Digital Mental Health: Shaping the Future of Mental Healthcare

Dr Nitinkumar R Moradiya

How to Cite this Article:

Moradiya, D. N. R. (2026). Digital Mental Health: Shaping the Future of Mental Healthcare. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, 02(6). https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i6.297

https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i6.297

Abstract

Mental health disorders represent a major global public health challenge in the twenty-first century, contributing substantially to disability, premature mortality, reduced quality of life, and economic losses (Patel et al., 2018; World Health Organization, 2022). The burden of mental illness is further aggravated by increasing global stressors such as pandemics, political instability, and social isolation. Despite increased recognition of mental health's importance, healthcare systems worldwide continue to encounter significant barriers in delivering accessible, timely, and effective services (Patel et al., 2018; Naslund et al., 2017). Common obstacles include workforce shortages, stigma, financial limitations, geographic inequalities, and fragmented service delivery models (Patel et al., 2018; Semrau et al., 2015). Treatment gaps persist in both high- and low-resource settings, and many individuals do not receive the care they need. In response, digital mental health has rapidly emerged as a transformative field, integrating digital technologies into prevention, assessment, diagnosis, treatment, monitoring, and recovery of mental health conditions (Torous et al., 2021; Mohr et al., 2018).

This rapidly evolving discipline encompasses telepsychiatry, mobile health applications, digital therapeutics, artificial intelligence (AI), wearable technologies, digital phenotyping, and immersive tools such as virtual reality (Torous et al., 2021; Topol, 2019; Insel, 2017). Digital peer support platforms and online communities are also being increasingly adopted, providing individuals with opportunities to connect and share experiences in a supportive environment (Naslund et al., 2016). Innovations in digital mental health have also sparked new models of patient engagement, self-monitoring, and remote support, making mental healthcare more accessible to hard-to-reach groups. The growing use of big data analytics enables population-level insights, supporting targeted interventions for communities at risk (Bhugra et al., 2021). These innovations enable continuous monitoring, personalized interventions, predictive analytics, and scalable mental healthcare delivery (Firth et al., 2017; Onnela & Rauch, 2016).

Digital platforms are now being integrated into public health responses, workplace wellness initiatives, and educational settings, demonstrating their broad applicability. Researchers have also documented improved outcomes when digital solutions are embedded into stepped care models, ensuring that high-intensity resources are reserved for those with greatest need (Kramer et al., 2014). Some studies also highlight the role of digital tools in reducing stigma and increasing mental health literacy among underserved populations (Torous et al., 2018). This review examines the theoretical foundations, technological developments, clinical applications, implementation challenges, ethical considerations, economic implications, and future directions of digital mental health. Digital mental health is fundamentally reshaping mental healthcare by facilitating a shift from

episodic and reactive care to continuous, preventive, personalized, and data-driven models (Torous et al., 2021; Topol, 2019).

Keywords: Digital Mental Health; Telepsychiatry; Artificial Intelligence; Digital Therapeutics; Mobile Health; Mental Healthcare.

Methodology

This narrative review employed a comprehensive literature search strategy to identify the most relevant and up-to-date evidence in the field of digital mental health. Literature was identified through systematic searches of multiple electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) incorporated into the search strategy included "digital mental health," "telepsychiatry," "artificial intelligence," "digital therapeutics," "mobile health," and "mental health applications." The search was further refined by applying filters to focus on peer-reviewed articles, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, clinical trials, and large-scale observational studies published between 2015 and 2025. Reference lists of key articles were also examined to identify additional relevant studies.

Articles were prioritized for inclusion based on their methodological rigor, relevance to current digital mental health practice, and impact on policy and clinical care. Both qualitative and quantitative studies were considered to ensure a comprehensive perspective. Studies focused on adult, adolescent, and child populations, and included research from high-, middle-, and low-income countries. Grey literature, such as reports from the World Health Organization and other major health agencies, was incorporated where appropriate to provide context and supplement peer-reviewed sources. This approach was designed to capture the breadth of ongoing developments, emerging challenges, and future directions in global digital mental health.

