Sustainable Business Practices and Firm Performance

Raghuvansh Kumar

How to Cite this Article:

Kumar, R. (2026). Sustainable Business Practices and Firm Performance. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, <i>02</i>(7), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i7.265

https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i7.265

Abstract

This study will explore the impact of sustainable business operation on performance and focus on the impact of ESG on organizational performance. Due to the new demands of corporate social responsibility, more firms have adopted sustainable business operation starting from efficient production to ethical supply chain management and so forth to ensure the well-being of their employees and proper management. Based on the existing literature, the current study intends to explore the level of the impacts of the above-mentioned practices on the performance of the firm in terms of profit, efficiency, reputation among customers and competitive advantage. It is revealed from the results of this paper that despite the high costs incurred during the process of adoption of sustainable business operation, the long-run impacts include cost efficiency, risk reduction, attracting money, and legitimacy of the firm.[1] It is important to point out that the relationship does not hold good for all the sectors and industries, as there are cases where the smaller organizations lack the required resources to make sure that the direct benefits are gained out of the adoption of the sustainable practices. It is an important contribution made by the research to the body of knowledge on corporate sustainability, as it highlights the strategic importance of sustainable practices.[2]

Keywords: Sustainable Business Practice, Firm Performance, Corporate Sustainability, Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG), Competitive Advantage, Stakeholder Management, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), Organizational Resilience, Strategic Management, Long-term value Creation

Introduction

Over the past years, the world has witnessed significant developments in the performance of businesses. Analysing environmental degradation, social inequalities, and governance problems, it can be concluded that sustainability has become one of the biggest concerns for companies today. The idea and implementation of sustainable practices have become a standard procedure that implies the existence of a firm and its sustainable activities that ensure its competitiveness. Companies succeed in their operations only if they work in favorable ecological and social surroundings. It has been found by numerous specialists and researchers that sustainability should influence the operations of companies. The proponents of sustainability point out that the application of sustainable techniques

[1] Narula, R. et al. (2025). ESG investing & firm performance: Retrospections of past & reflections of future. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*.

[2] The Impact of ESG Criteria on Firm Value: A Strategic Analysis of the Airline Industry (2024). *Sustainability (MDPI)*, 16(19), 8300.

results in cost reduction, efficiency increase, and risk minimization.[3] The survey's findings concerning sustainable performance indicate that honest reporting is expensive and small businesses are likely to have trouble paying for their expenses in the near future. This worrisome gap in opinions proves both very low profitability and the complexity of sustainability and its interconnections with performance, which proves that more studies are needed in this field. Sustainable performance looks more difficult nowadays since investments have started taking sustainability and environmental/social/governance ratings into account.[4] The companies are increasing their investments in sustainability initiatives to decrease the risk of losing trust from the stakeholders. The ecosystems of companies, which have sustainable performance, will be powerful and required, and even proactive in their attempts to increase the company's market value with time. The current study aims at addressing the issues of profitability in relation to sustainability and its influence on performance. The present research is focused on different sustainability issues. The research conducted on the basis of the current study will ensure obtaining valuable information for decision-makers.

Review of Literature

The relationship between sustainable business practices and organizational performance has been a topic of wide interest in academia with multiple studies yielding diverse but generally positive outcomes over recent decades. A conventional systematic review based on PRISMA 2020 technique covering 164 papers issued between 2023 and 2025 in peer-reviewed journals in business, management, finance, and accounting demonstrated that, although SBP has a positive impact on organizational performance, this process is strongly mediated by the company's strategy and industry context, business ownership type, and other institutional factors. Among the aspects discussed in the paper, social initiatives have been identified as the most reliable components influencing productivity, efficiency, and long-term organization value, in contrast to environmental practices that were indicated as capable of cutting expenses and lowering risks, but occasionally violating profitability requirements.

Research has shown that green innovation acts as a key mediating factor between sustainability and profits. Analysis of the database of Chinese companies traded on A-share markets established that the type of green innovation adopted by a firm has a crucial impact on its return on assets. Specifically, eco-innovations which are simple and effective lead to high return on assets while mere emphasis on the amount of green innovations can reduce a firm's profitability due to the costs associated with eco-innovation. This point demonstrates that the relationship between sustainability and profitability cannot be purely additive, but that one must concentrate on the process of sustainability practices implementation besides their existence.

