International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management
ISSN: **3108-1754 (Online)**
Volume 02 Issue 07 Jul-2026 | Impact Factor: 3.5

boAt Lifestyle: Navigating the Crossroads of Affordability and Ambition in India's Consumer Electronics Market

Author Details:
Name: **Dr. Milan Patel**
Position: Assistant Professor
Email: milan.patel@glsuniversity.ac.in
Affiliation: GLS University, Gujarat, India

Subject Areas:

Entrepreneurship | Business | Strategy | Innovation Management | D2C Business Models

Suitable for:

BBA and MBA Programs in Entrepreneurship, Strategic Management, and Consumer Marketing

Based entirely on publicly available secondary data sources.

How to Cite this Article:

Patel, M. (2026). boAt Lifestyle: Navigating the Crossroads of Affordability and Ambition in India's Consumer Electronics Market. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, <i>02</i>(7), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i7.176

https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i7.176

PART A: THE CASE

1. The Decision at the Helm

It was the spring of 2022. In less than seven years, boAt Lifestyle had grown from a bootstrapped startup selling mobile charging cables in a cluttered Delhi market to a publicly acknowledged leader in India's personal audio and wearables segment. The company had sold over ten million units in the fiscal year 2021–22, captured approximately 27 percent of the true wireless stereo (TWS) market in India, and been named among the top five wearable brands globally by research firm IDC (IDC India, 2022). Aman Gupta, co-founder and Chief Marketing Officer of boAt, and Sameer Mehta, co-founder and Chief Executive Officer, had accomplished what most observers in 2016 would have considered improbable: building an aspirational, youth-oriented consumer electronics brand in a market where affordability had typically been the sole competitive axis.

Yet, at the precise moment of its commercial triumph, boAt faced a cluster of decisions that would determine the character of the enterprise for the next decade. Gupta, whose flamboyant public persona had become almost inseparable from the brand's identity—and who had joined Season 1 of India's Shark Tank in 2021 as a celebrity investor—was acutely aware that the competitive terrain was shifting (Bhushan, 2022). Chinese consumer electronics giants, notably Xiaomi and Realme, had sharply escalated their presence in the Indian market. Samsung, Sony, and JBL were defending premium territory. And newer domestic challengers—Noise, Fire-Boltt, and Boat's own spin-offs—were competing aggressively on price in the mass segment.

Three strategic vectors emerged simultaneously as urgent for consideration. First, should boAt pursue a premiumization strategy—moving beyond its sub-Rs.5,000 core positioning toward higher-value, technologically differentiated products that could command margins comparable to global peers? Second, given boAt's near-total dependence on Amazon India and Flipkart as distribution channels, was the company's D2C channel architecture genuinely robust, or was it a structural vulnerability disguised as a strategy? Third, having filed a draft red herring prospectus (DRHP) for a public offering in January 2022, could boAt successfully manage the expectations of institutional investors while continuing to function as an entrepreneurially agile startup (SEBI, 2022)?

The unresolved tension between scale and agility, between affordability and aspiration, and between platform dependence and direct consumer ownership framed the strategic crossroads at which boAt stood. As Gupta reportedly told a business publication: 'We are not just a brand; we are a lifestyle choice for millions of young Indians' (Economic Times, 2022). The question that confronted him and Mehta in mid-2022 was deceptively simple and yet strategically profound: What kind of company did boAt want to be in the next five years?

2. Company Background and Founding Narrative

2.1 The Founding Context

boAt Lifestyle was incorporated in 2016 by Aman Gupta and Sameer Mehta, both of whom brought complementary professional backgrounds to the venture. Gupta had previously served as a director at KPMG and had experience in sales and distribution management with Citibank and Harman International—the latter a subsidiary of Samsung Electronics that owns the JBL and Harman Kardon audio brands. This experience gave Gupta firsthand exposure to the economics of branded consumer electronics and the persistent gap between global brand aspirations and Indian market price realities (Shukla, 2022). Mehta, an entrepreneur with prior experience managing fashion and accessories businesses, contributed operational expertise in product sourcing, manufacturing partnerships, and supply chain management.

The company's registered name is Imagine Marketing Private Limited, though it operates exclusively under the boAt brand. The headquarters are in New Delhi. The initial product was a charging cable with a reinforced connector, retailed at a price below Rs.500—a deliberate entry-point decision that reflected the founders' understanding of Indian consumer price sensitivity (Outlook India, 2021). From this functional starting point, the company methodically expanded its product portfolio into earphones, headphones, smartwatches, and personal care devices.

2.2 Indian Consumer Electronics Market: Pre-boAt Landscape

To appreciate boAt's founding logic, it is necessary to reconstruct the state of the Indian consumer electronics market circa 2015–2016. India's consumer electronics sector was fragmented across three broadly defined tiers: (a) premium global brands such as Bose, Sony, Sennheiser, and Bang & Olufsen, whose pricing placed them out of reach for the majority of Indian consumers; (b) mid-market players like JBL, Skullcandy, and Philips, which offered acceptable quality at prices still considered aspirational for the average college student or young professional; and (c) a large but reputationally compromised grey market consisting of unbranded Chinese imports and repackaged generic products sold through informal distribution channels (ICEA, 2020).

The so-called 'missing middle' in Indian consumer electronics was not just a price gap. It was, more precisely, a value perception gap. Products available in the Rs.500–Rs.3,000 range were typically associated with poor quality, short durability, and zero brand equity. Young Indian consumers—particularly in Tier-1 and emerging Tier-2 cities—aspired to the aesthetics and identity signals of global audio brands but lacked the purchasing power to access them. The market, in short, was waiting for a brand that could deliver perceived quality, stylistic relevance, and affordable pricing within a single coherent offering (Mahindra & Mahindra Financial Services, cited in Bain & Company, 2020).

Simultaneously, India's smartphone penetration was accelerating. The Jio telecom revolution, which began in September 2016, democratized data access and created an explosion in digital media consumption. YouTube, Spotify, and OTT platforms expanded their Indian user bases dramatically. The resulting demand for audio accessories—

earphones, TWS, speakers—was real, large, and underserved by quality-conscious brands at accessible price points (TRAI, 2022). This was the structural inflection point that boAt's founders identified and exploited.

2.3 Competitive Landscape

The competitive environment that boAt entered was characterized by established international incumbents and an unorganized domestic fringe. JBL held a commanding position in portable speakers and headphones, particularly among music enthusiasts, with its premium yet relatively accessible sub-brand positioning. Sony and Sennheiser served the audiophile and semi-professional market. Apple's AirPods, launched in December 2016, definitively legitimized the TWS category but at a price point (approximately Rs.12,000–Rs.15,000 in the Indian market) that excluded the majority of potential buyers (IDC, 2021).

