



Design and Performance Analysis of Hydraulic Brake System

ANKUSH KUMAR, RAVI KUMAR, RTITIK RANJAN, MD MAHTAB ALI
MOHAN MAHATO, ANISH KUMAR

Department of Mechanical Engineering

K.K Polytechnic, Govindpur, Dhanbad, India

How to Cite this Article:

KUMAR, A., KUMAR, R., RANJAN, R., ALI, M. M., MAHATO, M. & KUMAR, A. (2026). Design and Performance Analysis of Hydraulic Brake System. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, 2(9), 1-9.
<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i9.109>

License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i9.109>

Abstract - The hydraulic brake system remains the primary means of controlled deceleration in road vehicles, converting a driver's pedal effort into a large clamping force at each wheel through the transmission of fluid pressure. This paper presents a structured design and performance analysis of the hydraulic brake system, intended for diploma and undergraduate mechanical engineering students. The paper begins with the fundamental principles of braking and Pascal's Law, which governs how force is transmitted through a confined hydraulic fluid, and proceeds to describe the construction, working principle, and major components of a typical automotive hydraulic brake circuit, including the master cylinder, wheel cylinder or caliper, brake pedal, brake fluid, and the disc and drum brake arrangements. Key design equations relating hydraulic pressure, clamping force, braking torque, vehicle deceleration, stopping distance, and braking efficiency are derived and explained with clearly defined symbols and realistic engineering assumptions. The paper further examines performance indicators, thermal and hydraulic considerations such as brake fade and pressure losses, and the trade-offs involved in optimizing master-cylinder diameter, caliper size, pedal ratio, and front-rear brake force distribution. Comparative analysis of disc versus drum brakes and conventional hydraulic braking versus anti-lock braking system (ABS) assisted braking is presented, along with a discussion of applications, safety considerations, and recent developments such as electro-hydraulic and brake-by-wire systems. The study concludes that reliable braking performance depends on the coordinated design of hydraulic, frictional, and mechanical parameters rather than on any single component in isolation.

Key Words: *hydraulic brake system, Pascal's Law, master cylinder, braking torque, brake fade, anti-lock braking system.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Every moving vehicle must eventually be brought to a controlled stop, and the system responsible for doing this safely, repeatedly, and predictably is the brake system. Among the various braking technologies developed over the past century, the hydraulic brake system remains the dominant choice for passenger cars, light commercial vehicles, and two-wheelers because it combines a simple working principle with a fast, reliable, and mechanically efficient way of transmitting a driver's pedal effort to every wheel of the vehicle. Unlike a purely mechanical linkage brake, which relies on rods and cables that stretch, bend, and wear unevenly, a hydraulic system uses a confined fluid to transmit force with almost no loss and without requiring the force to travel in a straight line, making it far better suited to the layout constraints of a modern vehicle.

At the centre of every hydraulic brake system lies a single physical principle, first stated formally by the French scientist Blaise Pascal: pressure applied to a confined, incompressible fluid is transmitted equally in all directions throughout the fluid. This principle, commonly known as Pascal's Law, is what allows a modest force applied by the driver's foot on a small-diameter brake pedal to be converted into a much larger clamping force at each wheel, simply by making the receiving piston area larger than the piston area at the point where the force is applied. The elegance of this principle is part of why hydraulic braking has remained essentially unchanged in its fundamentals since it was first patented for automotive use in the 1920s, even as the surrounding technology, including anti-lock control, electronic brake-force distribution, and brake-by-wire systems, has advanced considerably.

Designing a hydraulic brake system, however, is not simply a matter of connecting a master cylinder to a set of wheel cylinders. The system must be sized so that a driver of ordinary strength can generate sufficient braking force without excessive pedal effort, the front and rear wheels must share the available braking force in a ratio that keeps the vehicle stable rather than allowing one axle



to lock before the other, and the components must dissipate the very large amount of heat generated when kinetic energy is converted to thermal energy during braking, without a dangerous loss of performance known as brake fade. Meeting all of these requirements simultaneously, at an acceptable component size, weight, and cost, is a genuine design optimization problem that draws on fluid mechanics, tribology, thermal engineering, and vehicle dynamics together.

This paper presents a structured design and performance analysis of the hydraulic brake system aimed at diploma and undergraduate mechanical engineering students. It explains the fundamental principles of braking and Pascal's Law, describes the construction and working of a typical hydraulic brake circuit and its major components, derives the key design equations relating hydraulic pressure, force, torque, and vehicle deceleration with clearly defined symbols and realistic assumptions, and examines the performance indicators, thermal and hydraulic considerations, and optimization trade-offs involved in hydraulic brake system design. The paper closes with a review of applications, safety considerations, and recent developments in the field.

2. OBJECTIVES

The specific objectives of this study are as follows:

- To study the basic principles of braking and the application of Pascal's Law in hydraulic brake systems.
- To describe the construction, working principle, and major components of a typical automotive hydraulic brake system.
- To derive and explain the key design equations relating hydraulic pressure, clamping force, braking torque, vehicle deceleration, and stopping distance, with clearly defined symbols and realistic assumptions.
- To examine the performance indicators used to assess hydraulic brake system performance, including braking efficiency, response time, and brake force distribution.
- To study the thermodynamic, hydraulic, and mechanical considerations that govern brake fade, pressure losses, and component reliability.
- To compare disc and drum brake arrangements, and conventional hydraulic braking with ABS-assisted braking.
- To discuss design optimization approaches and the trade-offs between braking performance, pedal effort, heat generation, cost, and safety.
- To identify the applications, challenges, and future direction of hydraulic brake technology.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

The theoretical foundation for the design and safety analysis of hydraulic brake systems is comprehensively documented by Limpert [1], whose text remains the standard reference for the analytical tools used to size brake components, predict stopping distance, and evaluate front-rear brake force distribution for passenger vehicles and trucks. Limpert's treatment shows that hydraulic brake design cannot be separated from vehicle dynamics, since the maximum deceleration a brake system can usefully produce is ultimately bounded by the available tyre-road friction rather than by the hydraulic system itself. The fundamentals of fluid pressure transmission that underpin hydraulic braking, including Pascal's Law and the behaviour of confined incompressible fluids, are established in classical fluid mechanics texts such as that of Bansal [2], which provide the theoretical basis used throughout this paper.