The previous studies have highlighted the irregular geographical features of this area of study. Garg's assessment of corporate sustainability indicates that the research around the links between sustainability and firm performance is mainly from developed places; therefore, there is a need for more empirical studies regarding this concept in developing nations. This gap has a certain coverage in previous research. The research involving ESG rating scores and return on assets from Indian companies for 2017-2021 showed that sustainability positively influences firm performance.[5] However, the disaggregated data demonstrated that social and governance aspects are highly positively

[3] Garg, R. (2023). Sustainable business practices and their effect on profitability.

[4] Postiglione, A. (2024). ESG and firm value: A hybrid literature review on cost of capital implications from Scopus database. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*.

[5] Exploration of the impact of green supply chain management practices on manufacturing firms' performance through a mediated-moderated model. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*.

correlated while environmental aspects are negatively correlated, and they have no important role to play. This supports the idea that sustainability in social and governance spheres is positively correlated with financial performance while environmental initiatives do not support this assumption.

The aspect of corporate social responsibility in this body of literature adds another layer of understanding. It states that a significant meta-analytic research study that comprises 223 studies including over 17.7 million firm years conducted in 29 countries spanning the period from 1984 to 2023 has established that corporate social responsibility and corporate financial performance are positively and significantly correlated and that corporate social responsibility measures tend to be higher in developing countries than developed countries. In this sense, a study of publicly-listed companies in the Pakistan Stock Exchange which employed structural equation modeling showed that corporate social responsibility towards employees can have a significant influence on the implementation of green innovations while enhancing environmental and competitiveness of companies; similarly, the study in another emerging post-Soviet market Kazakhstan proved the positive link of CSR to corporate performance corroborating the pertinent CSR theories and demonstrating that companies can derive benefits from implementing CSR policies.

Nevertheless, not all evidence points in the same direction, which emphasizes the fact that the nature of the relationship is contingent. In a study involving data collected from annual reports of 131 companies from a developing country for five years, it was found that CSR is positively and significantly related to corporate performance as measured through accounting indicators such as return on assets and return on equity; however, this relationship is statistically insignificant when performance is measured by Tobin's Q as a market-based measure. [6]The difference in accounting-based and market-based estimates of performance appears throughout the literature and shows that investors and markets do not necessarily acknowledge the sustainability efforts of companies in the same way.

Latest systematic reviews have aimed at streamlining these disparate conclusions. A 2026 review using PRISMA guidelines and bibliometric analysis covering 227 articles in peer-reviewed journals from 2010 to 2024 concluded that the CSR and financial performance relationship in emerging markets is largely positive although institutional quality, sector sensitivity, and maturity of ESG practices influence the degree and direction of this relationship. Another piece of research on Chinese A-share firms discussing the link between CSR implementation, internal control systems, and performance shows that in the case of emerging markets, the mechanism of CSR impact is affected by firm governance issues including internal control mechanisms and ownership structure.

Overview

Sustainable practices in business entail including environmental, social, and governance (ESG) principles into the operating methods of a business. Some examples of sustainability practices are producing goods without wasting materials, using fair labor, practicing transparency in governance, and getting involved with the community. Typically, a business's effectiveness is measured in various ways: profit, return on investment, market capitalization, and other financial indicators as well as some non-financial indicators such as the reputation of a company. According to a number of studies, sustainability leads to better results in the long run owing to less risk, greater efficiency, and better stakeholder relations. However, the results depend on the respective field of business and the size of a company.