Chinese brands—particularly Xiaomi and its sub-brands (Redmi, Mi)—were beginning to move into audio accessories as extensions of their smartphone ecosystem strategy. These players offered competitive pricing but were perceived by many Indian consumers as utility-oriented rather than lifestyle-oriented products. The cultural resonance that boAt would later construct around cricket, music, and youth identity was not a feature of Chinese electronics brands' market approach in India (Kantar, 2022).

The unorganized sector, meanwhile, accounted for a significant but unmeasured share of the sub-Rs.500 market. These products competed on price alone, with no warranty support, no customer service infrastructure, and no aspiration to build lasting brand equity. Their existence confirmed the market's depth but simultaneously offered an opportunity for any brand capable of establishing a credible quality narrative above this floor.

3. Opportunity Recognition: Theoretical and Empirical Analysis

3.1 Theoretical Framework

The academic literature on entrepreneurial opportunity recognition offers several complementary lenses through which to analyze boAt's founding logic. Israel Kirzner's (1973) theory of entrepreneurial alertness posits that opportunities exist in the form of market disequilibria—situations where supply and demand are mismatched—and that entrepreneurs are distinguished by their capacity to perceive these imbalances before other market actors. In Kirzner's framework, the opportunity does not need to be created; it is discovered by those with the perceptiveness to see it. Applied to boAt, the market disequilibrium was observable in consumer frustration with available audio products: aspirational demand existed, and the supply side had failed to satisfy it within an accessible price band.

Shane and Venkataraman (2000), in their influential framing of entrepreneurship as a scholarly field, distinguish between opportunity discovery—the recognition of pre-existing gaps—and opportunity creation, wherein entrepreneurs actively shape market conditions through innovation. boAt's trajectory reflects elements of both. The initial opportunity was predominantly a discovery: the market gap was identifiable through existing data on smartphone penetration, youth demographic trends, and consumer spending patterns. However, the specific configuration of the boAt value proposition—a stylistically aspirational brand marketed through digital channels with celebrity endorsements—was an act of creation. The founders did not merely discover an unmet need; they constructed a particular mode of addressing it.

Sarasvathy's (2001) effectuation theory provides a third valuable perspective. Effectuation holds that expert entrepreneurs do not begin with a defined goal and work backward to identify required resources; instead, they begin with available means—who they are, what they know, and whom they know—and work forward to identify feasible outcomes. Gupta's prior experience at Harman International gave him specific knowledge of supply chains, retailer economics, and product development cycles in consumer electronics. Mehta's operational expertise in product sourcing and business management was a complementary resource. Together, their 'available means' enabled them to design a business model that leveraged contract manufacturing (avoiding capital-intensive production), digital-first distribution (avoiding the cost structure of physical retail), and celebrity-driven marketing (amplifying reach at lower cost than traditional advertising).

3.2 Consumer Pain-Point Identification

The empirical basis for boAt's opportunity recognition can be reconstructed through retrospective analysis of the consumer pain points that the founders reportedly identified. Gupta has articulated in multiple public interviews—notably with YourStory, Economic Times, and The Hindu BusinessLine—that the founding insight was grounded in personal experience as a consumer. The problem of mobile charging cables breaking at the connector joint, for instance, was both ubiquitous and poorly addressed by the existing market. Existing cables at low price points were fragile; branded cables from Apple and Samsung were disproportionately priced. The Rs.499 reinforced charging cable that boAt introduced as its first product addressed this pain point with a simple quality-assurance narrative (YourStory, 2020).

As the product line expanded into audio accessories, the pain-point analysis became more nuanced. The central consumer tension was one of identity: young Indian consumers wanted to signal cultural membership in a globally connected, music-consuming, digitally literate generation. International brands served this signaling function but were unaffordable. Generic domestic products offered affordability but no identity signal. boAt identified this identity-affordability tension as the core market opportunity and deliberately positioned itself as the brand that could resolve it—offering 'globally inspired design at Indian price points' (Gupta, as cited in Business Standard, 2021).

3.3 Environmental Enablers

The opportunity boAt recognized was not solely a function of entrepreneurial alertness; it was also enabled by a specific configuration of macro-environmental conditions that converged in 2016–2018. The rise of the Indian D2C ecosystem, accelerated by the growth of e-commerce platforms Amazon India and Flipkart, fundamentally altered the economics of consumer brand building. Previously, reaching Indian consumers at scale required investment in physical retail networks—a capital-intensive barrier that favored large incumbents. The e-commerce marketplace model eliminated this barrier, allowing a startup with strong product quality and digital marketing capability to access tens of millions of consumers from day one (Bain & Company, 2020).

The explosion of social media usage—particularly Instagram and YouTube among India's 18–35 demographic—created a new marketing infrastructure that was inherently low-cost relative to traditional media channels. Influencer marketing, a strategy that boAt adopted early and aggressively, enabled authentic product endorsement at costs that were a fraction of television advertising. The company's subsequent decision to partner with cricketing celebrities (Virat Kohli), Bollywood talent, and popular music artists was an extension of this insight: in India's culturally specific media landscape, celebrity association at scale could substitute for, and potentially outperform, conventional brand-building expenditure (Kantar India, 2022).

4. Business Model Innovation: The boAt D2C Architecture

4.1 The D2C Logic in the Indian Context

boAt's business model is most precisely characterized as a platform-mediated D2C model—a hybrid that used e-commerce marketplaces as the primary distribution channel while cultivating direct consumer relationships through digital marketing and community engagement. This model diverged from the classic D2C definition (which typically involves proprietary website-based sales) but retained the essential D2C characteristics of disintermediation (elimination of physical retail middlemen), data access (consumer behavior analytics from marketplace platforms), and brand-consumer proximity (direct communication through social media).

The rationale for marketplace-mediated D2C, rather than proprietary-channel D2C, was grounded in the realities of the Indian consumer electronics market. Amazon India and Flipkart, by 2016–2017, had achieved sufficient penetration and consumer trust to function as effective product discovery and fulfillment infrastructure. Building a proprietary e-commerce website with comparable reach would have required investment that a bootstrapped startup could not sustain in the early phase. By leveraging the marketplace infrastructure, boAt was able to focus its limited capital on product development, marketing, and supply chain management—the areas where differentiation was genuinely achievable (NASSCOM, 2021).

4.2 Business Model Canvas Analysis

A systematic analysis of boAt's business model using the Osterwalder and Pigneur (2010) Business Model Canvas reveals the following architecture (see Exhibit 3):

Customer Segments: boAt's primary segment is India's young urban consumer, specifically the 18–35 age cohort in Tier-1 and Tier-2 cities. This segment is characterized by high digital media consumption, strong aspirational brand orientation, price sensitivity relative to international benchmarks, and active engagement with social media platforms. The company has progressively extended its reach to Tier-3 cities as e-commerce logistics infrastructure has improved.