A significant body of research has focused on the thermal behaviour of brake components, since heat generation is one of the primary limits on repeated braking performance. Talati and Jalalifar [5] developed transient heat-conduction equations for the disc and pad that account for braking duration, vehicle velocity, and contact-pressure distribution, concluding that inadequate heat dissipation directly causes a reduction in the friction coefficient between the pad and disc, a phenomenon known as brake fade. Belhocine and Bouchetara [9] extended this thermal analysis using coupled thermomechanical simulation of ventilated discs, showing that disc geometry and ventilation design strongly influence peak operating temperature. Sau, Pulinat, Moss, and Senthur Prabu [8] compared the thermal and structural performance of several candidate disc materials, including grey cast iron, aluminium alloy, and stainless steel, finding that no single material is best on every criterion and that material selection instead involves a trade-off between heat dissipation rate, weight, and cost. On the friction-material side, Thiyagarajan, Kalaichelvan, Vijay, and Singaravelu [7] studied how the thermal conductivity and thermal stability of non-asbestos semi-metallic brake pad formulations affect fade and recovery behaviour under standardized dynamometer testing, demonstrating that pad composition is as important as disc design in determining thermal performance.

The hydraulic side of brake performance, distinct from the thermal side, has also received detailed study. Tretsiak, Kliuzovich, Augsburg, Sandler, and Ivanov [6] conducted an experimental investigation into the hysteresis pressure losses that occur in hydraulic brake components, showing that pedal stroke velocity, the running clearance between the pad and disc, and the overall configuration of the brake circuit all influence how much of the applied pedal pressure is actually delivered to the wheel cylinder, which is particularly significant for the rapid cyclic pressure changes required during anti-lock braking system (ABS) operation. Regulatory and standards bodies have separately established requirements for brake fluid performance: the United States Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 116 [4] and the corresponding SAE J1703 specification [3] define the minimum dry and wet boiling points, viscosity, and chemical stability that a brake fluid must meet, reflecting the practical reality that hydraulic performance depends as much on fluid properties as on mechanical component design.



A separate and extensive literature addresses the control of hydraulic pressure to prevent wheel lockup. Pretagostini, Ferranti, Berardo, Ivanov, and Shyrokau [10] reviewed wheel-slip control design strategies for ABS in depth, comparing rule-based, sliding-mode, and model-predictive approaches and concluding that newer control strategies can meaningfully shorten stopping distance while improving steerability compared with the heuristic threshold-based logic used in early ABS units. Shiza and Kumar Singh [11] similarly reviewed control strategies including fuzzy logic and adaptive control, noting that the practical challenge is less about finding a theoretically superior algorithm and more about achieving robust performance across the full range of road-surface and loading conditions a vehicle may encounter. Looking toward future architectures, Zhao, Xiong, Zhuo, Tian, Li, Shu, Zhao, and Xu [12] reviewed the emerging One-box electro-hydraulic braking system, which integrates the brake booster and pressure-modulation functions into a single unit to support the higher energy-recovery rates required by electric vehicles and the redundant braking capability required for higher levels of vehicle autonomy.

Taken together, this literature shows that hydraulic brake research has progressed along three largely separate tracks: thermal and material performance of the friction pair, hydraulic pressure transmission and losses within the fluid circuit, and electronic control of pressure for stability and anti-lock function. Comparatively few sources bring these three tracks together into a single, unified explanation suitable for a student encountering hydraulic braking for the first time, and fewer still connect the underlying design equations directly to the performance outcomes and optimization trade-offs an engineer must weigh in practice. This represents the research gap that the present paper addresses: rather than treating the hydraulic, thermal, and control aspects of brake system design as separate topics, this paper integrates them into a single, equation-supported treatment intended to give diploma and undergraduate mechanical engineering readers a practical, self-contained understanding of how a hydraulic brake system is designed, analysed, and optimized.

4. FUNDAMENTALS OF HYDRAULIC BRAKING SYSTEMS

Braking is fundamentally an exercise in controlled energy conversion. A moving vehicle possesses kinetic energy proportional to its mass and the square of its speed, and bringing the vehicle to rest requires this kinetic energy to be converted into another form, almost always heat, through friction. A brake system therefore has two essential jobs: it must generate a controllable friction force at each wheel, and it must be able to dissipate the resulting heat quickly enough that the friction surfaces do not lose their effectiveness. Everything else in brake system design, including the hydraulic circuit discussed in this paper, exists to serve these two basic requirements.

4.1 Basic Principles of Braking Force Generation

A brake generates a retarding force by pressing a stationary friction surface, the brake pad or brake shoe, against a rotating surface attached to the wheel, the brake disc or brake drum. The friction force produced depends on two factors: the normal force pressing the two surfaces together, and the coefficient of friction between the two materials in contact. The normal force is what the hydraulic system is responsible for generating and controlling; the coefficient of friction is a property of the pad or shoe material and the mating disc or drum material, and it depends on operating temperature, surface condition, and the presence of moisture or contamination. A well-designed hydraulic brake system must supply enough normal force, reliably and repeatably, so that the resulting friction force is sufficient to decelerate the vehicle at the rate the driver demands, without exceeding the force the tyre-road contact can actually support.

4.2 Pascal's Law and Hydraulic Force Transmission

Pascal's Law states that pressure applied at any point in a confined, incompressible fluid at rest is transmitted equally and undiminished to every other point in the fluid, and acts equally in all directions on the walls of the containing vessel [2]. Hydraulic pressure is defined as the force acting on a given area:

$$P = F / A \quad (1)$$

where P = hydraulic pressure (Pa or N/m²),
 F = force applied normal to the surface (N),
 A = area over which the force acts (m²).

In a hydraulic brake circuit, the driver applies a force to a small piston inside the master cylinder, creating a pressure in the brake fluid. Because this pressure is transmitted equally throughout the confined fluid, the same pressure acts on the much larger piston area inside the wheel cylinder or caliper at each wheel. Applying Equation (1) at both the master cylinder and the wheel cylinder, and equating the pressures, gives the fundamental force-transmission relationship of hydraulic braking:

$$F_2 = F_1 \times (A_2 / A_1) \quad (2)$$

where F_1 = force applied at the master cylinder piston (N),
 A_1 = cross-sectional area of the master cylinder piston (m²),
 A_2 = cross-sectional area of the wheel cylinder or caliper piston (m²),
 F_2 = resulting force generated at the wheel cylinder or caliper piston (N).

