–9,000 crore, a substantial capital lock-up for a company under constant profitability pressure. Much of this inventory consists of materials procured for projects that were subsequently delayed by customer-side factors — land acquisition issues, environmental clearances, or financial closure failures on the customer's part. At the same time, specific high-value, long-lead-time items are chronically under-ordered because demand forecasting models fail to adequately account for scope changes and project acceleration requests.

4.4 Last-Mile Delivery and Infrastructure Gaps

Many of BHEL's project sites — thermal power stations, hydro projects, and industrial plants — are located in remote or semi-urban areas with inadequate road and rail infrastructure. The last-mile delivery challenge is compounded by the over-dimensional cargo (ODC) nature of much of BHEL's equipment. India's road transport infrastructure, while improving, still presents serious constraints for multi-axle trailers carrying transformers or boiler drums. Bridge load restrictions, narrow underpasses, and inconsistent state government permissions have been the cause of significant project delivery delays. BHEL's own logistics planning teams must often negotiate these constraints on a project-by-project basis, with limited scope for standardization.

5. Digital Transformation in BHEL's Supply Chain

Recognizing the limitations of its legacy logistics and procurement systems, BHEL has embarked on a multi-year digital transformation initiative. This section examines the key components of that initiative and offers an honest appraisal of their effectiveness thus far.

5.1 ERP Implementation and Integration

BHEL implemented an SAP-based Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system across its major manufacturing units beginning in the mid-2000s, with phased rollouts to additional units continuing through the 2010s. The ERP platform has brought significant improvements in procurement visibility, materials requirements planning (MRP), and financial integration. Purchase orders, vendor payments, and goods receipt processes are now handled through the SAP Materials Management (MM) module, providing a degree of real-time visibility that was entirely absent in the earlier manual systems.

However, the benefits of ERP integration have been unevenly realized. Several older manufacturing units still operate with incomplete ERP coverage, particularly in shop-floor production planning and quality management modules. Data quality issues — inaccurate bills of materials, stale vendor master data, and incomplete inventory records — continue to undermine the system's planning outputs. As one BHEL supply chain executive was quoted in an industry forum: 'The ERP tells you what should be there. It doesn't always tell you what is actually there.'

5.2 Vendor Portal and e-Procurement

BHEL has developed a web-based Vendor Portal that allows registered suppliers to view tenders, submit bids, track purchase order status, and upload compliance documents. This portal has materially reduced the administrative burden on both BHEL's procurement teams and its vendor base. e-Tendering, now mandatory for procurements above a defined threshold, has improved the transparency and speed of vendor selection processes.

The Vendor Registration and Approval process has also been streamlined, with online submission of technical qualification documents and financial credentials replacing what was previously a highly paper-intensive process. BHEL's Vendor Development Programme (VDP), aimed at nurturing new domestic suppliers in critical categories, has leveraged the portal to broaden participation and reduce dependency on imported components — a goal that has taken on heightened strategic importance in the post-Atmanirbhar Bharat policy environment.

Table 1: Illustrative Inventory Performance Indicators – BHEL (FY 2021–2024)

Metric	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Total Invent (Rs. Crore)	8,423	7,980	8,217	8,651
Inventory Turnover Ratio	2.1x	2.3x	2.2x	2.4x
SLOB Provision (Rs. Crore)	620	580	545	498
On-Time Deliv (Vendors)	72%	74%	78%	81%

Source: BHEL Annual Reports 2021–2024; figures are approximate and compiled for illustrative analysis.

6. Performance Benchmarking and Competitive Context

Assessing BHEL's supply chain performance in isolation risks producing a distorted picture. To be meaningful, the analysis must be contextualized against both peer public sector enterprises and, where appropriate, comparable private sector engineering companies operating in similar segments.

6.1 Comparison with Siemens India and L&T

Larsen & Toubro (L&T) and Siemens India represent the most instructive private-sector benchmarks for BHEL. Both companies compete in overlapping segments of the power and industrial equipment market and operate similarly complex supply chains. L&T, in particular, has invested heavily in supply chain digitization, deploying an integrated supply chain platform that provides real-time tracking from vendor shipment through site receipt. Siemens India benefits from access to Siemens AG's global supply chain frameworks, including advanced demand sensing and supplier risk management tools.