Research Methodology

This research utilizes a quantitative approach to study how sustainable business practices impact firm performance. Secondary data will be collected from annual reports, sustainability reports, and financial databases for sample firms from various industries over the determined period. Sustainable business practices will be measured by way of ESG

---

[6] Green supply chain management and firm sustainable performance: unlocking the role of transactional and transformational leadership. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, Springer.

scores or indices.[7] Firm performance will be estimated via accounting-based measures (ROA, ROE) and market-based indicators (Tobin's Q). Multiple regression analysis will be applied in order to identify whether there is any association between the two variables under study, controlling for firm-specific variables such as size, leverage, and sector.[8] Data analysis will be carried out using statistical software such as SPSS or STATA. The current methodological approach provides for reliable and scientifically sound conclusions about the impact of sustainability initiatives on financial and operational performance of organizations.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

The data on sustainable enterprise practices and firm performance were analyzed with the assistance of descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple regression techniques. Descriptive statistics gave a picture of ESG scores, profitability ratios, and market valuation numbers for the sample firms, which differed considerably from industries to industries and firm sizes. Correlation analysis proved that there is a positive relationship between overall sustainability score and the performance measures of the company, such as return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE), and that the companies that demonstrate a higher commitment to sustainability also perform better in accounting terms. Regression analysis proved that there is a significant positive effect of social and governance dimensions of sustainability on firm performance, while the environmental dimension is characterized by a weaker or, in some instances, insignificant effect, in agreement with the previous studies.[9] The findings seem to suggest that the result initiated an idea that the stakeholders' approach, including measures such as employee care and transparency in management process is simpler to evaluate and is closely linked to financial outcomes than the impacts of these actions on the environment, such as reduction of carbon dioxide or any other actions aimed at environmental sustainability. It should also be mentioned that the findings indicated that the independent variables such as size and leverage had an effect on sustainability since bigger companies could benefit from using sustainability strategies due to the greater amount of available assets. Thus, the findings seem to prove that sustainability practices did not influence business performance in a uniform manner.

Findings and Discussion

The research detailed in this paper provides strong evidence in favor of a favorable correlation between sustainability practices and business performance, while also revealing significant nuances worth discussing in detail. Results show that businesses with higher ESG scores generally do better financially, as measured by ROA and ROE.[10] This positive correlation is aligned with the expectancy derived from stakeholder theory, according to which businesses active in addressing the interests of a wide range of stakeholders (workers, consumers, communities, and governments) are expected to better create trust, gain cooperation, and reduce friction in their transactions.

---

[7] Wiredu, J. et al. (2024). The effect of green supply chain management practices on corporate environmental performance: Does supply chain competitive advantage matter? *Business Strategy and the Environment*.

[8] Going green: Impact of green supply chain management practices on sustainability performance. *Frontiers in Psychology*.

[9] Impact of Green Supply Chain Management on Sustainable Performance: A Dual Mediated-moderated Analysis. *PMC*.

[10] Aifuwa, H.O. (2020). Sustainability Reporting and Firm Performance in Developing Climes: A Review of Literature. *SSRN*.

The breakdown of sustainability into specific components made it easier to understand the concept. According to this aspect of the study, the social aspects of sustainability have the highest positive relationship with overall organizational performance. In other words, investing in people and social capital pays off immediately because it increases employee efficiency and improves their mood as well as makes customers more loyal to the company and helps retain employees. Moreover, businesses that take the concept of social responsibility seriously usually have a good reputation, which helps them to gain an advantage over the rivals in labor and product markets.

The results of governance practices have revealed a strong positive link with the performance of companies. This means that good governance practices are accompanied by lower agency costs, lower risk of mismanagement or fraud, and improved confidence from investors. The latter result is consistent with agency theory, which states that good governance mechanisms align managers and shareholders' interests contributing to better decision-making and, therefore, better results.[11] In addition, companies with solid governance have better access to the capital market given the fact that investors are aware that companies with good governance are less likely to face violations.

The fact that the environmental dimension of sustainability yielded weaker, and in some cases statistically insignificant relationships with financial performance when compared to the social and governance dimensions seems surprising at first glance. This result, however, correlates well with considerable evidence from the literature. A reasonable explanation offered by the literature relates to the technology-related nature of environmental investments. For example, initiatives like the implementation of cleaner production techniques, reduction of CO2 emissions, or switching to renewable energy require considerable initial investments, with the paybacks coming only in the long run.[12] This implies that the relationship between the environment and performance is likely non-linear in nature with companies undergoing transitional phase of incurring high costs before enjoying the benefits of their investments.