Equation (2) shows that the output force at the wheel can be made much larger than the input force simply by making the wheel cylinder or caliper piston area larger than the master cylinder piston area. This mechanical advantage is obtained without any moving mechanical linkage, gearing, or lever arrangement between the pedal and the wheel, which is the principal practical benefit of hydraulic braking over a cable or rod-based mechanical brake. It should be noted that this analysis assumes the brake fluid is



incompressible, that there is no air trapped in the circuit, and that friction losses within the fluid itself are negligible; the effect of departures from these assumptions is discussed in Section 9.

5. CONSTRUCTION AND WORKING PRINCIPLE OF HYDRAULIC BRAKE SYSTEM

A typical automotive hydraulic brake system consists of a brake pedal connected through a pushrod to a master cylinder, which is mounted on a vacuum or vacuum-assisted brake booster to reduce the pedal effort required from the driver. The master cylinder is connected by a network of steel brake lines and flexible rubber or reinforced hoses to a wheel cylinder at each rear wheel (in a drum brake arrangement) or a caliper at each front and, in many modern cars, each rear wheel (in a disc brake arrangement). Most passenger vehicles use a tandem, or dual-circuit, master cylinder, which contains two separate pistons and fluid reservoirs so that the front and rear brake circuits, or a diagonally split pair of circuits, remain hydraulically independent; this ensures that if one circuit develops a leak, the other circuit can still provide a reduced but functional level of braking, which is an important safety redundancy required by automotive safety regulations.

The working sequence of a hydraulic brake system, from the moment a driver presses the brake pedal to the moment the vehicle decelerates, can be summarized in the following steps. First, the driver's foot force on the brake pedal is amplified by the pedal lever ratio and, where fitted, the vacuum brake booster, and is applied to the pushrod entering the master cylinder. Second, this force moves the master cylinder piston forward, pressurizing the brake fluid ahead of it in accordance with Equation (1). Third, this pressure is transmitted through the brake lines and hoses to the wheel cylinders and calipers at each wheel, in accordance with Pascal's Law. Fourth, the pressurized fluid pushes the wheel cylinder pistons outward, in a drum brake, forcing the brake shoes against the inside of the rotating drum, or pushes the caliper piston, in a disc brake, forcing the brake pad against the rotating disc. Fifth, the resulting friction force between the pad or shoe and the disc or drum generates a braking torque that opposes the rotation of the wheel, decelerating the vehicle. Finally, when the driver releases the pedal, return springs in the drum brake, or the natural retraction of the caliper seal, allow the pistons to retract slightly, releasing the friction contact and allowing the wheel to rotate freely again.

This sequence repeats every time the brake pedal is pressed, and the entire fluid circuit remains sealed and filled with hydraulic brake fluid at all times so that pressure can be transmitted instantly, without the delay that would occur if air, which is compressible, were present in the system. For this reason, removing trapped air from the hydraulic circuit, a maintenance procedure known as brake bleeding, is essential to restoring a firm, responsive pedal feel after any service work that opens the hydraulic circuit.

6. MAJOR COMPONENTS AND THEIR FUNCTIONS

6.1 Master Cylinder

The master cylinder converts the driver's pedal force into hydraulic pressure. It contains one or two pistons (in a tandem design) that move within a bore when pushed by the pedal pushrod, displacing brake fluid from an attached reservoir into the brake lines. The diameter of the master cylinder bore is one of the most important design parameters in the entire brake system, since it directly sets the relationship between pedal effort and the pressure delivered to the wheels, as shown in Section 7.

6.2 Wheel Cylinder and Caliper

The wheel cylinder, used in drum brakes, and the caliper, used in disc brakes, are the components that convert hydraulic pressure back into a mechanical clamping force at the wheel. A wheel cylinder typically contains two opposing pistons that push the brake shoes outward against the drum. A caliper contains one or more pistons that press a brake pad against one or both sides of the disc; calipers are classified as fixed, where pistons act on both sides of the disc, or floating, where a single piston pushes one pad while the caliper body slides to bring the opposite pad into contact.

6.3 Brake Pedal and Pedal Ratio

The brake pedal is a first- or second-class lever that amplifies the driver's foot force before it reaches the master cylinder pushrod. The pedal ratio is the ratio of the distance from the pedal pivot to the point where the driver's foot applies force, divided by the distance from the pivot to the pushrod connection. A larger pedal ratio reduces the foot effort required for a given master-cylinder input force but increases the pedal travel needed to displace the same volume of fluid, illustrating a direct trade-off between pedal effort and pedal feel that is discussed further in Section 7.

6.4 Brake Fluid

Brake fluid is the working medium that transmits pressure through the hydraulic circuit. It must remain nearly incompressible across the full operating temperature range, resist boiling at the high temperatures generated near the caliper or wheel cylinder, and maintain stable viscosity at low temperature to ensure a fast response. Automotive brake fluids are standardized by classifications such as DOT 3, DOT 4, and DOT 5.1 under regulatory and industry specifications including FMVSS No. 116 [4] and SAE J1703 [3], which define minimum dry and wet boiling points and viscosity limits; because brake fluid is hygroscopic and gradually absorbs atmospheric moisture, its effective boiling point falls over time in service, which is why periodic fluid replacement is a required maintenance item, discussed further in Section 11.



6.5 Brake Lines, Hoses, Valves, and Fittings

Rigid steel brake lines carry fluid along the body of the vehicle, while flexible reinforced rubber hoses accommodate the movement of the suspension and steering at each wheel. Proportioning valves regulate the pressure delivered to the rear brakes relative to the front brakes to help maintain the front-rear brake force balance discussed in Section 7, while check valves, residual pressure valves, and, in ABS-equipped vehicles, solenoid valves within the ABS hydraulic control unit manage fluid flow during normal and anti-lock operation.

6.6 Disc and Drum Brake Arrangements

A disc brake consists of a flat, vented or solid disc (rotor) bolted to the wheel hub, gripped by a caliper containing one or more friction pads. Because the disc is exposed to open air on both faces, it dissipates heat quickly and resists fade better under repeated or heavy braking, which is why disc brakes are now standard at the front axle, and increasingly at the rear axle, of most passenger cars. A drum brake consists of a hollow, cylindrical drum bolted to the wheel hub, inside which two curved brake shoes are pressed outward against the internal drum surface by the wheel cylinder. Drum brakes enclose the friction surfaces, which protects them from dirt and water but also traps heat, making them more prone to fade under sustained heavy use; however, drum brakes are mechanically self-energizing to some degree, meaning the rotation of the drum helps pull the leading shoe into firmer contact, which makes them well suited to low-cost rear-axle applications and to integrating a simple mechanical parking brake.