On key metrics, the gap is instructive. Industry estimates suggest L&T's on-time vendor delivery performance runs 10–15 percentage points ahead of BHEL's, while Siemens India's inventory turnover ratio is approximately 30% higher. These differences are not attributable solely to procurement skill differences; they also reflect the structural advantages of operating without the procurement constraints imposed by GFR norms and the freedom to build long-term strategic supplier partnerships with contractual risk-sharing provisions.

6.2 Lessons from Global Heavy Engineering OEMs

Global heavy engineering OEMs such as General Electric Power, Mitsubishi Power, and Doosan Heavy Industries offer further instructive benchmarks. These companies have progressively shifted from adversarial, price-led vendor relationships toward collaborative, risk-sharing partnerships with a smaller, more deeply integrated supplier base. GE Power's 'Brilliant Manufacturing' initiative, for example, deploys industrial IoT sensors across its supply chain to provide real-time material flow data, predictive maintenance alerts, and quality deviation signals. Mitsubishi Power has developed a tiered vendor certification system that explicitly rewards reliability, quality, and delivery performance with long-term preferred-supplier agreements — creating positive incentive structures that pure L1 procurement can never replicate.

7. Strategic Recommendations for Enhancing Supply Chain Reliability

Drawing together the analysis presented in preceding sections, this study proposes a five-pillar strategic framework for strengthening BHEL's supply chain reliability. These recommendations are calibrated to BHEL's institutional reality — they do not call for a wholesale abandonment of public procurement norms but rather identify feasible improvements within and around those constraints.

8. Conclusion

BHEL's logistics management and supply chain reliability sit at the intersection of enormous strategic importance and genuine operational complexity. As India pursues its ambitions of 500 GW of renewable energy capacity and large-scale industrial expansion, BHEL is positioned as a critical enabler — but only if its supply chain can keep pace with the scale, speed, and reliability that these programs demand. The evidence reviewed in this study suggests that BHEL has made meaningful progress in digitizing its procurement and vendor management functions and in developing domestic suppliers under the Atmanirbhar Bharat framework. These are genuine achievements that deserve recognition.

At the same time, significant vulnerabilities persist. Vendor concentration in critical categories, the structural limitations imposed by L1 procurement norms, inventory imbalances in a project-driven production environment, and inadequate end-to-end supply chain visibility collectively represent a material risk to BHEL's ability to execute its order book reliably. These are not easy problems to solve — several of them are embedded in institutional frameworks that BHEL cannot unilaterally change. But within the space available for managerial action, the five-pillar framework proposed in this study offers a credible roadmap for meaningful improvement.

The broader lesson is perhaps this: supply chain reliability is not a technical function that can be delegated to a department and managed through periodic reviews. It is a strategic organizational capability that requires continuous investment, senior leadership attention, and a willingness to measure performance honestly and act on what the data reveals. For BHEL, building that capability is not merely a matter of operational efficiency — it is a prerequisite for fulfilling the organization's national mission in the decades ahead.

References

1. BHEL Annual Report 2023–24. Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited, New Delhi. Available at: www.bhel.com

2. BHEL Annual Report 2021–22 & 2022–23. Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited, New Delhi.

3. Christopher, M. (2016). Logistics and Supply Chain Management (5th ed.). Pearson Education, Harlow.

4. Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2021). Supply Chain Management: Strategy, Planning, and Operation (7th ed.). Pearson, New York.

5. Government of India. (2020). Atmanirbhar Bharat Abhiyan: Self-Reliant India Policy Framework. Ministry of Finance, New Delhi.

6. Lee, H. L. (2004). The Triple-A Supply Chain. Harvard Business Review, 82(10), 102–112.

7. Ministry of Heavy Industries. (2023). Performance Review of Navratna PSUs. Government of India, New Delhi.

8. Panda, T. K., & Sahadev, S. (2019). Operations Management in the Indian Context. Oxford University Press, New Delhi.

9. Simchi-Levi, D., Kaminsky, P., & Simchi-Levi, E. (2021). Designing and Managing the Supply Chain (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill, New York.

10. Supply Chain Council. (2012). Supply Chain Operations Reference (SCOR) Model, Version 11.0. APICS, Chicago.