



Business Intelligence Adaptation in Small and Medium Enterprises (SMES): Navigating Barriers, Data Governance, Strategic Opportunities

Awiti Gideon Appiah , Samuel Hinnneh

How to Cite this Article:

Appiah, A. G. & Hinnneh, S. (2026). Business Intelligence Adaptation in Small and Medium Enterprises (SMES): Navigating Barriers, Data Governance, Strategic Opportunities. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, 2(7), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i7.201>

License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i7.201>

ABSTRACT

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) are gradually pressured to leverage data-driven decision-making to maintain competitive advantage (Sharma et al., 2014; Weider & Ossimitz, 2015). Business Intelligence (BI) systems offer significant opportunities for operational efficiency, market responsiveness, and strategic growth (Chen et al., 2012; Davenport, 2006). However, the adoption rate of BI in SMEs remains excessively low compared to big enterprises (Ahmed & Miskon, 2019; Llave, 2017)). This paper critically examines the challenges and opportunities associated with BI adoption in the SME sector. Using Technology Organization and Environment (TOE) framework and the Resource Based View (RBV) (Bharadwaj et al., 2013; Puklavec et al., 2018), we analyze primary adoption barriers, including financial constraints, lack of internal IT expertise, and structural hurdles related to data governance and privacy regulations. Furthermore, this study outlines actionable strategies for successful implementation, emphasizing cloud-based BI architectures, robust data governance frameworks tailored for small businesses, and incremental adoption models. The findings provide a comprehensive roadmap for SME leaders and policymakers to bridge the digital transformation gap.

Keywords: Business Intelligence, SMEs, Digital Transformation, Data Governance, Technology Adoption, Cloud BI.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary digital economy, data has emerged as a essential corporate asset. Business Intelligence (BI) encompassing the strategies, technologies, and architectures used for data analysis which enables organizations to transform raw data into actionable strategic insights (Negash, 2004; Turban et al., 2011). While large international corporations have long exploited BI for competitive differentiation, Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) face a unique matrix of challenges in mirroring this adoption (Olszak & Ziemba, 2012). SMEs represent the backbone of global economies, contributing significantly to job creation and Gross Domestic Product (GDP), however, their resource constrained nature often commands a responsive rather than practical approach to technological innovation (Llave, 2017).

The ongoing wave of digital transformation necessitates that SMEs modernize their operational frameworks. A critical component of this modernization is the adoption of Business Intelligence (Hawking & Sellitto, 2015). Yet, the path to BI maturity is faced with hurdles (Ahmad & Miskon, 2019). Beyond the widely acknowledged barriers of cost and technical skill deficits, SMEs frequently struggle with foundational data management issues, including establishing sound data governance (Tallon, 2013) and ensuring compliance with evolving data privacy regulations (Bresciani et al., 2021). This paper systematically explores these barriers and proposes strategic pillars for effective BI implementation in the SME context.



2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

This study integrates the Technology Organization and Environment (TOE) framework with the Resource Based View (RBV) of the firm to analysis the BI adoption (Mikalef et al., 2018). The TOE framework claims that technology adoption is influenced by technological characteristics (e.g., complexity, compatibility), organizational factors (e.g., firm size, top management support, financial readiness), and environmental contexts (e.g., competitive pressure, regulatory landscape) (Puklavec et al., 2018). Concurrently, RBV suggests that sustainable competitive advantage is derived from internal resources that are valuable, rare, and inimitable (Clark et al., 2007). In the context of SMEs, data and the capability to analyze it via BI constitute such strategic resources(Gupta & George, 2016).

3. BARRIERS TO BI ADOPTION IN SMES

Despite the recognized benefits, BI adoption in SMEs is full by several multidimensional barriers:

3.1 FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE CONSTRAINTS

Traditional BI solutions often require substantial upfront capital investment for software licenses, hardware infrastructure, and ongoing maintenance(Ram et al., 2013). SMEs, operating on tighter profit margins, often perceive these costs as very high(Ahmad & Miskon, 2019). The difficulty in quantifying the immediate Return on Investment (ROI) for analytical tools further diminishes top-management willingness to allocate scarce capital.

3.2 HUMAN CAPITAL AND TECHNICAL EXPERTISE

A significant obstacle is the lack of internal IT expertise. Operating and maintaining complex BI architectures demands high skilled data analysts, engineers, and database administrators (Fosso Wamba et al., 2015). SMEs often cannot compete with larger enterprises in attracting and retaining specialized talent, leading to capabilities gap that stalls digital transformation efforts (Llave, 2017).