Value Proposition: The core value proposition is the combination of (a) product quality at accessible price points (typically Rs.500–Rs.5,000), (b) stylistically aspirational design inspired by global audio brands, and (c) an identity signal—association with music, sport, and youth culture—that carries social significance for the target consumer. The 'Indian brand with global aesthetics' narrative is central to this proposition.

Channels: Primary distribution occurs through Amazon India and Flipkart, which together account for the preponderance of boAt's sales volume. The company's owned website (boat-lifestyle.com) and offline retail presence (through multi-brand electronics retail chains) function as secondary channels. The marketing channel is predominantly digital: Instagram, YouTube, and influencer collaborations.

Customer Relationships: boAt has cultivated what it terms the 'boAtheads' community—a branded tribe of loyal consumers engaged through social media challenges, music events, and cricket-linked campaigns. This community architecture serves both retention and advocacy functions, reducing customer acquisition costs over time.

Revenue Streams: Revenue is generated through product sales across the portfolio: earphones (wired and wireless), headphones, smartwatches, portable speakers, home audio, and personal grooming devices. Gross margins have been reported in the range of 25–30% at the product level, though EBITDA margins have varied with the pace of marketing expenditure (SEBI DRHP, 2022).

Key Resources: The critical resources include the boAt brand (reputational and identity capital), supply chain relationships with contract manufacturers primarily in China, digital marketing capabilities, and the founders' professional networks and public personas.

Key Partners: Contract manufacturers in Shenzhen and other manufacturing clusters in southern China supply the bulk of finished products under boAt's design specifications and quality standards. E-commerce platform partners (Amazon, Flipkart) provide logistics and fulfillment infrastructure. Celebrity brand ambassadors constitute a strategically important partner category.

Cost Structure: The dominant cost items are cost of goods sold (COGS), marketing and brand promotion expenditure, logistics and fulfillment costs, and technology/platform fees. The absence of proprietary manufacturing eliminates capital expenditure on plant and machinery, creating a highly asset-light cost structure relative to vertically integrated competitors.

Scalability Logic: The business model is scalable because the core cost drivers—marketing and COGS—scale sublinearly with revenue growth, particularly as the brand achieves recognition economies. The asset-light model means that capacity expansion is managed through contract manufacturer relationships rather than fixed capital investment.

4.3 Critical Assessment of the D2C Model

While the business model described above was demonstrably effective in generating rapid growth and market share capture, academic analysis requires acknowledgment of its inherent structural tensions. The company's dependence on

Amazon and Flipkart as primary channels created a distribution concentration risk that the company's DRHP filing acknowledged explicitly as a business risk. Platform policy changes, commission rate increases, or shifts in search algorithm ranking could materially affect boAt's revenue generation, a vulnerability that direct-channel competitors do not face to the same degree (SEBI, 2022).

Furthermore, the reliance on contract manufacturing in China, while economically rational, exposed boAt to supply chain disruptions of the kind experienced globally during the COVID-19 pandemic (2020–2021). The India-China geopolitical tensions that escalated following the Galwan Valley clash of June 2020 added a political dimension to this supply chain risk, prompting calls for 'Atmanirbhar Bharat' (self-reliant India) manufacturing that had direct implications for companies like boAt whose supply chains were primarily China-dependent (Ministry of Electronics and IT, 2020).

5. Growth Trajectory and Strategic Inflection Points

5.1 Funding and Capital Structure

boAt's journey from bootstrapped startup to IPO candidate traversed several distinct phases of capital formation. The company was initially self-funded by the two founders, a financial constraint that shaped its go-to-market strategy in ways that proved strategically advantageous. The founders' need to generate cash flow from early product sales instilled a capital efficiency discipline that many venture-backed startups lack.

The first significant external investment came from Fireside Ventures, a consumer-focused venture capital firm, which led a Series A round of Rs.60 crore (approximately US$8 million) in 2018. This capital enabled boAt to expand its product portfolio and increase its marketing investment. Subsequent funding rounds, including participation from Qualcomm Ventures in a reported round in 2021, reinforced the growth trajectory. By the time of the DRHP filing in January 2022, boAt had raised a total of approximately Rs.500 crore (approximately US$65 million) in external funding. The IPO, valued at a projected Rs.2,000 crore (approximately US$260 million), would have been one of the more significant consumer startup listings in India's public market, though the IPO was ultimately shelved due to adverse market conditions in 2022 (Mint, 2022; SEBI, 2022).

5.2 Brand Architecture: Celebrities, Cricket, and Culture

One of the most analytically significant dimensions of boAt's growth strategy is its approach to brand building. In a market where international audio brands had established their prestige through decades of quality association and premium distribution, boAt's founders recognized that brand equity at the targeted price point needed to be constructed through cultural relevance rather than conventional prestige signaling.

The strategy that emerged was built on three pillars. First, boAt aligned its brand identity with music—specifically, with the consumption of music by young Indians across genre boundaries (Bollywood, hip-hop, EDM, independent music). Partnership with music artists, music events, and streaming platforms served as an authentic entry point into the cultural conversation that defined boAt's target consumer's daily life. Second, cricket—India's dominant cultural sport—provided an extraordinary amplification platform. The association with players like Virat Kohli, Hardik Pandya, and KL Rahul through ambassador relationships, and the subsequent 'Official Umpire Partner' designation at the Indian Premier League (IPL), placed boAt at the center of India's most watched sporting event (Scroll.in, 2021). Third, social media micro-celebrity and influencer endorsements—deployed at scale across Instagram and YouTube—created a sustained, cost-effective brand presence that mainstream advertising could not have achieved at comparable cost.

The net effect of this brand architecture was the construction of what might be described as a 'cultural tribe'—the 'boAtheads' community—that transcended the conventional brand-consumer relationship. Community membership created switching costs grounded not in product lock-in but in identity attachment. Consumers who identified as boAtheads did not merely use boAt products; they wore them as markers of cultural affiliation (Kantar India, 2022).

5.3 Product Diversification Strategy

boAt's product trajectory from 2016 to 2022 exemplifies a pattern of adjacency expansion—moving from an initial product category into related categories that share supply chain, consumer target, and channel characteristics. Beginning with charging cables and wired earphones, the company progressively entered: wireless earphones (2018); over-ear headphones (2019); portable Bluetooth speakers (2019); true wireless stereo (TWS) earbuds (2019–2020); smartwatches and fitness bands (2020–2021); and personal grooming devices (2021–2022).

Each category expansion was enabled by the pre-existing brand equity, distribution infrastructure, and contract manufacturer relationships that boAt had cultivated. The diversification also served a risk management function: reducing dependence on any single product category reduced the exposure to competitive disruption in that category. By fiscal year 2021–22, smartwatches and wearables had become the company's second-largest revenue category after audio, reflecting the successful execution of this adjacency strategy (IDC India, 2022).