Introduction

Mental health is a fundamental component of overall health and well-being. It influences how individuals think, feel, behave, cope with stress, build relationships, and contribute to society. Good mental health supports productivity, resilience, social participation, and physical health, while poor mental health can significantly impair daily functioning and quality of life. Mental disorders such as depression, anxiety disorders, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, and substance use disorders affect people across all age groups, cultures, and socioeconomic backgrounds.

Globally, more than one billion individuals live with mental health conditions, making them among the leading causes of disability worldwide. (World mental health today: latest data, n.d.) Depression and anxiety disorders alone account for substantial morbidity and economic losses through reduced productivity, absenteeism, healthcare expenditure, and social welfare costs. (Müller et al., 2021) Contemporary social and environmental pressures—including rapid urbanization, economic uncertainty, social isolation, climate-related anxiety, and increasing digital exposure—have further intensified mental health challenges across populations.

Despite the growing burden of mental illness, mental healthcare systems remain under-resourced and unevenly distributed. Many countries face severe shortages of psychiatrists, psychologists, psychiatric nurses, and social workers. (Endale et al., 2020) Waiting times for specialist services can be lengthy, particularly in public healthcare systems. In low- and middle-income countries, access to mental healthcare is often extremely limited. (Semrau et al., 2015) Stigma and discrimination continue to discourage help-seeking behavior, resulting in delayed diagnosis and treatment.

Concurrently, society is experiencing a profound digital transformation, driven by the widespread adoption of smartphones, cloud computing, wearable devices, artificial intelligence, and digital communication tools (Topol, 2019; Davenport & Kalakota, 2019). These advances have significantly altered how individuals interact, work, learn, and manage health. The digitalization of daily life has fostered new opportunities for health data collection, real-time feedback, and personalized support. Within this context, digital mental health has emerged as a promising approach to overcoming longstanding barriers to care (Torous et al., 2021; Naslund et al., 2017). By leveraging technology to support mental health promotion, prevention, assessment, treatment, and recovery, digital mental health offers the potential to enhance accessibility, efficiency, and personalization of care at scale. For many, digital interventions represent the first point of contact with mental health resources, helping to reduce stigma and encourage earlier engagement.

Theoretical Foundations of Digital Mental Health

Digital mental health is based on multiple interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks that collectively inform its development and implementation.

The biopsychosocial model provides a foundational perspective by recognizing that mental health outcomes result from complex interactions among biological, psychological, and social factors. Digital technologies expand this framework by enabling the continuous collection of physiological, behavioral, and environmental data, providing a more comprehensive understanding of mental health in real-world settings.

Precision medicine further influences digital mental health by emphasizing individualized care. Traditional psychiatric approaches often rely on generalized treatment pathways, whereas precision mental healthcare seeks to tailor interventions based on unique biological characteristics, behavioral patterns, environmental exposures, and personal preferences.

Behavioral data science contributes another important foundation. Advances in computational psychology, machine learning, and digital analytics enable the objective measurement of behavioral patterns through digital interactions. Communication patterns, mobility behaviors, sleep cycles, social engagement, and device usage can provide valuable insights into psychological functioning.

The continuous care model signifies a major conceptual shift from episodic healthcare encounters to ongoing monitoring and intervention. Instead of relying solely on periodic clinical visits, digital systems enable continuous assessment and support, facilitating earlier identification of deterioration and more timely intervention.

Evolution of Digital Mental Health

The development of digital mental health has progressed through several technological eras. The earliest phase focused on communication-based services such as telephone counselling, crisis hotlines, and helplines. These services expanded access to psychological support and crisis intervention while reducing geographical barriers.

The internet era introduced online psychoeducation platforms, web-based self-help programs, discussion forums, and internet-delivered psychotherapy. These innovations increased the availability of mental health information and facilitated remote therapeutic support.

The emergence of smartphones transformed digital mental health by enabling real-time engagement through mobile applications. Individuals gained access to mood-tracking tools, meditation programs, cognitive-behavioral therapy exercises, and self-management resources at any time and from any place.