Table 1: Comparison of Disc Brake and Drum Brake Arrangements

Characteristic	Disc Brake	Drum Brake
Heat dissipation	Good, open exposure to air on both faces	Poor, heat trapped inside enclosed drum
Fade resistance	High, maintains performance under repeated use	Lower, more prone to fade when hot
Self-energizing effect	Minimal	Present, reduces required clamping force
Water/dirt sensitivity	Low, self-cleaning by design	Higher, contaminants can be trapped inside
Typical application	Front axle, performance and modern rear axles	Rear axle of economy vehicles, parking brake
Relative cost	Generally higher	Generally lower

6.7 Brake Pads, Brake Shoes, Discs, and Drums

Brake pads and brake shoes are the replaceable friction elements that contact the disc or drum. They are manufactured from friction composite materials, historically containing asbestos fibres, now replaced in modern formulations by combinations of steel or aramid fibres, ceramic particles, and organic binders chosen to give a stable coefficient of friction across a wide temperature range while limiting wear of the mating disc or drum [7]. Discs and drums themselves are most commonly cast from grey cast iron because of its good thermal conductivity, damping, and wear resistance, although aluminium alloys and composite materials are increasingly used in performance and lightweight applications despite their higher cost, as discussed further in Section 7 [8].

7. DESIGN PARAMETERS AND DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

This section derives the principal design equations that connect the driver's pedal input to the braking torque delivered at each wheel, and discusses how the underlying design parameters can be selected and optimized. All equations use SI units unless otherwise stated, and all numerical illustrations use realistic, clearly stated assumptions rather than actual test data, since no physical testing was performed for this study.

7.1 Pedal Force and Pedal Ratio

The force delivered to the master cylinder pushrod is the driver's pedal force amplified by the pedal lever ratio:

$$F_i = F_p \times R \quad (3)$$

where F_p = force applied by the driver's foot on the brake pedal (N),

R = pedal ratio, defined as the ratio of the pedal-arm length to the pushrod-arm length (dimensionless),

F_i = resulting force delivered to the master cylinder pushrod (N).

Typical passenger-car pedal ratios range from about 3:1 to 5:1 for a non-boosted or lightly boosted system, allowing a moderate foot force of, for example, 300 to 500 N to be amplified to over 1500 N at the master cylinder pushrod before any vacuum booster assistance is added.

7.2 Master Cylinder Pressure and Hydraulic Force Transmission

Combining the pedal force from Equation (3) with the pressure relationship of Equation (1) gives the pressure generated in the master cylinder:



$$P = (F_p \times R) / A_{mc} \quad (4)$$

where A_{mc} = cross-sectional area of the master cylinder piston (m^2),

P = hydraulic pressure generated in the brake circuit (Pa).

This same pressure acts on the wheel cylinder or caliper piston area, so the output force at each wheel follows directly from Equation (2). A smaller master cylinder bore produces higher line pressure for a given pedal force, which increases the mechanical advantage but also increases the pedal travel required to displace the same fluid volume into the (typically larger) wheel cylinder or caliper bores; master-cylinder diameter is therefore chosen as a balance between pedal effort and pedal travel, and is one of the central optimization variables discussed in Section 7.7.

7.3 Clamping Force

The clamping force is the total normal force pressing the pad or shoe against the disc or drum, generated by the wheel cylinder or caliper piston(s):

$$F_c = P \times A_{wc} \times n \quad (5)$$

where A_{wc} = cross-sectional area of a single wheel cylinder or caliper piston (m^2),

n = number of hydraulically actuated pistons acting on that wheel (dimensionless),

F_c = total clamping force at the wheel (N).

7.4 Frictional Force and Braking Torque

The friction force generated between the pad or shoe and the disc or drum is proportional to the clamping force through the coefficient of friction of the friction-pair materials:

$$F_f = \mu \times F_c \quad (6)$$

where μ = coefficient of friction between the pad/shoe material and the disc/drum material (dimensionless, typically 0.35-0.45 for common semi-metallic pad and cast-iron disc combinations),

F_f = friction force generated at the disc or drum surface (N).

This friction force acts at the effective radius of the disc or drum, producing a braking torque that resists the rotation of the wheel:

$$T_b = F_f \times R_{eff} = \mu \times F_c \times R_{eff} \quad (7)$$

where R_{eff} = effective radius of the friction contact patch, approximately the mean radius between the inner and outer edges of the pad or shoe contact area (m),

T_b = braking torque produced at the wheel ($N \cdot m$).

The braking torque is converted to a braking force acting at the tyre-road contact patch by dividing by the rolling radius of the wheel and tyre:

$$F_b = T_b / R_w \quad (8)$$

where R_w = rolling radius of the wheel and tyre (m),

F_b = braking force delivered to the road surface at that wheel (N).

It is important to recognize that Equation (8) gives the braking force the hydraulic and friction system is capable of generating, but the force actually available to decelerate the vehicle is limited by how much longitudinal force the tyre-road contact can support before the tyre begins to slip. This maximum available force is approximately:

$$F_{b,max} = \mu_r \times W \quad (9)$$

where μ_r = coefficient of friction between the tyre and the road surface (dimensionless, typically about 0.7-0.9 for a dry road and considerably lower, around 0.2-0.4, for a wet or icy road),

W = normal load (weight) carried by that wheel (N),

$F_{b,max}$ = maximum usable braking force at that wheel before wheel lock (N).

Designing a brake system so that F_b from Equation (8) can exceed $F_{b,max}$ from Equation (9) under hard braking is intentional and necessary, since it ensures the brakes themselves are never the limiting factor; the anti-lock braking system described in Section 12 then prevents the wheel from actually locking by modulating hydraulic pressure once the tyre friction limit is approached.

7.5 Vehicle Deceleration and Stopping Distance

Applying Newton's second law to the whole vehicle, the deceleration produced by the combined braking force at all wheels is:

$$a = \Sigma F_b / m \quad (10)$$

where ΣF_b = sum of the braking forces generated at all wheels (N),

m = total mass of the vehicle (kg),

a = vehicle deceleration (m/s^2).