However, the diversification also introduced a strategic tension with the core brand identity. boAt's original brand narrative was grounded specifically in audio and music—a niche that is culturally specific, emotionally resonant, and defensible. Extension into personal care devices (trimmers, massagers) moved the brand into categories where music and youth-culture relevance is less inherently persuasive, potentially diluting the brand's cultural coherence. Whether this extension strengthened the brand (by broadening its lifestyle association) or weakened it (by diffusing its positioning) remained an open analytical question at the time of this case.

5.4 Competitive Response and Market Intensification

By 2021–22, the competitive landscape that boAt had navigated relatively freely in its early years had intensified substantially. Several developments were particularly consequential. First, Noise—boAt's most direct domestic competitor—had raised significant capital and aggressively expanded its product range into TWS and smartwatches, competing directly on the value-for-money proposition that boAt had pioneered. Second, Fire-Boltt, a later entrant focused predominantly on smartwatches, had used aggressive pricing and rapid product releases to capture market share in the wearables segment. Third, Chinese players—Realme Buds, Xiaomi Earbuds, and OnePlus TWS products—were competing at price points that challenged boAt's cost advantage, while benefiting from integration with their respective smartphone ecosystems (IDC India, 2022).

In the premium segment, while boAt's brand did not directly compete with Sony, Bose, or Apple, the consolidation of these brands' D2C channels and the growth of the refurbished and certified pre-owned market for premium audio products created indirect competitive pressure. Consumers who had previously defaulted to boAt as an affordable alternative could now access near-premium products through refurbished channels at comparable price points.

The collective effect of this intensification was visible in boAt's financial performance. The company's DRHP disclosed that losses had widened in fiscal year 2021–22 relative to the prior year, partly attributable to escalating marketing expenditures required to defend market share against new entrants. The ratio of marketing expenditure to revenue—a key metric for D2C brands—had increased, signaling potential compression of unit economics as the competitive intensity rose (SEBI, 2022).

6. The Strategic Crossroads: An Unresolved Dilemma

6.1 The Premiumization Question

The most structurally consequential decision that boAt's leadership faced in 2022 was whether to embark on a deliberate premiumization strategy—introducing product lines priced significantly above its historical core range, targeting the Rs.5,000–Rs.20,000 segment that JBL and Sony occupied. The business logic for premiumization was compelling on several dimensions. Higher price points would improve gross margins, reducing the company's vulnerability to cost pressures from Chinese component manufacturers. A premium offering would allow boAt to compete for consumers who had 'graduated' from boAt products as their purchasing power increased—consumers who would otherwise defect to international brands. And premiumization would, if successfully executed, reposition boAt as a lifestyle brand with genuine aspirational equity rather than merely an affordable alternative.

The counterarguments were equally compelling. boAt's brand equity was fundamentally rooted in its affordable-aspirational identity. Premiumization risked confusing the brand narrative for existing consumers and creating a positioning inconsistency that would disadvantage boAt relative to both its existing competitors (who owned the premium space) and its emerging competitors (who were moving aggressively to own the value space that boAt would vacate). The history of consumer brands that have attempted premiumization without first establishing sufficient brand equity at the premium tier is instructive and sobering (Keller, 2013). The risk of 'brand stretch failure' was real and material.

6.2 The Channel Ownership Question

The second unresolved strategic question concerned the depth and architecture of boAt's direct consumer channel. The company's branded website existed but accounted for a relatively small fraction of total sales. Amazon and Flipkart remained dominant distribution partners, but this dependence carried a structural risk that Gupta and Mehta could not rationally ignore: if either platform were to alter its fee structure, search visibility algorithms, or category policies, boAt's revenue could be substantially disrupted.

The investment required to build a genuine owned-channel capability—proprietary e-commerce platform development, logistics and fulfillment infrastructure, digital acquisition capabilities, and customer service—was substantial. The question was whether, at boAt's stage of development, this investment was better directed toward channel diversification or toward product innovation and brand extension. The opportunity cost of channel investment was particularly acute given the simultaneous demands of the IPO process, competitive defense, and product diversification.

6.3 The International Expansion Option

A third strategic option—international expansion beyond India—was present in the competitive conversation but had not been operationalized as of mid-2022. The global personal audio market offered, in principle, significant addressable opportunity. India's diaspora population in the United States, the United Kingdom, and the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) region represented an accessible initial target segment that could be reached without extensive localization of marketing content. The South Asian market (Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Nepal) offered adjacent geographies with consumer characteristics similar to India.

However, the competitive dynamics of international markets differed fundamentally from India. In the United States and United Kingdom, the market was dominated by well-capitalized global brands with strong distribution relationships and established brand equity. boAt's India-specific cultural capital—its association with Bollywood, cricket, and the Indian youth experience—would not transfer directly to most international markets. Building brand equity from scratch in mature, competitive markets required investment at a scale that boAt, pre-IPO, was arguably not positioned to sustain.

6.4 The Dilemma Defined

As Aman Gupta and Sameer Mehta reviewed their strategic options in the spring of 2022, no clear dominant path was apparent. The company's financial investors, anticipating a public listing, were pressing for a clear narrative of sustained growth and margin improvement. The founding team's entrepreneurial instincts favored continued bold moves. And the competitive environment demanded an urgent response.

Three possible responses presented themselves, each with distinct implications for brand identity, financial performance, and competitive positioning. boAt could double down on its core value proposition—affordable, aspirational, India-focused—and compete for deeper penetration of the mass market through product innovation and category expansion. Alternatively, it could pursue a deliberate premiumization trajectory, accepting the risks of brand stretch in exchange for the margin expansion that premium positioning would deliver. Or it could attempt a portfolio bifurcation—maintaining the core boAt brand in the value segment while launching a premium sub-brand (following the model of companies like Samsung with its Galaxy S series or Maruti Suzuki with its Nexa channel)—a strategy that preserved optionality but required resources that a startup-scale organization might find difficult to sustain.

The decision had no obvious right answer. It required, instead, a rigorous analysis of brand theory, competitive strategy, consumer psychology, and financial modeling—precisely the kind of multi-dimensional strategic reasoning that distinguished managerial leadership from operational management. As Gupta himself observed, the company had been built on the audacity of entering a market that others had overlooked. The next chapter of boAt's story would be written by its founders' capacity to make a well-reasoned choice at the moment of greatest strategic consequence (Business Standard, 2022).

This case was written for classroom instruction purposes only. It is based entirely on publicly available secondary data sources. The authors make no representations about the completeness of information or the current strategic positions of the company.