Subsequently, advances in artificial intelligence and big data analytics enabled predictive modeling, personalized interventions, and automated risk assessment systems. Mental health care increasingly became data-driven and adaptive.

Today, digital mental health is entering an integrated ecosystem era, characterized by interconnected platforms that combine telepsychiatry, wearable devices, AI systems, electronic health records, digital therapeutics, and public health surveillance tools into comprehensive mental healthcare networks.

Telepsychiatry and Hybrid Care Models

Telepsychiatry has become one of the most widely adopted components of digital mental health. Using secure video conferencing, psychiatrists, psychologists, and other mental health professionals can provide consultations, assessments, psychotherapy, medication management, and follow-up care remotely.

Telepsychiatry offers numerous advantages. It reduces travel requirements, improves access for rural and underserved populations, decreases appointment wait times, and supports continuity of care. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses have demonstrated that telepsychiatry is as effective as in-person care for a range of psychiatric conditions, including depression and anxiety (Bashshur et al., 2016). Patient satisfaction rates are generally high, and clinical outcomes are comparable. During public health emergencies such as the COVID-19 pandemic, telepsychiatry played a critical role in maintaining mental health services while minimizing infection risks.

Increasingly, healthcare systems are adopting hybrid care models that combine in-person and digital interactions. Hybrid approaches allow patients to receive face-to-face care when clinically necessary while utilizing digital platforms for routine monitoring, follow-up appointments, and self-management support. Such models enhance flexibility and patient-centered care while optimizing healthcare resources.

Nevertheless, telepsychiatry also presents challenges such as digital literacy barriers, limited internet connectivity, privacy concerns, and difficulties in establishing therapeutic relationships in certain clinical contexts (Bashshur et al., 2016; Yellowlees et al., 2020). Some patients may struggle to use technology, while others may feel less comfortable discussing sensitive topics remotely. Addressing these limitations is essential for long-term sustainability. Ongoing research is needed to identify best practices for building rapport and ensuring equitable access in digital care models.

Mobile Mental Health Applications

Mobile mental health applications have become one of the most accessible forms of digital mental healthcare. Thousands of mental health apps are currently available, targeting conditions such as depression, anxiety, stress, insomnia, and substance use disorders. (Mental Health Apps Market Size, Share | Industry Report, 2030, n.d.)

These applications provide diverse functions, including mood monitoring, symptom tracking, mindfulness training, guided meditation, behavioral activation exercises, sleep management programs, cognitive restructuring techniques, and crisis support resources. Many apps incorporate evidence-based psychological interventions derived from cognitive behavioral therapy, acceptance and commitment therapy, and mindfulness-based approaches.
Mobile applications offer significant scalability, as they can be delivered to large populations at relatively low cost. These tools also facilitate self-management by enabling individuals to participate actively in their mental healthcare.

However, concerns persist regarding clinical effectiveness, data privacy, user retention, and regulatory oversight (Huckvale et al., 2019; Wisniewski et al., 2019). High attrition rates and inconsistent user engagement remain major challenges, particularly for individuals with severe symptoms or limited digital skills. Sustained engagement with mental health apps is difficult to achieve, with many users discontinuing use within weeks. Factors contributing to dropout include lack of personalization, poor usability, and limited integration with

clinical care. App fatigue and overload can also reduce sustained use, especially as many users try multiple apps before settling on one (Kerst et al., 2020).

There is also a risk that digital interventions may inadvertently widen health disparities if vulnerable groups are not adequately supported (Schueller et al., 2017). Many commercially available applications lack rigorous scientific evaluation, emphasizing the need for stronger quality standards and evidence-based development (Firth et al., 2017; Graham et al., 2019; Fleming et al., 2018). Collaboration between clinicians, developers, and regulatory agencies is critical to ensure that digital tools are both safe and effective. Furthermore, continuous user feedback and iterative design processes are recommended to improve long-term engagement and outcomes (Mohr et al., 2017). The engagement of patients in the co-design and adaptation of digital mental health interventions has shown promise in improving usability, satisfaction, and long-term impact (Henson et al., 2021).

