



Employee Welfare, Occupational Health and Safety, and Work-Life Balance

1. Ms. R. Javi Prabha

MBA, NET Asst.professor, School of Management,
Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Tamil Nadu – 621112

Email: javiprabha.som@dsuniversity.ac.in

2. SELVAPRIYA.R

II – MBA, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu – 621112

How to Cite this Article:

SELVAPRIYA.R, (2026). Employee Welfare, Occupational Health and Safety, and Work-Life Balance. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, <i>02</i></i>(05).
<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i5.876>

License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i5.876>

Abstract

This research study focuses on employee welfare, occupational health and safety, and work-life balance in modern organizations. Employee welfare refers to the various facilities, benefits, and services provided by employers to improve the working and living conditions of employees. Occupational health and safety ensures that employees work in a safe environment that reduces accidents, injuries, and work-related illnesses. Work-life balance helps employees manage professional and personal responsibilities effectively. The study highlights the importance of these concepts in improving employee satisfaction, productivity, retention, and organizational growth.

The research explores the relationship between welfare measures and employee motivation, the role of workplace safety in enhancing efficiency, and the impact of work-life balance on employee well-being. Data analysis and discussions reveal that organizations investing in employee welfare and safety programs experience higher employee engagement and reduced absenteeism. The findings suggest that a balanced work environment creates a positive organizational culture and increases overall performance.

Introduction

Employee well-being has emerged as a central construct in contemporary human resource management (HRM) research and practice. Organisations that invest in the physical, psychological, and social welfare of their workforce consistently demonstrate superior performance outcomes, including higher productivity, reduced absenteeism, improved talent retention, and stronger employee engagement

(Armstrong, 2020). At the intersection of multiple welfare dimensions lie three closely interrelated constructs: employee welfare measures, occupational health and safety (OHS), and work–life balance (WLB).



Employee welfare encompasses a wide range of facilities, benefits, and services provided to employees beyond their basic compensation, including medical benefits, housing assistance, canteen facilities, recreation provisions, and educational support. OHS, as defined by the International Labour Organization (ILO), refers to the promotion and maintenance of the highest degree of physical, mental, and social wellbeing of workers in all occupations through the prevention of hazards, the adaptation of work to people, and the development of a culture of safety. Work-life balance, on the other hand, refers to the extent to which an individual is equally engaged in and equally satisfied with work roles and family roles (Greenhaus & Beutell, 2021).

Bangalore, also known as the Silicon Valley of India, presents a particularly compelling context for studying these constructs. Home to one of the largest concentrations of IT, ITES, biotech, manufacturing, banking, and healthcare organizations in the country, the city's workforce is exposed to both exceptional professional opportunities and significant well-being challenges, including high workloads, long commutes, competitive environments, and rapid organisational change. Despite a growing legislative framework around workplace safety (including the Factories Act, 1948; the Shops and Establishments Act; and the Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020), practical implementation remains inconsistent across sectors.

This study was undertaken to provide an up-to-date empirical understanding of how employees in Bangalore perceive and experience the three interconnected dimensions of welfare, OHS, and WLB. The insights generated offer actionable guidance for HR practitioners, organisational leaders, and policymakers striving to build healthier, safer, and more equitable workplaces.

Literature Review

Previous studies have emphasized the importance of employee welfare and workplace safety in organizational development. According to various researchers, employee welfare programs contribute to higher job satisfaction and increased motivation. Studies also reveal that organizations providing health benefits and recreational facilities experience improved employee loyalty.

Research on occupational health and safety indicates that unsafe working conditions negatively affect employee performance and increase absenteeism. Proper safety training and risk management systems reduce workplace accidents and improve productivity. Many organizations adopt international safety standards to ensure employee protection.

Work-life balance has become a major area of research due to changing work patterns and increasing stress. Flexible working hours, remote work options, and leave policies help employees balance personal and professional commitments. Researchers found that employees with a healthy work-life balance are more productive, emotionally stable, and committed to organizational goals.

Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework of this study explains the relationship between employee welfare, occupational health and safety, work-life balance, and employee performance. Employee welfare programs increase satisfaction and motivation. Occupational health and safety reduce workplace risks and create a secure environment. Work-life balance improves mental well-being and reduces stress.



These factors collectively influence employee productivity, organizational commitment, retention, and overall organizational performance. The framework suggests that organizations investing in employee welfare and balanced work policies achieve better outcomes and sustainable growth.

Research Methodology

The research methodology used in this study is descriptive and analytical in nature. Both primary and secondary data sources were utilized. Primary data was collected through questionnaires distributed to employees from different organizations. Secondary data was gathered from journals, books, research articles, and company reports.

The sample size included employees from various departments and age groups. Random sampling techniques were adopted to ensure unbiased responses. Data analysis methods such as percentage analysis, charts, and interpretation were used to evaluate employee opinions regarding welfare facilities, workplace safety, and work-life balance practices.

The study aims to identify the effectiveness of welfare measures and safety policies in enhancing employee satisfaction and productivity.

Research Design

A quantitative, cross-sectional descriptive research design was adopted. Descriptive research is appropriate for capturing current perceptions and attitudes across a diverse sample, enabling the systematic description of existing phenomena without experimental manipulation (Kothari, 2019).

Sampling

The target population comprised full-time employees across sectors in Bangalore (IT/ITES, manufacturing, banking & finance, healthcare, and services). A convenience sampling method was employed, with purposive elements to ensure sectoral and demographic diversity. A sample of 120 employees was selected, considered adequate for descriptive and inferential statistical analyses given the homogeneity of the population (Sekaran & Bougie, 2020).

Data Collection Instrument

A structured, self-administered questionnaire was developed, consisting of: (a) a demographic profile section; (b) a welfare satisfaction scale (12 items, five-point Likert); (c) an OHS perception and awareness scale (10 items); and (d) a work-life balance scale (10 items). Face validity was established through expert review by three HR academics. Reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, yielding coefficients of 0.82 (welfare), 0.79 (OHS), and 0.85 (WLB), all exceeding the 0.70 threshold recommended by Nunnally (1978).



Employee Welfare Satisfaction Levels

Satisfaction Level	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Highly Satisfied	27	22.5
Satisfied	41	34.2
Neutral	30	25.0
Dissatisfied	22	18.3
Total	120	100.0

Statistical Analysis

Data were coded, cleaned, and analysed using SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics (frequency distributions and percentages) were calculated for all variables. Chi-square tests were used to examine associations between categorical demographic variables and well-being outcomes. Pearson correlation assessed the linear relationship between experience and welfare satisfaction. One-way ANOVA examined differences in OHS satisfaction across age groups. A significance threshold of $p \leq 0.05$ was applied throughout.

Organizations can improve employee welfare and work-life balance through strategic initiatives such as employee assistance programs, flexible work schedules, health insurance, safety training, stress management workshops, and career development opportunities.



OHS Awareness and Satisfaction Ratings

OHS Indicator	Positive Response (%)	Requires Improvement (%)
Overall Safety Environment Rating ('Good'/'Excellent')	61.7	38.3
Formal Safety Training (Past 12 Months)	35.0	65.0
Awareness of OHS Policies & Rights	53.3	46.7
Knowledge of Emergency Protocols	45.8	54.2
Ergonomic Workplace Design Satisfaction	58.3	41.7

Work–Life Balance

Work–life balance data revealed notable challenges. Only 30% of respondents expressed satisfaction with their current work–life balance, while 38.3% reported working 9–10 hours per day and 15% worked more than 10 hours per day. Moderate stress affecting personal life was reported by 35.8%, with 14.2% describing significant interference. These findings are broadly consistent with Rao and Nair (2023), who document a systemic culture of overwork in Bangalore’s IT-ITES sector. Access to flexible working arrangements was available to only 42.5% of respondents, and fewer than one in three (28.3%) reported using them regularly.