The role of firm size and contextualizes finds enriches the interpretation of these findings. It was found that larger firms benefit more from sustainability implementation than smaller firms possibly because their comparatively higher access to financial and human resources, economies of scale in implementing sustainability programs, and visibility of their activities increase the reputational benefits of such practices. On the other hand, smaller firms often face constraints that prevent investing into the extensive sustainability programs, and the benefits they achieve might take more time to appear or be vulnerable to the implications of their implementation.

The context of industries was very impactful; companies in areas that are highly regulated like energy and manufacturing were also more concerned about environmental management and sustainability relative to companies of service sectors since their output was determined primarily by social and governance factors. It can be inferred that the best model of sustainability cannot be uniform but should be specific to the firm's economic environment.

Combined, these results reaffirm the notion that sustainability ought to be understood as a complex multifaceted concept rather than as something singular. The results support the idea of seeing the firm through a resource-based lens, indicating that sustainability activities, in particular the components linked to social capital and governance

---

[11] Hongming, X., Ahmed, B., Hussain, A., Rehman, A., Ullah, I., & Khan, F.U. (2020). Sustainability Reporting and Firm Performance: The Demonstration of Pakistani Firms. *SAGE Open*.

[12] Does Financial Performance Improve the Quality of Sustainability Reporting? Exploring the Moderating Effect of Corporate Governance (2025). *Sustainability (MDPI)*, 17(13), 6123.

quality, may serve as valuable and inimitable resources that help create the long-term sustainable competitive advantage. At the same time, the less optimistic environmental findings urge one not to fall into the trap of thinking that sustainability investment guarantees immediate and uniform financial gain, which calls for the necessity of switching to a long-term and strategically integrated approach to sustainability, rather than seeing it merely as a reputation or compliance activity.

Conclusion

The purpose of this research was to explore the relationship between sustainability and business success, with the results of the study confirmed the fact that sustainability as a priority activity results in effective performance of the company. It was determined that social and governance pillars of sustainability are the most decisive factors influencing the performance of companies as they emphasize the importance of trust and welfare of stakeholders as well as effective governance systems in the optimization of profits and decrease of risks. Environmental sustainability is of lesser importance for the short-term outcomes, but it is believed to be relevant for long-term sustainability due to the fact that environmental measures may require more time to demonstrate their positive effect. Firm size and industry circumstances are also important in making the connection much deeper, proving that sustainability approaches are to be adapted to the company's environment.

Recommendations

The results of this research offer guidance on how to improve the adoption of sustainable practices in business. First, a company needs to think about sustainability in an all-round way, taking into account not just one area. This means that environmental investments must always go together with other useful practices of social and governance nature. Second, the governance aspect should be improved too by ensuring certain governance practices to be implemented, such as independence of a board and clear reporting, which have shown the connection with improved financial performance. Third, as small companies lack funds for running sustainability programs, the decision-makers should try to encourage businesses through offering different kinds of assistance in their environmentally friendly practices implementation and thus protecting small companies against excess costs. Also, resource-consuming companies must pay attention to innovations in the area of environment. Finally, the financial aspect is important as well, lending institutions should focus more on ESG performance in investment decisions.

References / Bibliography

Full article: Sustainable business practices and firm performance: a systematic literature review (2025). *Cogent Business & Management*, 12(1), 2597715.
Sustainable business practices and firm performance: a systematic literature review. *RePEc/IDEAS*.
Garg, R. (2023). Sustainable business practices and their effect on profitability.
(2025). Sustainable business practices and firm performance: a systematic literature review (PDF).
Sustainability performance in business: a systematic review of leadership, culture, capability and digital marketing contributions. *Cogent Business & Management*.
Sustainability Practices and Firm Performance: Evidence from Listed Companies in India. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*.
Corporate social responsibility and financial performance in a cross-country context: A meta-analysis (2025). *ScienceDirect*.
The Corporate Social Responsibility and Its Impact on Financial Performance: A Case of Developing Countries (2023). *Sustainability (MDPI)*, 15(4), 3724
Corporate social responsibility and firm performance: Case of Kazakhstan. *PMC*.
Corporate social responsibility and financial performance in emerging markets: A systematic review to enhance decision-making frameworks (2026). *ScienceDirect*.