Artificial Intelligence and Computational Psychiatry

Artificial intelligence is increasingly transforming mental healthcare into a predictive, adaptive, and personalized discipline. Computational psychiatry integrates advanced analytics with psychological and neurobiological knowledge to improve assessment and treatment.

Natural language processing enables AI systems to analyze speech patterns, written text, and conversational content for indicators of emotional distress, cognitive dysfunction, or suicide risk. Changes in language complexity, sentiment, and communication style may provide early signals of mental health deterioration.

Machine learning algorithms can identify patterns associated with depression, anxiety, psychosis, and relapse risk by analyzing large datasets containing behavioral, clinical, and physiological information. Predictive models may support early intervention strategies before symptoms become severe.
Clinical decision support systems assist healthcare professionals by providing diagnostic recommendations, treatment suggestions, and risk assessments. These tools have the potential to improve consistency and efficiency in clinical practice.

Conversational AI systems and mental health chatbots can deliver psychoeducation, symptom screening, emotional support, and structured self-help interventions (Vaidyam et al., 2019). These tools are available around the clock and can be accessed privately, reducing barriers for those hesitant to seek face-to-face care. While not replacements for clinicians, these technologies may broaden access to support and complement traditional mental health services. Emerging evidence suggests that chatbots can improve engagement, especially among younger users, but further research is needed to optimize their effectiveness and integration into stepped care pathways.

Despite these opportunities, AI systems require rigorous validation to ensure accuracy, transparency, fairness, and safety. While AI can enhance diagnostic accuracy, predict relapse, and increase efficiency, it also introduces risks such as algorithmic bias, lack of explainability, and the potential to replicate systemic inequities. Ethical oversight is essential to prevent bias and inappropriate decision-making. The benefits of AI—such as scalability and personalization—must be weighed against these limitations when considering its integration into clinical workflows.

Bio signal Intelligence and Digital Phenotyping

Advances in wearable technology have enabled continuous monitoring of physiological indicators associated with mental health. Bio signal intelligence refers to the collection and analysis of biological signals to assess psychological functioning.

Relevant indicators include heart rate variability, electrodermal activity, sleep patterns, respiratory rate, physical activity levels, and stress-related physiological responses. These measurements can provide objective information that complements traditional clinical assessments. Wearable devices can now collect high-frequency data in real-world environments, offering unprecedented insights into day-to-day fluctuations in mental health status (Barnett et al., 2018). The integration of ecological momentary assessment (EMA) with biosensor data is increasingly used to track mood and behavior in real time (Myin-Germeys et al., 2018). Integration of these biosignals with digital phenotyping can further enhance predictive models for early intervention.

Digital phenotyping extends this concept by analyzing behavioral data generated through smartphone use and digital interactions. Mobility patterns, communication frequency, typing behaviors, social media activity, screen time, and application usage may reveal important information about mood, cognition, and social functioning.

Continuous passive monitoring provides opportunities for early detection of mental health deterioration and more personalized interventions (Insel, 2017; Onnela & Rauch, 2016). Such data can help clinicians identify subtle changes that may signal relapse or crisis before clinical symptoms become obvious. However, issues related to surveillance, consent, privacy, and data security require careful consideration (Huckvale et al., 2019). Transparent communication and robust safeguards are essential to maintain trust and protect users' rights.

Virtual Reality and Digital Therapeutics

Virtual reality (VR) is among the most innovative developments in digital mental health. By creating immersive simulated environments, VR enables controlled therapeutic experiences that would otherwise be difficult or impossible to replicate.

Applications include exposure therapy for phobias, post-traumatic stress disorder treatment, social anxiety interventions, stress management programs, and mindfulness-based therapies. Patients can safely confront feared situations, practice coping strategies, and develop emotional regulation skills within controlled environments.

Digital therapeutics represent another important advancement. Unlike general wellness applications, digital therapeutics are evidence-based software interventions designed to prevent, manage, or treat medical and mental health conditions. They often incorporate structured therapeutic approaches, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy, and require clinical validation and regulatory approval.
Digital therapeutics indicate a transition toward software-as-medicine models, broadening the range of evidence-based treatment options available within healthcare systems.