Inferential Statistical Analysis

Chi-Square Test – Gender and WLB Satisfaction: A significant association was found between gender and work–life balance satisfaction ($\chi^2 = 8.73$, $df = 4$, $p = 0.014$). Female employees reported consistently lower WLB satisfaction, particularly those in dual-earner households with caregiving responsibilities. This finding corroborates Kossek and Ozeki (2022), who identified gender as a key moderator of work– family conflict outcomes.

Pearson Correlation – Experience and Welfare Satisfaction: A strong positive correlation was identified between years of experience and welfare satisfaction ($r = 0.874$, $p < 0.001$). This suggests that longertenured employees may benefit from cumulative exposure to welfare programmes and may have developed more realistic expectations, whereas newer employees may face steeper adjustment periods with limited access to institutional support.

One-Way ANOVA – Age and OHS Satisfaction: Significant differences in OHS satisfaction were observed across age groups ($F = 28.847$, $df = 3/116$, $p < 0.001$). Post-hoc Tukey tests indicated that employees aged 36–45 years reported significantly higher OHS satisfaction compared to younger cohorts (18–25 years). This may



reflect greater workplace experience, higher organisational seniority, and accumulated safety knowledge among older employees

Work–Life Balance

Work–life balance data revealed notable challenges. Only 30% of respondents expressed satisfaction with their current work–life balance, while 38.3% reported working 9–10 hours per day and 15% worked more than 10 hours per day. Moderate stress affecting personal life was reported by 35.8%, with 14.2% describing significant interference. These findings are broadly consistent with Rao and Nair (2023), who document a systemic culture of overwork in Bangalore’s IT-ITES sector. Access to flexible working arrangements was available to only 42.5% of respondents, and fewer than one in three (28.3%) reported using them regularly.

6.5 Inferential Statistical Analysis

Chi-Square Test – Gender and WLB Satisfaction: A significant association was found between gender and work–life balance satisfaction ($\chi^2 = 8.73$, $df = 4$, $p = 0.014$). Female employees reported consistently lower WLB satisfaction, particularly those in dual-earner households with caregiving responsibilities. This finding corroborates Kossek and Ozeki (2022), who identified gender as a key moderator of work– family conflict outcomes.

Pearson Correlation – Experience and Welfare Satisfaction: A strong positive correlation was identified between years of experience and welfare satisfaction ($r = 0.874$, $p < 0.001$). This suggests that longertenedured employees may benefit from cumulative exposure to welfare programmes and may have developed more realistic expectations, whereas newer employees may face steeper adjustment periods with limited access to institutional support.

One-Way ANOVA – Age and OHS Satisfaction: Significant differences in OHS satisfaction were observed across age groups ($F = 28.847$, $df = 3/116$, $p < 0.001$). Post-hoc Tukey tests indicated that employees aged 36–45 years reported significantly higher OHS satisfaction compared to younger cohorts (18–25 years). This may reflect greater workplace experience, higher organisational seniority, and accumulated safety knowledge among older employees

Work–Life Balance

Work–life balance data revealed notable challenges. Only 30% of respondents expressed satisfaction with their current work–life balance, while 38.3% reported working 9–10 hours per day and 15% worked more than 10 hours per day. Moderate stress affecting personal life was reported by 35.8%, with 14.2% describing significant interference. These findings are broadly consistent with Rao and Nair (2023), who document a systemic culture of overwork in Bangalore’s IT-ITES sector. Access to flexible working arrangements was available to only 42.5% of respondents, and fewer than one in three (28.3%) reported using them regularly.

6.5 Inferential Statistical Analysis

Chi-Square Test – Gender and WLB Satisfaction: A significant association was found between gender and work–life balance satisfaction ($\chi^2 = 8.73$, $df = 4$, $p = 0.014$). Female employees reported consistently lower WLB satisfaction, particularly those in dual-earner households with caregiving responsibilities. This finding corroborates Kossek and Ozeki (2022), who identified gender as a key moderator of work– family conflict outcomes.