When braking is limited by tyre-road friction rather than by the hydraulic system, as is the design intent, the maximum theoretical deceleration simplifies to $a = \mu_r \times g$, where g is the acceleration due to gravity (9.81 m/s^2). Using the standard equation of motion for uniform deceleration from an initial speed to rest, the stopping distance is:

$$s = v^2 / (2a) \quad (11)$$

where v = initial vehicle speed at the moment braking begins (m/s),

a = achieved deceleration (m/s^2),

s = distance travelled while decelerating to a stop (m).

The corresponding stopping time, assuming uniform deceleration, is given by $t = v / a$, where t is the time in seconds from the start of braking to a complete stop. In practice, the total distance a vehicle travels before stopping also includes the distance covered during the driver's reaction time and the brief interval needed for the hydraulic system to build up pressure, both of which occur before the deceleration calculated in Equation (10) is actually reached; these delays are treated further in Section 8.

7.6 Brake Force Distribution Between Front and Rear Wheels

When a vehicle brakes, weight transfers from the rear axle to the front axle due to the height of the vehicle's centre of gravity above the road, increasing the normal load, and therefore the usable friction force from Equation (9), at the front wheels while reducing it at the rear. Under static conditions, the load carried by each axle depends only on the position of the centre of gravity, but under braking, a dynamic load transfer term is added to the front axle and subtracted from the rear axle, approximately in proportion to the deceleration and the height of the centre of gravity relative to the wheelbase [1]. Because a fixed mechanical brake system, without electronic assistance, applies the same pressure to both the front and rear circuits (modified only by a fixed-ratio proportioning valve), the ideal brake force distribution, which would use the tyre-road friction at both axles to the same fraction of its limit at every deceleration level, can only be approximated with a fixed hydraulic design. For this reason, production brake systems are deliberately biased to reach the rear wheel's friction limit slightly before the front, since a front-wheel lock-up leaves the driver with at least some steering control, whereas a rear-wheel lock-up can cause the vehicle to spin; this is the same stability requirement discussed by Limpert [1] and is a central reason why front brakes are almost always larger than rear brakes on the same vehicle.

7.7 Optimization Trade-offs in Hydraulic Brake Design

The equations above show that hydraulic brake performance depends on a set of interacting parameters, no one of which can be freely maximized without cost elsewhere in the system. Increasing the master cylinder or caliper piston area increases available clamping force but also increases the pedal travel needed for a given fluid displacement, unless pedal ratio is reduced, which then increases pedal effort. Increasing disc or drum diameter increases the effective radius R_{eff} in Equation (7), producing more torque for the same clamping force, but adds unsprung mass and often requires a larger wheel to clear the caliper. Selecting a higher-friction pad material increases torque for a given clamping force, but many high-friction formulations trade off wear rate, noise, or cold-brake performance. These trade-offs are summarized qualitatively in Table 2, and are typically resolved in industry practice through a mixture of parametric design calculation of the kind shown above, physical dynamometer testing of candidate friction materials, and increasingly, finite element analysis (FEA) of thermal and structural behaviour and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) analysis of disc cooling airflow, though such simulation and experimental work is outside the scope of this paper and is not claimed to have been performed here.

Table 2: Effect of Key Design Parameters on Hydraulic Brake Performance

Design Parameter	Effect of Increasing the Parameter	Associated Trade-off
Master cylinder diameter	Lower line pressure and clamping force for a given pedal force	Less pedal travel required; too large a bore weakens braking
Caliper/wheel-cylinder diameter	Higher clamping force for a given line pressure	More pedal travel required to fill larger volume
Disc/drum effective radius	Higher braking torque for a given clamping force	Increased unsprung mass; larger wheel size needed
Pedal ratio	Lower pedal effort for a given master-cylinder force	Increased pedal travel; can feel less direct
Pad/shoe friction coefficient	Higher torque for a given clamping force	May increase wear, noise, or cold-performance variability
Front brake force bias	Improved stability, reduced rear lock-up risk	Front components run hotter and wear faster

A brief, illustrative numerical example shows how these equations connect in practice. Consider a passenger car of mass 1200 kg braking from an initial speed of 20 m/s (72 km/h) on a dry road with an assumed tyre-road friction coefficient of 0.8. The theoretical maximum deceleration is $a = \mu_r g = 0.8 \times 9.81 \approx 7.85 \text{ m/s}^2$, giving, from Equation (11), a stopping distance of $s = v^2 / (2a) = (20)^2 / (2 \times 7.85) \approx 25.5 \text{ m}$, and a stopping time of $t = v / a \approx 2.5 \text{ s}$. If the driver applies a pedal force of 400 N through a pedal ratio of 4:1 and a vacuum booster assumed to provide a further 3:1 force amplification, the resulting force at the master cylinder pushrod is approximately 4800 N; for an assumed master cylinder bore diameter of 22 mm (area $\approx 3.80 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2$), the resulting line pressure



from Equation (4) is approximately 12.6 MPa. These figures are presented purely as an illustration of how the equations in this section relate to one another, using stated, realistic assumptions, and should not be interpreted as measured or manufacturer-specified values for any particular vehicle.

8. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Once a hydraulic brake system has been designed using the relationships in Section 7, its performance must be assessed against clear, measurable indicators. This section defines the principal performance indicators used for hydraulic brake systems and discusses how key operating and design parameters influence each one.

8.1 Braking Efficiency

Braking efficiency compares the deceleration a system actually achieves with the theoretical maximum deceleration permitted by the available tyre-road friction:

$$\eta_b = (a_{\text{actual}} / (\mu_r \times g)) \times 100\% \quad (12)$$

where a_{actual} = deceleration actually measured or achieved (m/s^2),

$\mu_r \times g$ = theoretical maximum deceleration available from tyre-road friction (m/s^2),

η_b = braking efficiency, expressed as a percentage.

A braking efficiency approaching 100 percent indicates that the hydraulic and friction system is making full use of the available tyre-road friction without locking a wheel prematurely; well-tuned brake force distribution and, where fitted, an effective anti-lock braking system both raise braking efficiency toward this limit across a wider range of road and load conditions.