3.3 DATA GOVERNANCE AND PRIVACY REGULATIONS

A frequently underestimated barrier lies within the realm of data governance (Khatri & Brown, 2010). Effective operational frameworks for small businesses require structured data quality, consistency, and security protocols (Corte-Real et al., 2019)). Without established data governance, BI systems yield inaccurate or unreliable insights, rendering them ineffective (Tallon, 2013). Furthermore, the increasing rigor of data privacy regulations (such as GDPR or data protection acts) imposes a heavy compliance burden (Bresciani et al., 2021). SMEs often lack the legal and structural frameworks to confidently navigate data privacy while exploiting data analytics, causing hesitation in adopting centralized BI platforms.

3.4 ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE AND RESISTANCE TO CHANGE

Culturally, many SMEs operate heavily on the perception and implied knowledge of their founders or core management team (Grublješić & Jaklič, 2015). Shifting to a data-driven culture requires a paradigm shift (Išik et al., 2013). Resistance from employees who are accustomed to legacy systems or manual reporting processes can derail BI implementation (Ruivo et al., 2014).

4. STRATEGIC OPPORTUNITIES AND IMPLEMENTATION PILLARS

While the barriers are substantial, the contemporary technological landscape offers distinct opportunities that lower the barrier to entry for SMEs. Successfully implementing BI requires a phased, strategic approach anchored by specific operational pillars.



4.1 Cloud Based BI and SaaS Models

The advent of Cloud Computing and Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) BI has democratized analytics (Cheung & Li, 2022). Cloud BI eliminates the need for heavy on-premise infrastructure, shifting expenditure from a CapEx to an OpEx model (Grangadharan & swami, 2004). Platforms like Microsoft Power BI, Tableau Cloud, and Qlik Sense offer scalable, subscription-based pricing that allows SMEs to start small and expand their analytical capabilities in tandem with their growth (Laudon & Laudon. 2016).

4.2 ESTABLISHING AGILE DATA GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORKS

To overcome data quality and compliance barriers, SMEs must implement agile, right-sized data governance frameworks (Khatri & Brown, 2010). Rather than bureaucratic corporate governance, SMEs need strategic pillars focusing on defining clear data owners, standardizing data entry processes across the business, and implementing basic security access controls ((Tallon, 2013). Addressing data privacy regulations proactively by integrating compliance into the BI architecture ensures that the business can scale its intelligence efforts without legal risk.

4.3 INCREMENTAL ADOPTION AND AGILE METHODOLOGIES

A 'Big Bang' approach to BI implementation often fails in SMEs due to overwhelming complexity (Ram et al., 2013). Strategy should focus on incremental delivery(Ruivo et al., 2014). SMEs should identify a single, high-impact use case (e.g., sales forecasting or inventory optimization) and deploy a pilot BI solution. Proving value on a small scale builds momentum, secures management buy-in, and fosters a gradual shift toward a data-driven organizational culture (Grublješić & Jaklič, 2015).

5. CONCLUSION

Business Intelligence is no longer a luxury reserved for big enterprises; it is a critical enabler of survival and growth for SMEs in the digital age(Richards et al., 2019). While adoption is hindered by financial, technical, and governance barriers, the emergence of cloud technologies and adaptable operational frameworks provides viable pathways forward(Cheung & Li, 2022). By prioritizing data quality (Côte-Real et al., 2019), aligning BI initiatives with strategic business goals(Bharadwaj et al., 2013), and fostering a culture of data literacy(Işik et al., 2013), SMEs can successfully implement BI and unlock significant operational efficiencies and market opportunities (Audretsch & Belitski, 2021; Clark et al., 2007; Wieder & Ossimitz, 2015) .

REFERENCES

1. Ahmad, S., & Miskon, S. (2019). Business Intelligence adoption in small and medium enterprises: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Information Systems Research and Innovation*, 12(1), 15-24.
2. Audretsch, D. B., & Belitski, M. (2021). The role of R&D and knowledge spillovers in innovation and productivity. *European Economic Review*, 123, 103391.
3. Bharadwaj, A., El Sawy, O. A., Pavlou, P. A., & Venkatraman, N. (2013). Digital business strategy: toward a next generation of insights. *MIS Quarterly*, 471-482.
4. Bresciani, S., Ciampi, F., Meli, F., & Ferraris, A. (2021). Using big data for co-innovation processes: Mapping the field of data-driven innovation, proposing theoretical developments and providing a research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 60, 102347.
5. Chen, H., Chiang, R. H., & Storey, V. C. (2012). Business intelligence and analytics: From big data to big impact. *MIS quarterly*, 1165-1188.
6. Cheung, K. S., & Li, M. O. (2022). Cloud-based business intelligence adoption in SMEs: A hybrid MCDM approach. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 192, 116345.