Public Health and Economic Implications

Digital mental health has implications beyond individual patient care. At the population level, digital platforms facilitate large-scale screening, behavioral surveillance, early warning systems, and public mental health campaigns. These capabilities support preventive approaches and enable more effective allocation of healthcare resources.

The economic burden of mental disorders is enormous. Depression and anxiety alone cost the global economy trillions of dollars through lost productivity, absenteeism, presenteeism, healthcare expenses, and social support costs (World Health Organization, 2022; Patel et al., 2018). In many countries, indirect costs due to reduced workforce participation and early retirement far exceed direct healthcare expenses. Digital mental health offers opportunities to reduce these burdens through scalable interventions, earlier treatment, and more efficient service

delivery (Torous et al., 2020). Economic modeling studies have shown that digital approaches can increase return on investment for health systems while reducing the treatment gap.

Employers are increasingly investing in workplace mental health platforms that provide stress management resources, resilience training, and employee assistance services (Graham et al., 2019). Such initiatives may improve workforce well-being and help reduce economic losses associated with mental ill-health.

However, achieving these benefits requires substantial investment in infrastructure, workforce training, regulatory systems, and strategies to ensure equitable access (Patel et al., 2018; World Health Organization, 2022). Sustained policy commitment and cross-sector collaboration are vital to address digital divides and prevent the exclusion of vulnerable populations.

The rapid expansion of digital mental health raises complex ethical and legal issues. Mental health data are among the most sensitive forms of personal information, requiring robust privacy protections and cybersecurity measures.
Algorithmic transparency is another major concern. Healthcare professionals and patients must understand how AI systems generate recommendations and predictions. Black-box algorithms may undermine trust and accountability.
Bias within datasets can lead to inequitable outcomes for marginalized populations. AI systems trained on non-representative data may perform poorly across different cultural, ethnic, or socioeconomic groups.

The digital divide also presents significant challenges. Individuals without reliable internet access, smartphones, or digital literacy may be excluded from digital mental health innovations, potentially widening existing health disparities. Furthermore, regulatory barriers and fragmented approval processes can delay the adoption of digital interventions. National and international regulations regarding data privacy, security standards, and clinical validation are evolving but remain inconsistent across jurisdictions. These regulatory hurdles can impede innovation and create uncertainty for developers, clinicians, and patients.

It is essential that technology augments, rather than replaces, human clinical expertise. Mental healthcare relies on empathy, therapeutic relationships, and contextual understanding, which are challenging to replicate through technology alone.

Implementation Challenges and Future Directions

Successful implementation of digital mental health requires coordinated efforts across multiple domains. Technological infrastructure, clinical integration, regulatory frameworks, workforce development, and user engagement strategies must all be aligned.

Many digital mental health tools still lack rigorous clinical validation. Large-scale randomized controlled trials are needed to establish effectiveness across diverse populations and settings. (Seegan et al., 2023, pp. 171-183) Interoperability between digital systems and existing healthcare infrastructure also remains a significant challenge.

Future research is likely to focus on multimodal data integration, combining behavioral, physiological, environmental, genetic, and neurobiological information to create comprehensive mental health models. Explainable AI systems will become increasingly important for clinical adoption.

The future of digital mental healthcare will likely involve fully integrated ecosystems combining artificial intelligence, wearable sensors, digital therapeutics, electronic health records, and human clinical expertise.

These systems may enable predictive psychiatry, identifying mental health risks before clinical symptoms emerge and delivering personalized interventions in real time.

Conclusion

Digital mental health represents a transformative shift in the philosophy and practice of mental healthcare. By integrating advanced technologies into prevention, assessment, treatment, monitoring, and recovery processes, digital mental health offers unprecedented opportunities to improve accessibility, efficiency, and personalization of care.

Telepsychiatry, mobile applications, artificial intelligence, digital phenotyping, wearable technologies, virtual reality, and digital therapeutics are collectively reshaping how mental health services are delivered and experienced. These innovations support a transition from episodic, reactive models of care toward continuous, preventive, and precision-based approaches.