8.2 Response Time

Response time is the interval between the driver beginning to press the pedal and the brake system developing sufficient pressure to produce meaningful deceleration. This interval includes the mechanical travel of the pedal and pushrod, the time needed to take up any clearance between the pad or shoe and the disc or drum, and the finite time required for pressure to propagate along the brake lines, which is influenced by fluid compressibility, the presence of any trapped air, and the flexibility of rubber brake hoses. A shorter response time reduces the total distance travelled before the vehicle begins to decelerate, and is particularly significant for the rapid pressure modulation cycles used by an anti-lock braking system, as noted in the hysteresis study reviewed in Section 3 [6].

8.3 Heat Generated During Braking

During a braking event, the kinetic energy lost by the vehicle is converted almost entirely into heat at the friction interface between the pad or shoe and the disc or drum. For a full stop from an initial speed to rest, this heat quantity is:

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \times m \times v^2 \quad (13)$$

where m = vehicle mass (kg),

v = initial vehicle speed at the start of braking (m/s),

Q = total heat energy generated during the stop (J).

The rate at which this heat is generated, which is more significant for brake fade than the total quantity alone, is obtained by dividing by the stopping time from Section 7.5: $q = Q / t$, where q is the average rate of heat generation in watts. A short, hard stop from high speed generates the same total heat as a longer, gentler stop from the same speed, but concentrates that heat into a much shorter time, producing a much higher instantaneous temperature at the friction interface, which is why repeated hard braking, or prolonged braking on a long downhill gradient, is far more likely to induce fade than the same total energy dissipated gradually.

8.4 Brake Force Distribution as a Performance Indicator

The actual front-rear brake force distribution achieved by a given hydraulic design, expressed as the percentage of total braking force carried by the front axle, is itself tracked as a performance indicator, since it must remain reasonably close to the ideal distribution described in Section 7.6 across the full range of deceleration levels the vehicle is likely to experience, and must also adapt reasonably well to changes in vehicle loading, such as a fully laden compared with an unladen condition.

Table 3: Key Performance Indicators for Hydraulic Brake System Evaluation

Indicator	Definition / Significance	Governing Equation
Braking efficiency	Achieved deceleration relative to tyre-friction limit	Equation (12)
Stopping distance	Distance travelled from brake application to full stop	Equation (11)
Response time	Delay between pedal input and effective deceleration	Qualitative; Section 8.2
Heat generation rate	Rate of thermal energy generation at the friction interface	Equation (13), divided by stopping time
Brake force distribution	Share of total braking force carried by front axle	Section 7.6
Pedal effort	Foot force required for a target deceleration	Equations (3) and (4)



As with the performance indicators discussed for other engineering systems, no single indicator in Table 3 gives a complete picture on its own. A system that achieves a short stopping distance in a single hard stop but suffers rapid fade on repeated stops, or that achieves high braking efficiency only on dry roads while performing poorly in wet conditions, would not be considered a well-balanced design. For this reason, brake system evaluation in practice, whether through calculation, bench testing, or full-vehicle testing, generally assesses several of these indicators together across a range of speeds, loads, and road-surface conditions.

9. THERMODYNAMIC, HYDRAULIC, AND MECHANICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Beyond the force and torque relationships derived in Section 7, a hydraulic brake system must be evaluated against three further sets of considerations: how well it manages the heat generated during braking, how efficiently it transmits hydraulic pressure without loss, and how reliably its mechanical components perform over the vehicle's service life.

9.1 Brake Fade and Thermal Performance

Brake fade is the temporary loss of braking effectiveness that occurs when the friction interface becomes too hot, causing a reduction in the coefficient of friction μ used in Equations (6) and (7); because torque is directly proportional to μ , a fade-induced drop in friction coefficient produces a proportional drop in braking torque for the same clamping force and pedal effort, which a driver experiences as a spongy or ineffective pedal even though hydraulic pressure is being generated normally. Talati and Jalalifar [5] showed analytically that the heat generated at the friction interface, which follows directly from Equation (13), must be conducted away through the disc or drum and ultimately dissipated to the surrounding air quickly enough to prevent this temperature-driven loss of friction; when heat generation outpaces heat dissipation, as commonly happens during repeated hard braking or prolonged downhill braking, disc or drum temperature rises until fade occurs. Thermal design measures to resist fade include increasing the disc or drum mass and surface area to increase thermal capacity, adding ventilation passages or cooling vanes to a disc to promote airflow, as studied by Belhocine and Bouchetara [9], and selecting friction materials that maintain a more stable coefficient of friction at elevated temperature, as demonstrated for several non-asbestos pad formulations by Thiagarajan and colleagues [7]. Brake fluid boiling is a related thermal failure mode: if heat conducts from the caliper or wheel cylinder into the brake fluid faster than it can be dissipated, and the fluid temperature exceeds its wet boiling point, vapour bubbles form within the hydraulic circuit; because these bubbles are compressible, unlike the liquid fluid assumed in Equation (1), pedal travel increases sharply and hydraulic pressure transmission becomes unreliable, which is why brake fluid boiling-point specifications under FMVSS No. 116 [4] and SAE J1703 [3] are treated as safety-critical requirements rather than simply a quality preference.

9.2 Hydraulic Losses and Pressure Losses in Brake Lines

The idealized pressure-transmission relationship of Equation (1) assumes a perfectly rigid, frictionless hydraulic circuit; in a real system, some pressure is lost to friction as fluid flows through the brake lines and hoses. This frictional pressure loss can be estimated using the Darcy-Weisbach relationship commonly applied to internal pipe flow:

$$\Delta P = f \times (L/D) \times (\rho v^2/2) \quad (14)$$

where f = Darcy friction factor for the flow condition in the line (dimensionless),

L = length of the brake line or hose (m),

D = internal diameter of the brake line or hose (m),

ρ = density of the brake fluid (kg/m^3 , typically around 1000-1060 kg/m^3 for common glycol-based fluids),

v = mean velocity of the fluid within the line during a pressure application (m/s),

ΔP = pressure loss due to friction along the length of line considered (Pa).

Because brake fluid flow during a typical pedal application is of very short duration and moves only a small volume of fluid, the velocities involved are usually modest, and the resulting pressure loss along rigid steel lines is normally small compared with the line pressures calculated in Section 7; however, pressure loss becomes considerably more significant during the rapid, repeated pressure cycling performed by an anti-lock braking system, and through flexible rubber hoses that can expand slightly under pressure, absorbing some fluid volume without transmitting it fully as pressure. This fluid volume absorbed by hose expansion, together with any small quantity of undissolved air remaining in the circuit, contributes to the hysteresis pressure losses measured experimentally by Tretsiak and colleagues [6], who found that pedal stroke velocity and the running clearance between the pad and disc both significantly influence the extent of these losses. Minimizing hysteresis and pressure loss is achieved through using low-expansion reinforced hoses, minimizing residual air through proper brake bleeding, and, in performance applications, replacing rubber hoses with braided stainless-steel-covered hoses that expand less under pressure.