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1: boAt Lifestyle — Corporate Timeline (2016–2022)

Year	Key Milestone
2016	Imagine Marketing Pvt. Ltd. incorporated; first product (reinforced charging cable) launched on Amazon India at Rs.499
2017	Expansion into wired earphones and in-ear monitors; early traction on Amazon India's Best Sellers list in audio accessories
2018	Series A funding of Rs.60 crore from Fireside Ventures; launch of first Bluetooth earphones and headphones; revenue crosses Rs.100 crore
2019	Launch of portable Bluetooth speakers and over-ear headphones; first celebrity brand ambassador partnerships; IPL 'Official Umpire Partner' deal announced; market share leadership claimed in wired earphones segment
2020	Entry into true wireless stereo (TWS) earbuds and smartwatches; rapid growth driven by COVID-19 work-from-home demand surge; supply chain disruptions from China; reported EBITDA positive
2021	Aman Gupta joins Shark Tank India Season 1 as investor-judge; Qualcomm Ventures investment; market leadership in TWS with approx. 27% share (IDC); revenue reported at Rs.1,500+ crore; personal care device category launch
2022	DRHP filed with SEBI for IPO (January 2022); IPO shelved due to adverse market conditions; strategic review of premiumization and international expansion options; increased competitive pressure from Noise, Fire-Boltt, and Chinese brands

Exhibit 2: boAt Lifestyle — Funding Milestones

Year	Round	Key Investor(s)	Approx. Amount
2016–17	Bootstrapped	Aman Gupta and Sameer Mehta	Undisclosed
2018	Series A	Fireside Ventures	Rs.60 crore (~US$8M)
2021	Growth Round	Qualcomm Ventures; existing investors	Undisclosed
2022	Pre-IPO / DRHP	Public markets (shelved)	Rs.2,000 crore targeted (shelved)

Exhibit 3: boAt Lifestyle — Business Model Canvas

Key Partners	Key Activities	Value Proposition	Customer Relationships	Customer Segments	Channels
Contract manufacturers (China); Amazon India; Flipkart; celebrity brand ambassadors; influencer networks; component suppliers	Product design & QC; digital marketing & community management; supply chain coordination; marketplace management; brand building	Affordable, aspirational audio & wearable products; Indian brand with global aesthetics; music & sport cultural identity; product quality assurance at sub-Rs.5,000	boAtheads community; social media engagement; Shark Tank brand visibility; influencer ecosystem; loyalty through identity affiliation	Urban Indians aged 18–35; Tier-1 and Tier-2 city youth; music and sports fans; first-time earphone/wearable buyers	Amazon India; Flipkart; boat-lifestyle.com; offline retail chains; IPL and cricket events
Cost Structure			**Revenue Streams**		
COGS (contract manufacturing); digital marketing & influencer costs; marketplace commissions (Amazon/Flipkart); logistics & fulfillment; technology & platform costs; G&A; R&D (design)			Product sales: audio accessories (wired/wireless earphones, headphones, speakers); smartwatches & fitness bands; personal care devices; accessories (cables, chargers)		

Exhibit 4: boAt Lifestyle — Product Diversification Matrix

Product Category	Year Entered	Price Range (Rs.)	Strategic Rationale
Charging Cables & Accessories	2016	Rs.299 – Rs.999	Entry product; immediate pain-point resolution; brand establishment
Wired Earphones	2016–17	Rs.399 – Rs.1,499	Core audio category; mass market penetration; high volume
Wireless Earphones / Headphones	2018–19	Rs.999 – Rs.3,499	Premium migration within brand; higher ASP; margin improvement

Portable Bluetooth Speakers	2019	Rs.1,499 – Rs.4,999	Category adjacency; lifestyle and social occasion use case
True Wireless Stereo (TWS) Earbuds	2019–20	Rs.999 – Rs.3,999	Fastest-growing global audio category; AirPods alternative positioning
Smartwatches & Fitness Bands	2020–21	Rs.1,499 – Rs.5,999	Wearables market entry; brand diversification; high-growth category
Personal Care Devices (trimmers, massagers)	2021–22	Rs.999 – Rs.3,499	Lifestyle brand extension; category diversification; risk reduction

Exhibit 5: boAt Lifestyle — Strategic Options Analysis Matrix (2022)

Strategic Option	Core Logic	Key Benefits	Key Risks	Feasibility Assessment
Option 1: Deepen Core (Affordable D2C Focus)	Defend mass market share; intensify product innovation at sub-Rs.5,000; accelerate offline channel expansion	Brand consistency; proven model; volume scale; community loyalty	Margin compression; competitive erosion from Chinese brands; commoditization risk	High short-term; medium long-term
Option 2: Premiumize Main Brand	Launch Rs.5,000–20,000 range under boAt brand; invest in ANC, Hi-Fi audio, materials quality	Margin expansion; aspirational upgrade path; investor narrative	Brand stretch failure; cannibalizes neither segment fully; requires R&D investment	Medium; requires careful execution
Option 3: Portfolio Bifurcation (New Premium Sub-brand)	Maintain boAt for mass; launch separate premium brand for Rs.5,000+ segment	Preserves core brand; enables premium tier access; manages brand risk	Requires separate brand investment; management complexity; resource stretch at scale	Low short-term; potentially high long-term
Option 4: International Expansion (Diaspora-First)	Enter GCC, South Asia, and diaspora markets in UK/USA leveraging existing brand and product portfolio	Revenue diversification; India brand-of-origin story; scalable digital marketing	Brand equity not transferable; competitive intensity in mature markets; operational complexity	Low short-term; requires phased investment

PART B: TEACHING NOTES

FOR INSTRUCTOR USE ONLY — NOT FOR STUDENT DISTRIBUTION

1. Case Synopsis

This teaching case examines the entrepreneurial journey of boAt Lifestyle (Imagine Marketing Pvt. Ltd.), an Indian direct-to-consumer (D2C) consumer electronics startup founded in New Delhi in 2016 by Aman Gupta and Sameer Mehta. The case traces boAt's trajectory from a bootstrapped startup selling reinforced mobile charging cables to a company that achieved market leadership in India's personal audio and wearables segment within approximately six years of founding.

The case is structured around a central strategic dilemma that the company faced in the spring of 2022: having established mass-market dominance in the affordable audio segment, should boAt pursue a premiumization strategy, deepen its core value proposition, or explore international market entry? The dilemma is set against the backdrop of an intensifying competitive landscape, an aborted IPO attempt, and mounting pressure from both institutional investors and domestic competitors.

Analytically, the case explores three interrelated themes. The first is entrepreneurial opportunity recognition—specifically, how Gupta and Mehta identified and exploited a structural gap in the Indian consumer electronics market that larger, better-resourced incumbents had failed to address. The second is business model innovation—the mechanics of boAt's D2C architecture, including its platform-mediated distribution strategy, celebrity-driven brand building, and asset-light manufacturing model. The third is strategic positioning—the tension between brand identity consistency and the demand for growth-enabling diversification and premiumization.