However, significant challenges persist. Ethical concerns, privacy risks, algorithmic bias, inequitable access, regulatory uncertainty, and limited clinical validation must be addressed to ensure responsible implementation. Robust governance frameworks, interdisciplinary collaboration, and patient-centered design are essential for maximizing benefits and minimizing risks.

Ultimately, the future of mental healthcare will likely be characterized by a hybrid model in which human expertise and digital intelligence work together to provide more responsive, equitable, and effective mental health services. When implemented responsibly, digital mental health can reduce treatment gaps, enhance well-being, and fundamentally transform global mental healthcare systems for future generations.

References

1) (n.d.). *Mental Health Apps Market Size, Share | Industry Report, 2030*. https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/mental-health-apps-market-report
2) (n.d.). *World mental health today: latest data*. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240113817
3) Barnett I, Torous J, Staples P, Sandoval L, Keshavan M, Onnela JP. (2018). Relapse prediction in schizophrenia through digital phenotyping: a pilot study. Neuropsychopharmacology, 43(8), 1660–1666. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-018-0030-z
4) Bashshur RL, Shannon GW, Bashshur N, Yellowlees PM. (2016). The empirical evidence for telemedicine interventions in mental disorders. Telemedicine and e-Health, 22(2), 87–113. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2015.0206
5) Bhugra D, Tasman A, Pathare S, et al. (2021). The WPA–Lancet Psychiatry Commission on the Future of Psychiatry. The Lancet Psychiatry, 8(9), 782–800. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00187-5
6) Davenport T, Kalakota R. (2019). The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. Future Healthcare Journal, 6(2), 94–98. https://doi.org/10.7861/futurehosp.6-2-94
7) Endale, T., Qureshi, O., Ryan, G. K., Esponda, G. M., Verhey, R., Eaton, J., Silva, M. D., & Murphy, J. (2020). *Barriers and drivers to capacity-building in global mental health projects*. International Journal of Mental Health Systems 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-020-00420-4
8) Firth J, Torous J, Nicholas J, et al. (2017). The efficacy of smartphone-based mental health interventions. World Psychiatry, 16(3), 287–298. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20472
9) Fleming T, Bavin L, Lucassen M, et al. (2018). Beyond the trial: systematic review of real-world uptake and engagement with digital self-help interventions. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 20(6), e199. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.8769
10) Graham AK, Lattie EG, Powell BJ, et al. (2019). Implementation strategies for digital mental health interventions. American Psychologist, 74(4), 462–470. https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000329