9.3 Mechanical Reliability and Wear

The mechanical components of a hydraulic brake system are subject to two distinct forms of degradation over their service life: wear of the sliding friction surfaces, namely the pad or shoe material against the disc or drum, and fatigue or corrosion of the hydraulic components, including piston seals, brake lines, and caliper or wheel-cylinder bores. Friction-material wear is a direct consequence of the sliding contact required to generate braking torque and is influenced by the same friction coefficient and clamping force that determine braking performance in Equation (6), meaning that a higher-friction pad material chosen to reduce required clamping force often also wears at a different rate than a lower-friction alternative. Hydraulic component degradation is influenced more strongly by brake fluid condition, since moisture absorbed by hygroscopic brake fluid promotes internal corrosion



of steel lines and cylinder bores, and by seal material compatibility with the specific brake fluid used, which is why using a fluid type other than that specified by the vehicle manufacturer is generally discouraged.

10. APPLICATIONS IN AUTOMOTIVE AND MECHANICAL SYSTEMS

Hydraulic braking is applied across a wide range of automotive and mechanical systems beyond the standard passenger car. In two-wheelers and light motorcycles, single-piston floating calipers acting on relatively small-diameter discs are used to keep unsprung mass and system weight low, while providing adequate stopping performance for the lower vehicle mass and speed involved. In passenger cars and light commercial vehicles, dual-circuit disc-front, disc-or-drum-rear arrangements, as described in Section 6, remain the standard configuration, typically paired with an anti-lock braking system and, on more recent vehicles, an integrated electro-hydraulic brake-force distribution and stability control system. In heavier commercial trucks and buses, air-over-hydraulic or full air-brake systems are often used instead of purely hydraulic systems because the pedal effort required for a hydraulically actuated brake of the size needed for a heavy vehicle would be impractical for a human driver, although the same fundamental fluid-pressure principles from Section 4 apply to the hydraulic actuation stages within these systems. Beyond road vehicles, hydraulic braking principles are also applied in industrial and mechanical equipment, including hydraulic elevator brakes, certain aircraft landing-gear wheel brakes, and hydraulically actuated clamping and stopping mechanisms in manufacturing machinery, wherever a compact, high-force, and rapidly responsive braking or clamping action is required from a modest input force.

11. CHALLENGES, LIMITATIONS, AND SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

Although the hydraulic brake system is a mature and well-proven technology, several practical challenges and limitations continue to influence its design, use, and maintenance.

- Brake fade under sustained or repeated use: As discussed in Section 9.1, heavy or prolonged braking, particularly on long downhill gradients, can raise friction-surface temperature faster than it can be dissipated, temporarily reducing braking torque for a given pedal effort.
- Brake fluid degradation: Because brake fluid is hygroscopic, its boiling point falls as it absorbs atmospheric moisture over time, gradually reducing the safety margin against fluid boiling described in Section 9.1; this is the reason vehicle manufacturers specify a periodic fluid replacement interval regardless of the fluid's visual condition.
- Air and moisture in the hydraulic circuit: Any air introduced into the circuit during servicing compresses under pressure, in contrast to the incompressible fluid assumed in Equation (1), producing a soft or spongy pedal and reduced braking response until the system is correctly bled.
- Uneven or asymmetric braking: Wear differences, contamination, or a sticking caliper or wheel-cylinder piston on one side of the vehicle can cause unequal braking force left to right, pulling the vehicle to one side under braking and reducing directional stability.
- Corrosion of hydraulic and mechanical components: Moisture-contaminated brake fluid and exposure to road salt and water can corrode brake lines, cylinder bores, and caliper slide pins over the vehicle's service life, which is why routine inspection is required by vehicle safety regulations in most jurisdictions.
- Dependence on tyre-road friction: As shown by Equation (9), no improvement to the hydraulic or friction components of the brake system can increase the maximum usable braking force beyond the limit set by the available tyre-road friction, meaning that worn tyres or a wet, icy, or otherwise low-friction road surface remain a fundamental limitation regardless of brake system quality.

From a safety perspective, the dual-circuit master cylinder design described in Section 5, together with mandated brake fluid performance standards [3][4] and periodic vehicle inspection requirements, are the principal measures used to manage these challenges and to ensure that a single point of failure, such as one leaking circuit, does not result in complete loss of braking ability.

12. RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AND FUTURE SCOPE

The core hydraulic principles described in this paper have remained fundamentally unchanged since the early twentieth century, but the technology surrounding the hydraulic circuit has advanced considerably, and continues to evolve in response to new vehicle requirements.

12.1 Anti-Lock Braking System (ABS)

The anti-lock braking system (ABS) builds directly on the hydraulic circuit described in this paper by adding wheel-speed sensors, an electronic control unit, and a hydraulic control unit containing solenoid valves and a return pump at each wheel circuit. When the ABS control unit detects that a wheel is about to lock, meaning the braking force at that wheel is approaching the tyre-friction limit given by Equation (9), it rapidly cycles the solenoid valves to hold or briefly release hydraulic pressure at that wheel, preventing lock-up while allowing the driver to maintain steering control, and then reapplies pressure once the wheel speed recovers. Pretagostini and colleagues [10] reviewed the progression of the control strategies used to manage this cycle, from early threshold-based logic to more advanced sliding-mode and model-predictive approaches, concluding that improved control algorithms can meaningfully raise the braking efficiency defined in Equation (12) across a wider range of road conditions. ABS does not increase



the maximum braking force a system can generate; rather, it allows the system to operate closer to the maximum usable force given by Equation (9) without the driver losing steering control, which is why braking efficiency, rather than raw stopping-force capability, is the more meaningful way to describe its benefit.

