



Understanding Retail Investor Behaviour and Hesitation: A Study of First-Time Mutual Fund Investors

Sumit Patil

How to Cite this Article:

Patil, S. (2026). Understanding Retail Investor Behaviour and Hesitation: A Study of First-Time Mutual Fund Investors. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, 2(9), 1-9.
<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i9.151>

License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i9.151>

Abstract

Despite the rapid growth of mutual fund penetration in India, a persistent gap remains between prospect interest and actual investment conversion, particularly among first-time retail investors. This paper examines the behavioural patterns, demographic profile and stated reasons for hesitation among first-time mutual fund prospects, using primary field data gathered over a thirteen-week, field-based study conducted at the Pune branch of NJ India Invest Pvt. Ltd. (NJ Wealth), one of India's largest mutual fund distribution networks. Data were collected through approximately 4,700 structured cold-calling interactions and 95 in-person client meetings, and analysed using descriptive statistics interpreted through the lens of financial intermediation theory and behavioural finance. Findings show a strong preference for Systematic Investment Plans (58%) over lump-sum investing (27%) among interested prospects, a concentration of genuine engagement among working-age individuals aged 31–50 (60% combined), and hesitation driven primarily by a stated need for further research (31%), risk discomfort (24%) and limited surplus funds (21%) rather than outright disinterest (9%). These patterns are consistent with established behavioural-finance constructs — present bias and commitment-device preference, loss aversion, and status-quo bias — and suggest that most 'lost' prospects in a distribution funnel are not permanently disengaged but simply not yet ready to commit. The paper concludes with practical, reason-specific recommendations for

mutual fund distributors seeking to convert a larger share of hesitant, information-seeking prospects, and identifies avenues for future multi-branch and longitudinal research.

Keywords: *retail investor behaviour; mutual funds; Systematic Investment Plan (SIP); investment hesitation; behavioural finance; financial intermediation; mutual fund distribution*

1. Introduction

India's mutual fund industry has grown rapidly over the past decade, driven substantially by rising retail participation through Systematic Investment Plans (SIPs), regulatory reforms by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), and an expanding distribution network of Asset Management Companies (AMCs) and Mutual Fund Distributors (MFDs). Yet, despite this growth, mutual funds remain under-owned relative to household financial savings, and distributors report that a large share of prospects who express initial interest do not convert into investors within a single interaction. Understanding why first-time investors hesitate — and what distinguishes those who eventually invest from those who do not — is therefore of direct practical relevance to distributors, policymakers and researchers concerned with deepening retail participation in capital markets.

This paper reports findings from a field-based study of prospect and client interactions conducted at the Pune branch of NJ India Invest Pvt. Ltd. (NJ Wealth), one of India's largest mutual fund distribution networks. Rather than relying on a hypothetical survey instrument, the data reported here were generated through live, structured cold-calling and



field-visit activity carried out over a thirteen-week period, providing a practitioner-level, real-world account of investor behaviour as it unfolds in an actual distribution setting.

2. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

2.1 Financial Intermediation Theory

Classical financial intermediation theory holds that intermediaries exist because they reduce information asymmetry, search costs and transaction costs between savers and users of capital (Diamond, 1984). Applied to mutual fund distribution, a distributor reduces the effective search and information burden on a retail investor who lacks the time or expertise to independently evaluate the hundreds of schemes available in the Indian market, bundling information provision, product-matching and after-sales service into a single relationship.

2.2 Behavioural Finance Perspectives

Traditional finance theory assumes rational, utility-maximising investors; behavioural finance instead documents systematic biases that shape real investor decisions (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). Loss aversion and the disposition effect help explain reluctance to invest in equity-linked instruments after prior exposure to market downturns. Status-quo bias and inertia help explain persistent preference for familiar instruments such as fixed deposits and gold over comparatively unfamiliar mutual fund products. Mental accounting (Thaler, 1999) helps explain why investors treat 'surplus' income as available for systematic investment while treating other income as untouchable. Finally, research on automatic and recurring savings mechanisms consistently finds higher participation and persistence for small, regular contributions than for large, one-time decisions (Thaler & Benartzi, 2004), offering a plausible theoretical basis for a strong observed preference for SIP over lump-sum investing among first-time investors.

2.3 Personal Selling, Relationship Marketing and Trust

The sales process through which a distributor converts a prospect into a client is well described by the classical steps of personal selling — prospecting, approach, presentation, objection handling, closing and follow-up. Layered on top of this transactional framework, relationship-marketing theory argues that in trust-intensive service categories such as financial advisory, long-term client value depends more on relationship quality and perceived trustworthiness than on the initial transaction (Barber & Odean, 2001; Diamond, 1984). Literature on trust in financial intermediary relationships further emphasises that trust is built incrementally, through repeated positive interactions, rather than through a single persuasive conversation — a pattern directly relevant to the delayed conversion timelines analysed in this paper.