The case is grounded exclusively in publicly available secondary data, including SEBI filings, media interviews, market research reports (IDC, Kantar, Bain & Company), and academic sources. It is designed to be taught in a 60–75 minute class session at the BBA or MBA level in courses on entrepreneurship, strategic management, innovation management, and D2C business models.

2. Intended Courses and Placement

This case is suitable for the following courses and learning contexts:

- Entrepreneurship and New Venture Creation (BBA/MBA): Central case for opportunity recognition, entrepreneurial alertness, and startup strategy modules
- Strategic Management (MBA): Appropriate for competitive positioning, brand strategy, and strategic dilemma resolution modules
- Innovation Management (MBA): Useful for business model innovation, D2C disruption, and platform strategy discussions
- Consumer Marketing and Brand Management (MBA/PGP): Relevant for brand identity, premiumization, and customer community building modules
- Startup Management and Scaling (Executive Education): Appropriate for discussions on fundraising strategy, IPO readiness, and growth versus profitability trade-offs

The case works best as a mid-semester case after students have been introduced to foundational entrepreneurship theory (Kirzner, Sarasvathy, Shane & Venkataraman) and the Business Model Canvas framework. It is particularly effective when paired with cases on other D2C brands (e.g., Warby Parker, Casper, Mamaearth) to enable comparative analysis.

3. Learning Objectives

Upon completing the assigned case preparation and class discussion, students should be able to:

1. Identify and analyze the structural market gap that boAt's founders recognized in India's consumer electronics market, applying Kirzner's (1973) theory of entrepreneurial alertness and Shane and Venkataraman's (2000) framework of opportunity discovery versus creation.
2. Apply Sarasvathy's (2001) effectuation theory to reconstruct boAt's founding logic from the founders' 'available means' rather than a predefined strategic goal.
3. Decompose and evaluate boAt's business model using the Business Model Canvas (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2010), with particular attention to the D2C logic, channel architecture, and cost structure.
4. Critically assess the advantages and structural vulnerabilities inherent in boAt's platform-mediated D2C distribution model.
5. Evaluate the brand architecture decisions that enabled boAt's market growth, drawing on Keller's (2013) customer-based brand equity framework.
6. Analyze the competitive dynamics of India's personal audio and wearables market, identifying the strategic implications of intensified competition from domestic and Chinese players.
7. Evaluate the premiumization, channel diversification, and international expansion options available to boAt in 2022, applying Porter's (1980) generic strategies framework and Kim and Mauborgne's (2005) Blue Ocean Strategy to each option.
8. Formulate and defend a managerial recommendation for boAt's strategic direction, demonstrating the capacity to integrate multiple analytical frameworks into a coherent, evidence-based strategic argument.

4. Theoretical Frameworks and Their Application

4.1 Entrepreneurial Alertness (Kirzner, 1973)

Kirzner's theory provides the foundational lens for analyzing boAt's founding opportunity. Students should be guided to identify the specific market disequilibrium—quality products unavailable at accessible price points in India's audio market—and to distinguish this from other possible explanations for boAt's success. The key pedagogical insight is that the opportunity existed before boAt 'created' it; the founders' alertness enabled them to perceive and act on it before competitors did.

4.2 Opportunity Discovery vs. Creation (Shane & Venkataraman, 2000)

This framework enables a more nuanced analysis. Students can be asked to identify which elements of boAt's strategy represent discovery (the price-quality gap, the digital marketing opportunity, the youth demographic trend) and which represent creation (the specific brand narrative, the 'boAtheads' community, the celebrity ambassador ecosystem). This distinction helps students appreciate the role of entrepreneurial agency in shaping, not merely responding to, market conditions.

4.3 Effectuation Theory (Sarasvathy, 2001)

Effectuation is particularly powerful for analyzing boAt's early-stage resource mobilization. The instructor should guide students to map the founders' 'available means': Gupta's prior experience at Harman International (who I am, what I know), the founders' professional networks in consumer electronics sourcing (whom I know), and the accessible capital available for a low-investment product test (what I have). Students should trace how these means shaped the specific choices made—contract manufacturing, marketplace-first distribution, influencer marketing—rather than the startup pursuing an idealized strategy defined in advance.

4.4 Business Model Canvas (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2010)

Exhibit 3 provides a structured framework for classroom discussion of the nine canvas elements. Instructors should draw particular attention to the interdependencies: the value proposition (affordable aspiration) enables the customer segment (urban youth) through the channel (e-commerce marketplaces) at a cost structure (asset-light, COGS-focused) that makes the model viable. Students should be challenged to identify where the model is fragile—specifically, the dependence on external platforms and contract manufacturers.

4.5 Customer-Based Brand Equity (Keller, 2013)

Keller's pyramid model—brand salience, performance, imagery, judgments, feelings, resonance—provides a useful framework for analyzing boAt's brand architecture. Students should trace boAt's construction of brand equity from the bottom of the pyramid upward: establishing brand awareness through celebrity and influencer marketing, building performance associations through warranty and quality communication, and cultivating resonance through the boAtheads community. The premiumization dilemma can then be analyzed in terms of the risk of disrupting this carefully constructed pyramid.

4.6 Porter's Generic Strategies and the Stuck-in-the-Middle Risk

Porter's (1980) framework provides a critical lens for the premiumization dilemma. boAt's original strategy is clearly cost leadership with differentiation elements—affordable products with aspirational brand identity. The premiumization option risks moving the company toward differentiation without fully committing to it, creating a 'stuck-in-the-middle' positioning that satisfies neither the cost-leadership segment nor the premium segment. This insight should be the culminating analytical contribution of the class discussion.

5. Suggested Discussion Questions

Question 1 (Opportunity Recognition)

What specific market gap did Aman Gupta and Sameer Mehta identify in the Indian consumer electronics market in 2015–2016? Using Kirzner's (1973) theory of entrepreneurial alertness, explain why established players like JBL, Sony, and domestic electronics distributors failed to recognize and address this gap before boAt did.

Question 2 (Business Model Analysis)

Analyze boAt's business model using the Business Model Canvas. What is the internal logic that makes the nine elements of the canvas coherent with each other? Where are the structural vulnerabilities in this model, and how do they relate to the strategic dilemma described at the end of the case?

Question 3 (Brand Architecture and D2C Strategy)

boAt has been described as a D2C brand, yet the majority of its sales occur through Amazon India and Flipkart. Is boAt truly a D2C company? What does the 'D2C' label actually signify in boAt's case, and what are the implications of platform dependence for the company's long-term competitive positioning?