Table 4: Conventional Hydraulic Braking Compared with ABS-Assisted Braking

Characteristic	Conventional Hydraulic Braking	ABS-Assisted Braking
Wheel lock-up on hard braking	Likely on slippery or uneven surfaces	Actively prevented by pressure modulation
Steering control during hard braking	Lost if wheels lock	Retained, since wheels keep rotating
Stopping distance on dry, uniform road	Can be similar or shorter with a skilled driver	Consistently close to the friction limit
Stopping distance on wet/mixed-friction road	Often longer due to lock-up risk	Generally shorter and more consistent
System complexity and cost	Lower	Higher, requires sensors and control unit
Dependence on hydraulic fundamentals	Full	Full, ABS modulates the same hydraulic circuit

12.2 Electro-Hydraulic and Brake-by-Wire Systems

More recent development has focused on electro-hydraulic braking (EHB) and brake-by-wire systems, which replace or supplement the direct mechanical link between the brake pedal and the master cylinder with an electronically controlled actuator. Zhao and colleagues [12] reviewed the emerging One-box EHB architecture, in which the brake booster and the hydraulic pressure-modulation functions traditionally performed by a separate ABS/ESC unit are integrated into a single module; this arrangement is particularly relevant to electric vehicles, since it can decouple the brake pedal from the wheel-cylinder pressure entirely, allowing the vehicle's regenerative braking system to recover a larger share of the vehicle's kinetic energy as electrical energy before any hydraulic friction braking is applied, while still using conventional hydraulic circuits and components at each wheel as a mechanical back-up. Such systems also enable the higher-performance, more precise pressure control needed to support higher levels of vehicle automation, where the braking system may need to respond to a computer-generated deceleration command rather than a human driver's pedal input.

12.3 Materials and Sustainability Considerations

Ongoing research into disc and pad materials, including the aluminium and composite disc alternatives to conventional cast iron studied by Sau and colleagues [8], continues to seek a better balance between thermal performance, weight, and cost. On the friction-material side, the industry-wide move away from asbestos-containing formulations toward the non-asbestos semi-metallic and ceramic composites studied by Thiyagarajan and colleagues [7] reflects both health and environmental regulation and a continued push for materials that combine thermal stability with lower particulate wear emissions, an area of growing environmental concern given that brake-wear particulates are now recognized as a source of airborne particulate matter independent of vehicle exhaust emissions. Looking ahead, the combination of more precise electro-hydraulic pressure control, improved friction and disc materials, and tighter integration with regenerative braking in electric vehicles is likely to define the next stage of hydraulic brake system development, even as the fundamental Pascal's Law relationship at the heart of the system, described in Section 4, remains unchanged.

13. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a structured design and performance analysis of the hydraulic brake system, beginning with the fundamental application of Pascal's Law to hydraulic force transmission and proceeding through the construction, major components, design equations, performance indicators, and thermal and hydraulic considerations that together determine how well a hydraulic brake system performs. The design equations derived in Section 7 show that braking torque, and ultimately vehicle deceleration and stopping distance, depend on a chain of interacting parameters, including master-cylinder and caliper piston areas, pedal ratio, friction coefficient, and effective disc or drum radius, each of which involves a genuine engineering trade-off between braking performance, pedal effort, component size, heat generation, and cost, rather than a single parameter that can be maximized in isolation.

The performance analysis and thermal and hydraulic considerations discussed in Sections 8 and 9 further show that the maximum deceleration a hydraulic brake system can usefully deliver is ultimately bounded by tyre-road friction rather than by the hydraulic components themselves, and that maintaining consistent performance under repeated or prolonged use depends heavily on effective heat dissipation and the maintenance of proper brake fluid condition. The comparative analysis of disc and drum brakes, and of conventional hydraulic braking against ABS-assisted braking, presented in Sections 6 and 12, reinforces that no single technology choice is universally superior; each represents a different point in the same underlying trade-off space between cost, complexity, thermal performance, and control precision.



For diploma and undergraduate mechanical engineering students, the hydraulic brake system offers an accessible and highly practical vehicle for applying fluid mechanics, tribology, thermal analysis, and vehicle dynamics concepts to a single, safety-critical mechanical system. As braking technology continues to evolve toward electro-hydraulic actuation and closer integration with regenerative braking and vehicle automation, the fundamental hydraulic relationships presented in this paper are expected to remain the essential starting point for understanding how a vehicle is brought safely to rest.

REFERENCES

- [1] Limpert, R.: Brake Design and Safety, 3rd edn. SAE International, Warrendale, PA (2011).
- [2] Bansal, R. K.: Fluid Mechanics and Hydraulic Machines. Laxmi Publications, New Delhi (2010).
- [3] Society of Automotive Engineers: SAE J1703 - Motor Vehicle Brake Fluid. SAE International, Warrendale, PA.
- [4] U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration: Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 116, Motor Vehicle Brake Fluids. 49 CFR 571.116.
- [5] Talati, F., Jalalifar, S.: Analysis of heat conduction in a disk brake system. *Heat and Mass Transfer*, 45(8), 1047-1059 (2009).
- [6] Tretsiak, D. V., Kliuzovich, S. V., Augsburg, K., Sendler, J., Ivanov, V. G.: Research in hydraulic brake components and operational factors influencing the hysteresis losses. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part D: Journal of Automobile Engineering*, 222(9), 1633-1640 (2008).
- [7] Thiagarajan, V., Kalaichelvan, K., Vijay, R., Singaravelu, D. L.: Influence of thermal conductivity and thermal stability on the fade and recovery characteristics of non-asbestos semi-metallic disc brake pad. *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering*, 38, 1207-1219 (2015).
- [8] Sau, S. K., Pulinat, K. G., Moss, P. N., Senthur Prabu, S.: A comparative study on the thermal and dynamic analysis of a disc brake using ANSYS. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 65, 3714-3723 (2022).
- [9] Belhocine, A., Bouchetara, M.: Investigation of temperature and thermal stress in ventilated disc brake based on 3D thermomechanical coupling model. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 4(3), 475-483 (2013).
- [10] Pretagostini, F., Ferranti, L., Berardo, G., Ivanov, V., Shyrokau, B.: Survey on wheel slip control design strategies, evaluation and application to antilock braking systems. *IEEE Access*, 8, 10951-10970 (2020).
- [11] Shiza, N., Kumar Singh, A.: A study on control strategies utilized for performance enhancement of antilock braking system. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 80(1), 128-133 (2023).
- [12] Zhao, X., Xiong, L., Zhuo, G., Tian, W., Li, J., Shu, Q., Zhao, X., Xu, G.: A review of one-box electro-hydraulic braking system: architecture, control, and application. *Sustainability*, 16(3), 1049 (2024).