2.4 Financial Literacy and Investor Participation

A separate but related stream of research on financial literacy consistently finds a positive relationship between an investor's confidence and understanding of a product category and their willingness to invest in it. This literature motivates the present paper's specific focus on the stated reasons prospects give for not converting immediately, since these reasons — rather than binary interest/disinterest — carry the most actionable information for a distributor or policymaker seeking to expand retail participation.

3. Research Objectives

- To identify the preferred mode of investment (systematic vs. lump-sum) among first-time prospects who express genuine interest in mutual funds.
- To determine the demographic (age-group) profile of prospects most likely to engage meaningfully with a mutual fund distribution outreach.



- To identify and rank the primary reasons cited by non-converting prospects for not investing immediately.
- To interpret these behavioural patterns through the lens of financial intermediation and behavioural-finance theory, and to derive practical, evidence-based recommendations for improving prospect-to-client conversion.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopts a descriptive, field-based research design. Rather than administering a hypothetical survey instrument, data were generated through live, structured prospect interactions conducted as part of routine mutual fund distribution activity, providing an ecologically valid account of investor behaviour as it actually unfolds during a sales interaction.

4.2 Study Setting and Period

Data were collected at the Pune branch of NJ India Invest Pvt. Ltd. (NJ Wealth) over a thirteen-week period. Week 1 consisted of induction and product training, during which no live prospect interaction took place; Weeks 2–13 constituted the active data-collection period.

4.3 Sample and Data Collection

The dataset comprises approximately 4,700 structured cold-calling interactions, of which 640 generated a positive/interested response, 210 were qualified as genuine leads, and 95 progressed to an in-person field meeting. Demographic profile, preferred investment mode and stated reasons for non-conversion were recorded for interested prospects and field-meeting participants respectively, using a standardised daily learning-diary format. All figures are approximate, reflecting field-collected operational data rather than an automated system audit.

4.4 Analytical Approach

Data are summarised using descriptive statistics (frequencies and percentage shares) and presented in tabular and graphical form. Findings are interpreted qualitatively against the theoretical constructs introduced in Section 2, rather than tested using inferential statistics, given the sample's field-generated, non-random nature (see Section 8, Limitations).

5. Data Analysis and Findings

5.1 Preferred Mode of Investment Among Interested Prospects

Among prospects who progressed to a field meeting and expressed genuine interest ($n \approx 95$), a clear majority preferred to begin with a Systematic Investment Plan rather than a lump-sum investment, even where sufficient surplus funds were available for a larger upfront commitment.

Mode of Investment	Share of Interested Prospects
Systematic Investment Plan (SIP)	58%
Lump-sum	27%
Both SIP and Lump-sum	15%

Table 1. Preferred mode of investment among interested prospects ($n \approx 95$ field meetings).

This pattern is consistent with the behavioural-finance reasoning introduced in Section 2.2: SIP represents a smaller,



recurring, more psychologically comfortable commitment than a single large decision, and benefits from the intuitively persuasive rupee-cost-averaging argument.

5.2 Age-Group Profile of Prospects Contacted

The distribution of prospects contacted across age groups shows a clear concentration among working-age individuals.

Age Group (years)	Share of Prospects Contacted
21–30	22%
31–40	34%
41–50	26%
51–60	13%
60+	5%

Table 2. Age-group profile of prospects contacted.

The 31–40 age group formed the single largest segment (34%), followed by 41–50 (26%); together, the 31–50 bracket accounted for 60% of all prospects contacted. This broadly matches the profile of individuals in a stable earning phase with identifiable financial goals and available surplus income, and suggests that outreach targeted at working professionals in this age bracket is likely to be comparatively more productive than outreach skewed toward very young or retired prospects.

5.3 Reasons Cited by Non-Converting Prospects

For prospects who did not convert into investors within the study period, the primary stated reason for hesitation was recorded and categorised as follows.

Reason Cited	Share of Non-Converted Prospects
Wants to research/think further before deciding	31%
Perceives own risk appetite as low for market-linked products	24%
Limited surplus funds available at present	21%
Prefers traditional instruments (fixed deposits / gold)	15%
Not interested in investing at this time	9%

Table 3. Primary reasons cited by non-converted prospects for not investing immediately.

Notably, only 9% of non-converting prospects were flatly 'not interested'; the large majority cited a need for more time or information (31%), risk discomfort (24%), or a genuine funds constraint (21%) rather than outright rejection of the mutual fund proposition itself.

5.4 Conversion Context: The Broader Funnel

These behavioural patterns should be read against the broader lead-to-conversion funnel observed during the same period: of approximately 4,700 cold calls made, 640 (13.6%) elicited a positive response, 210 (32.8% of positive responses) were qualified as genuine leads, 95 (45.2% of qualified leads) proceeded to a field meeting, and 3 (approximately 3.2% of field meetings) converted into an opened mutual fund account within the study window.



Conversions occurred in a delayed, step-wise pattern rather than immediately following a field meeting — the first conversion occurred in Week 4, with further conversions in Weeks 6 and 10 — consistent with the incremental, trust-building conversion process discussed in Section

2.3. A supplementary comparison of lead sources found a referral-sourced conversion rate of approximately 14.3% (1 of 7 field meetings) against a cold-call-sourced conversion rate of approximately 2.3% (2 of 88 field meetings); while the referral sample is too small to be statistically conclusive, the direction is consistent with the trust-based intermediation reasoning in Section 2.1.