Question 4 (Competitive Response)

By 2022, boAt faced competitive pressure from Noise, Fire-Boltt, Xiaomi, and Realme in its core segments, as well as from premium international brands like Sony, JBL, and Apple in the aspirational tier. Map the competitive dynamics using Porter's Five Forces framework. Which competitive force represents the greatest strategic threat to boAt's position, and why?

Question 5 (Strategic Recommendation)

If you were advising Aman Gupta and Sameer Mehta in mid-2022, which of the four strategic options presented in Exhibit 5 would you recommend, and why? Your recommendation must be grounded in at least two theoretical frameworks from the case and must explicitly address the trade-offs involved.

6. Suggested Answers to Discussion Questions

Question 1 — Suggested Answer

Students should identify the following specific market gap: the absence of affordable (Rs.500–Rs.3,000), stylistically aspirational, and quality-credible audio accessories in India's consumer market. The gap was simultaneously a price gap (global brands priced out of reach), a quality gap (affordable domestic/Chinese alternatives lacked durability and brand equity), and an identity gap (no Indian brand offered the youth-culture relevance that consumers sought).

In Kirznerian terms, the opportunity existed as a disequilibrium: excess demand (aspirational consumer desire for quality audio products) was unmet by supply at accessible prices. The question of why incumbents failed to recognize this gap invites richer discussion. Students may argue that JBL and Sony were constrained by their global brand architecture and premium positioning, which prevented them from launching sub-premium India-specific products without cannibalization risk. Domestic distributors lacked the brand-building capability and digital marketing sophistication to construct aspirational value propositions. The unorganized sector competed only on price and lacked the organizational infrastructure to build quality narratives. boAt's founders, by contrast, had the specific prior knowledge (Harman International experience, consumer electronics sourcing networks) and the market observation capacity to translate Kirznerian alertness into entrepreneurial action.

Question 2 — Suggested Answer

A complete BMC analysis should engage all nine elements as described in Section 4.2 of the case. The internal logic centers on a critical interdependency: the asset-light cost structure (contract manufacturing + marketplace distribution) makes the affordable price point economically viable, and the affordable price point enables access to the mass market youth consumer segment, which in turn generates the volume required to sustain investment in brand building through celebrity endorsements and influencer marketing.

Structural vulnerabilities include: (1) platform concentration risk—over-dependence on Amazon and Flipkart creates revenue exposure to platform policy changes; (2) supply chain risk—contract manufacturing in China creates geopolitical and logistical vulnerability; (3) brand equity risk—the 'boAtheads' community-based loyalty strategy, while effective for the core segment, may not translate to premium consumers; and (4) margin pressure—the cost structure under competitive pricing pressure leaves limited headroom for investment in R&D or brand building without sacrificing profitability. These vulnerabilities directly generate the strategic dilemma: boAt must choose between deepening its core (which perpetuates the vulnerabilities) or evolving its model (which risks the brand equity that makes the current model work).

Question 3 — Suggested Answer

This question is designed to surface critical thinking about the D2C label. Advanced students should recognize that boAt is a D2C brand in the marketing and brand sense (direct consumer communication through social media, community building, branded content) but not in the distribution sense (sales predominantly through third-party platforms). This hybrid model—which could be called 'platform-leveraged D2C'—is common among Indian consumer startups and represents a pragmatic adaptation to the realities of the Indian e-commerce landscape.

The implications of platform dependence are significant. Commission rates on Amazon and Flipkart are typically in the range of 15–25 percent of transaction value for electronics categories, representing a substantial cost item. Algorithm changes that affect product visibility can materially impact sales volumes without any action by boAt. The strategic implication is that boAt does not own its customer relationship in the transactional sense; it owns the brand relationship but must 'rent' access to consumers through platform intermediaries. Building a genuine owned channel—or diversifying into offline retail—would reduce this dependence but would require capital investment and operational capability development that the company had not yet fully pursued as of 2022.

International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management
ISSN: **3108-1754 (Online)**
Volume 02 Issue 07 Jul-2026 | Impact Factor: 3.5

Question 4 — Suggested Answer

A Porter's Five Forces analysis reveals the following structure: (1) Rivalry: Intense and increasing, with Noise, Fire-Boltt, Xiaomi, Realme, and Samsung competing aggressively in the core price range; (2) Threat of new entrants: High, given low product differentiation barriers at the sub-Rs.3,000 tier; entry by contract-manufactured brands is relatively easy; (3) Buyer power: High, as consumer switching costs are low—earphones and smartwatches are not ecosystem-dependent products (unlike smartphones); (4) Supplier power: Moderate—contract manufacturers in China are numerous, but geopolitical risk and COVID-related disruption demonstrated that supply chain resilience is not guaranteed; (5) Substitutes: Moderate—smartphone earphones bundled by OEMs (Samsung, OnePlus) represent a partial substitute for entry-level audio accessories.

The greatest strategic threat is arguably the combination of rivalry and buyer power. Low switching costs in a commoditizing category mean that consumers are highly price-responsive, eroding boAt's ability to maintain margins without investment in brand differentiation. The instructor should guide students toward recognizing that boAt's 'boAtheads' community strategy is precisely an attempt to create switching costs rooted in identity rather than technology—and that the long-term viability of this strategy depends on the brand's capacity to maintain cultural relevance as it competes in a wider product range.

Question 5 — Suggested Answer

There is no single 'correct' answer to the strategic recommendation question; the pedagogical objective is to assess the quality of students' analytical reasoning, their ability to integrate frameworks, and their capacity to explicitly address trade-offs. The following represents a model-quality recommendation for Option 1 (Deepen Core), which the instructor may present if no group independently advocates for it:

Option 1 (Deepen Core) is recommended as the primary strategic vector for the near term, supplemented by selective premiumization within the boAt brand rather than a separate premium sub-brand. The rationale is grounded in Porter's (1980) generic strategies framework: boAt's competitive advantage is grounded in cost leadership with brand differentiation, and any strategy that moves it away from this axis risks the 'stuck-in-the-middle' trap. The premiumization option (Option 2), while attractive for margin improvement, risks disorienting the core consumer base without generating sufficient premium segment credibility to compete with Sony and JBL, who have decades of quality association. The portfolio bifurcation option (Option 3) requires organizational resource allocation that a company of boAt's scale, in the middle of an aborted IPO process, is unlikely to sustain effectively. International expansion (Option 4) should be explored selectively—specifically, the GCC and diaspora markets—but should not be the primary strategic focus.

Within the Deepen Core strategy, the instructor should guide students toward recognizing that 'deepening' does not mean stagnation. It means investing in the dimensions that make the core model more defensible: building owned digital channels, improving supply chain resilience through Manufacturing in India (PLI scheme utilization), and investing in community depth. Kim and Mauborgne's (2005) Blue Ocean logic suggests that boAt can create differentiation within the value tier by innovating on dimensions that competitors have not addressed—for example, health monitoring integration in audio wearables (earbuds with biometric sensors), localized music content partnerships, or regional language voice interfaces—rather than competing on price or premium features alone.