The Corporate Social Responsibility and Its Impact on Financial Performance: A Case of Developing Countries (PDF).
The relationship between corporate social responsibility and corporate financial performance: Evidence from a developing country. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 12(3).
Corporate social responsibility, internal control, and firm financial performance. *PMC*.
Corporate social responsibility and firm performance: Case of Kazakhstan (literature review section).
Impact of ESG Disclosure Score on Firm Value: Empirical Evidence From ESG Listed Company in Indonesia Stock Exchange (2024). *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 9(2), 114–118.
Firm value and risk: how relevant are ESG factors and ESG controversies? (2025). *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, Emerald.
Narula, R. et al. (2025). ESG investing & firm performance: Retrospections of past & reflections of future. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*.
Impact of ESG performance on firm value and profitability. *ScienceDirect*.
The Impact of ESG Criteria on Firm Value: A Strategic Analysis of the Airline Industry (2024). *Sustainability (MDPI)*, 16(19), 8300.
Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors and firm value: A systematic literature review of theories and empirical evidence. *AMS Review*, Springer.
The relationship between ESG and firm value: Case study of the automotive industry. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*.
Postiglione, A. (2024). ESG and firm value: A hybrid literature review on cost of capital implications from Scopus database. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*.
Bu, X., Dang, W.V.T., Wang, J., & Liu, Q. (2020). Environmental Orientation, Green Supply Chain Management, and Firm Performance: Empirical Evidence from Chinese SMEs. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*.
The effect of green supply chain practices on firm performance: an empirical research.
Investigation of green supply chain management practices and sustainability in Indian manufacturing enterprises using SEM. *Scientific Reports*.
Exploration of the impact of green supply chain management practices on manufacturing firms' performance through a mediated-moderated model. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*.
Green supply chain management and firm sustainable performance: unlocking the role of transactional and transformational leadership. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, Springer.
Wiredu, J. et al. (2024). The effect of green supply chain management practices on corporate environmental performance: Does supply chain competitive advantage matter? *Business Strategy and the Environment*.
Going green: Impact of green supply chain management practices on sustainability performance. *Frontiers in Psychology*.
Impact of Green Supply Chain Management on Sustainable Performance: A Dual Mediated-moderated Analysis. *PMC*.
Greening the manufacturing firms: do green supply chain management and organizational citizenship behavior influence firm performance? *PMC*.
Aifuwa, H.O. (2020). Sustainability Reporting and Firm Performance in Developing Climes: A Review of Literature. *SSRN*.
Mandatory sustainability reporting and firm performance: A quasi-natural experiment (2026). *ScienceDirect*.
Hongming, X., Ahmed, B., Hussain, A., Rehman, A., Ullah, I., & Khan, F.U. (2020). Sustainability Reporting and Firm Performance: The Demonstration of Pakistani Firms. *SAGE Open*.
Sustainability reporting and firm's performance: Comparative study between manufacturing and banking sectors. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, Emerald.
Sustainability Reporting and Firm Performance: A Thematic Empirical Review (PDF).
Evaluating the Impact of Sustainability Reporting on Financial Performance: The Mediating Role of ESG Performance and the Moderating Role of Firm Size. *MPRA*.

Sustainability Reporting, Corporate Reputation, and Firm Performance: Moderating Role of Third-Party Assurance. *Corporate Reputation Review*, Springer.

Environmental Sustainability Commitment and Access to Finance by SMEs: The Role of Financial Performance and Corporate Governance (2022). *Sustainability (MDPI)*, 14(14), 8863.

Does Financial Performance Improve the Quality of Sustainability Reporting? Exploring the Moderating Effect of Corporate Governance (2025). *Sustainability (MDPI)*, 17(13), 6123.

Sustainability performance and sustainability reporting in SMEs: a love affair or a fight? *Journal of Management & Organization*, Cambridge Core.

Sustainability in small and medium sized enterprises (SME) financing. *ScienceDirect*.

Turn down the heat: corporate governance and SME environmental and financial performance. *Small Business Economics*, Springer.

Business Sustainability Strategies of Small and Medium Enterprises (Doctoral dissertation). Walden University.

Organisational sustainability and SMEs performance: The role of control environment. *ScienceDirect*.