7. Clark, T. D., Jones, M. C., & Armstrong, C. P. (2007). The dynamic structure of management support systems: Theory development, research focus, and direction. *MIS Quarterly*, 31(3), 579-615.
8. Côrte-Real, N., Ruivo, P., & Oliveira, T. (2019). Leveraging internet of things and big data analytics initiatives in European and American firms: Is data quality a way to extract business value?. *Information & Management*, 56(6), 103141.
9. Davenport, T. H. (2006). Competing on analytics. *Harvard Business Review*, 84(1), 98.
10. Fosso Wamba, S., Akter, S., Edwards, A., Chopin, G., & Gnanzou, D. (2015). How 'big data' can make big impact: Findings from a systematic review and a longitudinal case study. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 165, 234-246.
11. Gangadharan, G. R., & Swami, S. N. (2004). Business intelligence systems: design and implementation strategies. 26th International Conference on Information Technology Interfaces.
12. Ghobakhloo, M. (2018). The future of manufacturing industry: a strategic roadmap toward Industry 4.0. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*.
13. Grublješić, T., & Jaklič, J. (2015). Conceptualization of the business intelligence extended use model. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 55(3), 72-82.
14. Gupta, M., & George, J. F. (2016). Toward the development of a big data analytics capability. *Information & Management*, 53(8), 1049-1064.
15. Hawking, P., & Sellitto, C. (2015). Business intelligence strategy: a utilities company case study. *International Journal of Enterprise Information Systems (IJEIS)*, 11(1), 1-12.
16. Işik, O., Jones, M. C., & Sidorova, A. (2013). Business intelligence success: The roles of BI capabilities and decision environments. *Information & Management*, 50(1), 13-23.
17. Khatri, V., & Brown, C. V. (2010). Designing data governance. *Communications of the ACM*, 53(1), 148-152.
18. Kiron, D., Prentice, P. K., & Willis, R. B. (2011). The emerging analytics competitive advantage. *MIT Sloan Management Review*.
19. Laudon, K. C., & Laudon, J. P. (2016). *Management information systems*. Pearson.
20. Llave, M. R. (2017). Business intelligence and analytics in small and medium-sized enterprises: a systematic literature review. *Procedia Computer Science*, 121, 194-205.
21. Mikalef, P., Pappas, I. O., Krogstie, J., & Giannakos, M. (2018). Big data analytics capabilities: a systematic literature review and research agenda. *Information Systems and e-Business Management*, 16(3), 547-578.
22. Negash, S. (2004). Business intelligence. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 13(1), 15.
23. Olszak, C. M., & Ziemba, E. (2012). Critical success factors for implementing business intelligence systems in small and medium enterprises on the example of upper Silesia, Poland. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management*, 7(1), 129-150.
24. Puklavec, B., Oliveira, T., & Popovič, A. (2018). Understanding the determinants of business intelligence system adoption stages: An empirical study of SMEs. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 118(1), 236-261.



25. Ram, J., Corkindale, D., & Wu, M. L. (2013). Implementation critical success factors (CSFs) for ERP: Do they contribute to implementation success and post-implementation performance?. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 144(1), 157-174.
26. Richards, D., Yeoh, W., Chong, A. Y. L., & Popovič, A. (2019). Business intelligence effectiveness and corporate profitability. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*.
27. Ruivo, P., Oliveira, T., & Neto, M. (2014). Examine ERP post-implementation stages of use and value: Empirical evidence from Portuguese SMEs. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 15(2), 166-184.
28. Sharma, R., Mithas, S., & Kankanhalli, A. (2014). Transforming decision-making processes: a research agenda for understanding the impact of business analytics on organisations. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 23(4), 433-441.
29. Tallon, P. P. (2013). Corporate IT alignment and its impact on the strategic benefits of IT governance. *Information Systems Management*, 30(2), 136-150.
30. Turban, E., Sharda, R., & Delen, D. (2011). *Decision support and business intelligence systems*. Pearson Education India.
31. Wieder, B., & Ossimitz, M. L. (2015). The impact of Business Intelligence on the quality of decision making—a mediation model. *Procedia Computer Science*, 64, 1163-1171.