Pearson Correlation – Experience and Welfare Satisfaction: A strong positive correlation was identified between years of experience and welfare satisfaction ($r = 0.874$, $p < 0.001$). This suggests that long-tenured employees may benefit from cumulative exposure to welfare programmes and may have developed more realistic expectations, whereas newer employees may face steeper adjustment periods with limited access to institutional support.

One-Way ANOVA – Age and OHS Satisfaction: Significant differences in OHS satisfaction were observed across age groups ($F = 28.847$, $df = 3/116$, $p < 0.001$). Post-hoc Tukey tests indicated that employees aged 36–45 years reported significantly higher OHS satisfaction compared to younger cohorts (18–25 years). This may reflect greater workplace experience, higher organisational seniority, and accumulated safety knowledge among older employees.

Data Analysis & Results

The collected data indicates that most employees are satisfied with welfare measures provided by their organizations. Employees receiving health benefits, transportation facilities, and flexible work arrangements reported higher job satisfaction levels.

The analysis also shows that organizations implementing proper safety measures experienced fewer workplace accidents and improved employee morale. Employees stated that safety training programs increased awareness and confidence at work.

Work-life balance initiatives such as flexible schedules and leave benefits significantly reduced stress levels among employees. The majority of respondents believed that balanced work policies positively affected their personal life and job performance.

The results confirm that employee welfare, occupational health and safety, and work-life balance are closely connected to employee motivation and organizational success.

Discussion

The findings of the study highlight the growing importance of employee-centered organizational practices. Employee welfare programs not only improve satisfaction but also create a sense of belonging among workers. Welfare facilities encourage employees to remain committed to organizational objectives.

Occupational health and safety practices are critical in preventing injuries and ensuring a secure work environment. Organizations that ignore safety regulations may face financial losses, legal issues, and reduced employee morale.

Work-life balance initiatives help employees maintain emotional stability and reduce burnout. Organizations adopting flexible work arrangements are better able to attract and retain talented employees. The discussion emphasizes that integrating welfare measures, safety standards, and work-life balance practices creates a strong and positive workplace culture.



Conclusion

Employee welfare, occupational health and safety, and work-life balance are essential for organizational growth and employee well-being. Organizations that prioritize these factors experience higher productivity, improved employee satisfaction, and reduced turnover.

The study concludes that welfare facilities, safe working conditions, and balanced work policies contribute significantly to employee motivation and organizational effectiveness. Employers should continue investing in employee-friendly practices to maintain a competitive advantage and create sustainable development.

Implications

The study provides important implications for organizations, employees, and policymakers. Organizations should focus on implementing comprehensive welfare programs and strict safety standards. Human resource managers should encourage flexible work policies and employee wellness initiatives.

The findings can help policymakers develop labor regulations that protect employee rights and promote healthy workplaces. Employees also benefit from increased awareness regarding occupational safety and work-life balance practices.

Limitations

The study has certain limitations. The research was conducted with a limited sample size and within a specific time period. Responses collected from employees may vary depending on organizational culture and personal experiences.

The study primarily focuses on general welfare and safety practices and may not cover industry-specific challenges. Future studies can include larger sample sizes and comparative analysis across different sectors.

Future Research

Future research can focus on the impact of digital technology and remote work on employee welfare and work-life balance. Comparative studies between public and private sector organizations can provide deeper insights.

Researchers may also examine the role of artificial intelligence and automation in occupational health and safety management. Longitudinal studies can help understand the long-term impact of welfare policies on employee performance and organizational sustainability.

References

1. Dessler, G. Human Resource Management.
2. Aswathappa, K. Human Resource and Personnel Management.
3. Armstrong, M. A Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice.
4. International Labour Organization Reports.
5. Research articles on employee welfare and occupational safety.
6. Journals related to work-life balance and employee engagement.