11) Henson P, Wisniewski H, Hollis C, Keshavan M, Torous J. (2021). Digital mental health apps and the therapeutic alliance: initial review. BJPsych Open, 7(5), e136. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2021.961
12) Hollis C, Falconer CJ, Martin JL, et al. (2017). Annual Research Review: Digital health interventions for children and young people. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 58(4), 474–503. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12663
13) Huckvale K, Torous J, Larsen ME. (2019). Assessment of the data sharing and privacy practices of smartphone apps for depression and smoking cessation. JAMA Network Open, 2(4), e192542. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.2542
14) Insel TR. (2017). Digital phenotyping: technology for a new science of behavior. JAMA, 318(13), 1215–1216. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.11295
15) Kerst A, Zielasek J, Gaebel W. (2020). Smartphone applications for depression: a systematic literature review and a survey of health care professionals' attitudes towards their use in clinical practice. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience, 270(2), 139–152. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00406-018-0974-3
16) Kramer GM, Terpstra AR, Conijn JM, et al. (2014). Effectiveness of a web-based intervention for depressed patients in general practice: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 16(3):e41. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.2841
17) Mohr DC, Riper H, Schueller SM. (2018). A solution-focused research approach to achieve an implementable revolution in digital mental health. JAMA Psychiatry, 75(2), 113–114. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.3838
18) Mohr DC, Weingardt K, Reddy M, Schueller SM. (2017). Three problems with current digital mental health research... and three things we can do about them. Psychiatric Services, 68(5), 427–429. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201600541
19) Müller, G., Bombana, M., Heinzel-Gutenbrenner, M., Kleindienst, N., Bohus, M., Lyssenko, L. & Vonderlin, R. (2021). *Socio-economic consequences of mental distress: quantifying the impact of self-reported mental distress on the days of incapacity to work and medical costs in a two-year period: a longitudinal study in Germany*. BMC Public Health 21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10637-8
20) Myin-Germeys I, Kasanova Z, Vaessen T, et al. (2018). Experience sampling methodology in mental health research: new insights and technical developments. World Psychiatry, 17(2), 123–132. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20513
21) Naslund JA, Aschbrenner KA, Araya R, et al. (2017). Digital technology for treating and preventing mental disorders in low- and middle-income countries. The Lancet Psychiatry, 4(6), 486–500. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30096-2
22) Naslund JA, Aschbrenner KA, Marsch LA, Bartels SJ. (2016). The future of mental health care: peer-to-peer support and social media. Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences, 25(2), 113–122.
23) Onnela JP, Rauch SL. (2016). Harnessing smartphone-based digital phenotyping to enhance behavioral and mental health. Neuropsychopharmacology, 41, 1691–1696. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2016.7
24) Patel V, Saxena S, Lund C, et al. (2018). The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. The Lancet, 392(10157), 1553–1598. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31612-X
25) Schueller SM, Hunter JF, Figueroa C, Aguilera A. (2017). Use of digital mental health for marginalized and underserved populations. Current Treatment Options in Psychiatry, 4, 243–255. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40501-017-0125-9
26) Seegan, P. L., Miller, M. J., Heliste, J. L., Fathi, L., & McGuire, J. F. (2023). *Efficacy of Stand-Alone Digital Mental Health Applications for Anxiety and Depression: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials*. J Psychiatr Res 164, pp. 171-183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2023.06.019
27) Semrau, M., Evans-Lacko, S., Alem, A., Ayuso-Mateos, J. L., Chisholm, D., Gureje, O., Hanlon, C., Jordans, M., Kigozi, F., Lempp, H., Lund, C., Petersen, I., Shidhaye, R. & Thornicroft, G. (2015). *Strengthening mental health systems in low- and middle-income countries: the Emerald program.* BMC Medicine 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-015-0309-4

28) Topol EJ. (2019). High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nature Medicine, 25, 44–56. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7
29) Torous J, Bucci S, Bell IH, et al. (2021). The growing field of digital psychiatry. World Psychiatry, 20(3), 318–335. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20964
30) Torous J, Jän Myrick K, Rauseo-Ricupero N, Firth J. (2020). Digital mental health and COVID-19. JMIR Mental Health, 7(3), e18848. https://doi.org/10.2196/18848
31) Torous J, Roberts LW. (2017). Needed innovation in digital health and smartphone applications for mental health. JAMA Psychiatry, 74(5), 437–438. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.0262
32) Torous JB, Jän Myrick K, Rauseo-Ricupero N, Firth J. (2018). Digital mental health and COVID-19: Using technology today to accelerate the curve on access and quality tomorrow. JMIR Mental Health, 7(3), e18848.
33) Vaidyam AN, Wisniewski H, Halamka JD, Kashavan MS, Torous J. (2019). Chatbots and conversational agents in mental health. Harvard Review of Psychiatry, 27(6), 456–464. https://doi.org/10.1097/HRP.0000000000000211
34) Wisniewski H, Liu G, Henson P, et al. (2019). Understanding the quality, effectiveness and attributes of top-rated smartphone health apps. Evidence-Based Mental Health, 22(1), 4–9. https://doi.org/10.1136/ebmental-2019-300962
35) World Health Organization. (2022). World Mental Health Report: Transforming Mental Health for All.
36) Yellowlees P, Nakagawa K, Pakyurek M, Hanson A, Elder J, Kales HC. (2020). Rapid conversion of an outpatient psychiatric clinic to telepsychiatry. Psychiatric Services, 71(7), 749–752. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.202000230