7. Suggested Teaching Plan — 75-Minute Class Session

Time	Phase	Activity and Content	Format
0–8 min	Opening Hook	Instructor poses cold-call question: 'If you were a 25-year-old in Delhi in 2016 who wanted good-quality wireless earphones, what were your options and what was wrong with each of them?' Allow 2–3 responses. Bridge to the founders' experience and the founding insight.	Cold call
8–20 min	Opportunity Analysis	Guided discussion of Section 3 (Opportunity Recognition). Apply Kirzner's alertness concept. Ask: 'What did Gupta and Mehta know that incumbents did not, or could not act on?' Map on the board: Consumer Pain Points (price, quality, identity gap) → Founder Knowledge (Harman experience, sourcing networks) → Market Enablers (Jio, Amazon India, Instagram).	Plenary
20–35 min	BMC Breakout	Breakout groups of 4–5 students. Each group assigned two adjacent BMC elements (e.g., Value Proposition + Customer Segments; Channels + Customer Relationships; Key Partners + Cost Structure). Groups map their assigned elements on Exhibit 3 and identify the single most critical vulnerability in the elements they were assigned. Report back (2 minutes per group).	Groups + Plenary
35–50 min	Competitive Landscape and Brand Analysis	Instructor-led discussion of competitive dynamics (Section 5.4). Use Five Forces framework. Focus on the identity-aspiration brand strategy and the 'boAtheads' community. Ask: 'Does community loyalty translate to premium willingness-to-pay?' Map Keller's pyramid on the board and identify where boAt is strong and where it is vulnerable.	Plenary
50–65 min	Strategic Recommendation	Poll the class on Exhibit 5 options (show of hands or digital poll). Identify the distribution of recommendations. Invite the strongest advocates for each option to defend their choice. Instructor facilitates a structured debate. Students must cite at least one theoretical framework in their defense.	Debate + Poll
65–75 min	Synthesis and Close	Instructor synthesizes the discussion, revealing the Porter 'stuck-in-the-middle' insight as the central analytical concern. Close with a provocation: 'boAt's founder became a celebrity by telling young Indians that their aspirations were valid. What does it mean if boAt itself aspires upward?' Leave the strategic question unresolved, as the case intends.	Plenary

8. Board Plan / Blackboard Flow

LEFT PANEL: Market Analysis	CENTRE PANEL: Business Model	RIGHT PANEL: Strategic Options
Consumer Pain Points: - Price gap (premium unaffordable) - Quality gap (cheap = poor quality) - Identity gap (no Indian youth brand) Environmental Enablers: - Jio: data democratization - Amazon India / Flipkart - Instagram / influencer economy - Youth demographic surge Kirzner: Where was the disequilibrium?	BMC Key Elements: VP: Affordable aspiration CS: Urban youth 18-35 CH: Amazon + Flipkart + social CR: boAtheads community KP: Contract mfg (China) + celebrities CS: Asset-light, COGS-driven VULNERABILITIES: >> Platform concentration >> Supply chain (China) >> Margin compression	Strategic Options: 1. DEEPEN CORE (mass market) + Brand consistency - Margin risk 2. PREMIUMIZE boAt brand + Margins - Brand stretch risk 3. NEW PREMIUM SUB-BRAND + Optionality - Resources 4. INTERNATIONAL + Diversification - Competitive intensity PORTER: Stuck in the middle?

9. References

Bain & Company. (2020). India D2C: The new consumer brand paradigm. Bain & Company India Reports.

Bhushan, R. (2022, March 14). boAt's Aman Gupta on Shark Tank, brand building, and the road ahead. Economic Times. https://economictimes.indiatimes.com

Business Standard. (2021, November 5). We are not just a brand; we are a lifestyle choice for millions: Aman Gupta. Business Standard. https://www.business-standard.com

Business Standard. (2022, June 22). boAt shelves IPO plan amid weak market conditions. Business Standard. https://www.business-standard.com

IDC India. (2021). India quarterly wearable device market tracker, Q4 2021. International Data Corporation.

IDC India. (2022). India quarterly wearable device market tracker, Q2 2022. International Data Corporation.

Indian Cellular & Electronics Association (ICEA). (2020). India mobile and electronics industry: Review and outlook 2020. ICEA Publications.

Kantar India. (2022). BrandZ India top 75 most valuable brands 2022. Kantar Group.

Keller, K. L. (2013). Strategic brand management: Building, measuring, and managing brand equity (4th ed.). Pearson Education.

Kim, W. C., & Mauborgne, R. (2005). Blue ocean strategy: How to create uncontested market space and make the competition irrelevant. Harvard Business School Press.

Kirzner, I. M. (1973). Competition and entrepreneurship. University of Chicago Press.

Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY). (2020). Production-linked incentive scheme for large-scale electronics manufacturing. Government of India.

Mint. (2022, January 31). boAt files DRHP with SEBI for IPO; targets Rs 2,000 crore fundraise. Livemint. https://www.livemint.com

NASSCOM. (2021). India D2C landscape 2021: The rise of digital-first consumer brands. NASSCOM Knowledge Report.

Osterwalder, A., & Pigneur, Y. (2010). Business model generation: A handbook for visionaries, game changers, and challengers. John Wiley & Sons.

Outlook India. (2021, July). How boAt became India's most popular audio brand. Outlook India. https://www.outlookindia.com

Porter, M. E. (1980). Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing industries and competitors. Free Press.

Sarasvathy, S. D. (2001). Causation and effectuation: Toward a theoretical shift from economic inevitability to entrepreneurial contingency. Academy of Management Review, 26(2), 243–263. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2001.4378020

Scroll.in. (2021, April 9). boAt becomes the official umpire partner for the IPL 2021: What does this mean for the brand? Scroll.in. https://scroll.in

Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). (2022). Draft red herring prospectus: Imagine Marketing Limited. SEBI Filing Registry. https://www.sebi.gov.in

Shane, S., & Venkataraman, S. (2000). The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research. Academy of Management Review, 25(1), 217–226. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2000.2791611

Shukla, T. (2022, February 18). How Aman Gupta built boAt. The Hindu BusinessLine. https://www.thehindubusinessline.com

Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI). (2022). Telecom subscription data for Q3 2021–22. TRAI Publications. https://www.trai.gov.in

YourStory. (2020, September). boAt's journey from Rs.499 cables to Rs.1,500 crore in revenue. YourStory Media. https://yourstory.com

---

End of Teaching Case Study

boAt Lifestyle: Navigating the Crossroads of Affordability and Ambition in India's Consumer Electronics Market