6. Discussion

The findings offer field-level support for several established behavioural-finance constructs. The strong preference for SIP over lump-sum investing (58% vs. 27%, Table 1) is consistent with present-bias and commitment-device reasoning: a small, recurring, automated commitment is psychologically easier to initiate than a single large decision, even when the investor has the financial capacity for the latter. The 24% of non-converting prospects who cited low risk appetite for market-linked products (Table 3) is consistent with loss-aversion and disposition-effect reasoning, while the 15% who preferred traditional instruments such as fixed deposits or gold reflects a status-quo bias toward familiar asset classes.

Perhaps the most practically significant finding is that only 9% of non-converting prospects were flatly disinterested (Table 3); the remaining 91% cited a need for more information, risk discomfort, or a temporary funds constraint. Read alongside the financial-literacy literature discussed in Section 2.4, this suggests that a substantial share of 'lost' prospects in a typical distribution funnel are not permanently unreachable but are simply not yet ready to commit, and represent a legitimate target for structured, patient, reason-specific follow-up rather than a one-time pitch. The concentration of genuine engagement among 31–50-year-old prospects (Table 2) further suggests that distribution outreach may achieve a higher return on effort when demographically targeted toward this working-age segment, without excluding other segments outright.

The gap between referral-sourced and cold-call-sourced conversion rates (Section 5.4), though based on a small sample, is consistent with relationship-marketing theory's emphasis on pre-existing trust as a conversion accelerant, and suggests that distributors may benefit from more systematically soliciting referrals from satisfied clients rather than relying on cold outreach alone.

7. Recommendations

- Formalise a structured, staged follow-up cadence for leads who cite 'need more time/information' or 'limited surplus funds' (together 52% of non-converted prospects), rather than treating a single deferred response as a dead lead.
- Introduce a short, scenario-based objection-handling reference for new distributor-partners, built around the most commonly observed objections: risk discomfort, preference for traditional instruments, and past negative experience.
- Prioritise outreach toward the 31–50 age bracket, which shows both the highest share of prospects contacted and the strongest genuine engagement, while continuing to serve younger and older segments.
- Continue to position SIP as the default recommended entry point for first-time investors, given its clear preference (58%) among interested prospects, while ensuring lump-sum options remain available for prospects with larger, immediately deployable surplus funds.
- Encourage systematic solicitation of referrals from satisfied clients, given the comparatively higher observed conversion rate for referral-sourced leads.



8. Limitations of the Study

- Single branch, single city: all data were collected at one branch over a single thirteen-week period, and may not generalise to other branches, cities or seasons.
- Small, non-random sample: the dataset reflects field activity at a single distribution point rather than a statistically designed, randomly sampled survey, and should be read as an illustrative, practitioner-level account rather than a generalisable market study.
- Self-recorded, diary-based data: figures were self-logged on a daily/weekly basis rather than extracted from an automated system audit, and are subject to the normal limitations of self-reported operational data.
- Limited time horizon: several leads generated in the later weeks of the study period remained active in the pipeline at the end of the study and had not yet had sufficient time to convert; reported conversion figures should be read as a lower bound.
- No control for macro-economic conditions: prospect sentiment toward market-linked instruments was also shaped by prevailing stock-market conditions outside the scope of this study.

9. Conclusion

This paper has examined the behavioural patterns underlying investment hesitation among first-time mutual fund prospects, using primary field data from a live distribution setting. The findings show a clear preference for systematic, recurring investment over lump-sum commitment, a concentration of genuine engagement among working-age prospects, and — most importantly — a hesitation profile dominated by a need for further information and risk discomfort rather than outright disinterest. These patterns are broadly consistent with established behavioural-finance theory and suggest that structured, reason-specific, patient follow-up — rather than a single persuasive pitch — is the more realistic and effective lever for improving prospect-to-client conversion in mutual fund distribution. Future research extending this single-branch dataset across multiple branches, cities and a longer time horizon would help establish whether these patterns hold more broadly across India's retail mutual fund distribution ecosystem.

References

- Barber, B. M., & Odean, T. (2001). Boys will be boys: Gender, overconfidence, and common stock investment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116(1), 261–292.
- Diamond, D. W. (1984). Financial intermediation and delegated monitoring. *Review of Economic Studies*, 51(3), 393–414.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263–291.
- Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). Regulatory framework for mutual funds and distributors. Retrieved from official SEBI publications.
- Thaler, R. H. (1999). Mental accounting matters. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 12(3), 183–206.
- Thaler, R. H., & Benartzi, S. (2004). Save More Tomorrow: Using behavioral economics to increase employee saving. *Journal of Political Economy*, 112(S1), S164–S187.
- Association of Mutual Funds in India (AMFI). Industry data on SIP contributions and retail participation trends. Retrieved from official AMFI publications.