



Smart Travel Sync: An Intelligent Travel Planning and Coordination System

Leemisha Wagh, Mehek Thakre, Diya Dorsetwar, Harshita Gajbhiye, P. S. Gotmare, Troshna Binkar.

¹²³⁴Department of CSE (Data Science), Guru Nanak Institute of Engineering and Technology, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

⁵Assistant Professor, Department of CSE (Data Science),
Guru Nanak Institute of Engineering and Technology, Nagpur, Maharashtra,
India

How to Cite this Article:

Wagh, L., Thakre, M., Dorsetwar, D., Gajbhiye, H. & Gotmare, P. S. (2026). Smart Travel Sync: An Intelligent Travel Planning and Coordination System. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, 2(10), 1-9.
<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i10.163>

License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i10.163>

Abstract

Travel planning often requires travelers to compare destinations, routes, timings, costs and activities across separate sources. When these decisions are handled independently, an itinerary may include unnecessary travel, overlapping activities or unrealistic schedules. This paper reviews relevant work on intelligent tourism recommender systems, personalized itinerary generation, public-transport data and digital route-planning services to establish the technical basis for Smart Travel Sync. The reviewed sources show that recommendation methods can help travelers select places according to their preferences, structured transit feeds can provide schedule and service information, and routing APIs can support the ordering of multiple stops. However, these capabilities are commonly described as separate functions. Smart Travel Sync is proposed as an integrated travel-planning and coordination system that considers user preferences, budget, available time, activity duration, route information and schedule feasibility in one workflow. The paper outlines the system methodology, proposed framework, functional modules, expected outcomes and practical limitations. Since the current work is presented at the functional-design level, numerical performance claims are reserved for future testing.

Keywords: Smart travel, itinerary planning, travel recommendation, route optimization, schedule synchronization, personalized tourism.

1. Introduction

Planning a trip involves more than choosing places to visit. Travelers may also need to consider opening hours, travel duration, transport options, budget, meal breaks and the total time available. When these decisions are made separately, the final plan can contain unnecessary journeys, waiting time or activities that overlap. An integrated planning process can help make an itinerary more practical and easier to follow.

Recommender systems are useful in tourism because travelers face a wide range of destinations and activities. Borràs, Moreno and Valls surveyed intelligent tourism recommender systems and discussed how recommendations can draw on user preferences, tourism resources and different presentation approaches [1]. This suggests that a travel-planning system should do more than list popular places; it should help connect recommendations with the practical decisions needed to visit them.

Smart Travel Sync treats a trip as one connected schedule. It combines traveler preferences with destination and route information to prepare a time-aware itinerary. Where suitable

data are available, structured transport information such as GTFS can also contribute to planning [2]. The main objective is to make travel planning more organized, personalized and adaptable while allowing the traveler to review and modify the suggested plan.

This paper reviews the relevant technical background, describes the proposed methodology and framework, and discusses the expected results, limitations and future directions of Smart Travel Sync.

2. Literature Review and Research Gap

2.1 Intelligent Tourism Recommender Systems

Borràs et al. [1] reviewed intelligent tourism recommender systems and described different approaches for recommending tourism resources. Their survey provides a broad foundation for systems that help users select destinations and activities based on their requirements. For Smart Travel Sync, this work supports the use of a recommendation module that considers user interests rather than relying only on popularity.[1]



A recommendation, however, is only one part of a useful travel plan. A destination may match a traveler's interests but still be unsuitable because of its cost, distance, operating hours or the time remaining in the day. The proposed system therefore considers recommendation as an input to a larger itinerary-planning process.[1]

2.2 Personalized Itinerary Generation

Esper et al. introduced +Tour, a system focused on recommending personalized itineraries for smart tourism. The work considers points of interest, tourist preferences and time-related constraints [3]. This is closely related to Smart

trip updates and vehicle positions when a compatible feed is available [2]. Such data can help a travel-planning system connect an itinerary with transport information rather than treating the route as fixed.[3]

Real-time information is not universally available for every transport provider or location. For this reason, Smart Travel Sync is designed to use updated transport information where supported and to avoid assuming that every journey can be replanned using live data.[3]

2.4 Digital Mapping and Route Optimization

Digital mapping services provide route and route-matrix capabilities that can support travel-time estimation between locations. The Google Maps Platform Routes API documents routing functions, while its waypoint-optimization capability can help determine a suitable order for multiple stops [4], [5]. These capabilities are relevant when a trip includes several attractions and the order of visits affects the overall journey.[4]

Travel Sync, where selected activities need to be arranged within the user's available time.[2]

The key implication is that itinerary generation should consider both the relevance of individual places and the feasibility of visiting them in sequence. Smart Travel Sync follows this principle by checking estimated visit duration and travel time between selected locations before presenting the final schedule.[2]

2.3 Structured Public-Transport Information

The General Transit Feed Specification (GTFS) provides a standard format for publishing public-transport schedules. GTFS Realtime can provide updates such as service alerts,

Route optimization alone does not guarantee that an itinerary is feasible. Opening hours, activity duration, budget and the traveler's preferences must also be considered. Smart Travel Sync aims to connect route calculations with schedule checking so that route order and available time are assessed together.[4]

2.5 Summary of the Research Gap

The reviewed sources address complementary parts of intelligent travel planning: recommendation, personalized itinerary generation, transit information and route calculation. These capabilities provide a useful foundation, but the sources considered here do not by themselves establish one complete workflow that connects all of them with schedule-conflict detection and user-controlled adjustments. Smart Travel Sync focuses on this integration. This is a design-oriented research gap identified from the selected sources, rather than a claim that no comparable system exists anywhere.[5]

Table 1 : Comparative Summary of Reviewed Sources

Source	Main focus	Relevance to Smart Travel Sync	Limitation / consideration
[1] Borràs et al.	Intelligent tourism recommender systems	Supports preference-based destination and activity recommendations	Survey-level background; does not alone provide the complete proposed workflow
[2] GTFS documentation	Standardized transit schedules and real-time updates	Can supply public-transport schedules, alerts and vehicle information when feeds are available	Coverage and freshness depend on the transport provider and feed
[3] Esper et al., +Tour	Personalized itinerary recommendation with time constraints	Supports itinerary generation based on interests, points of interest and available time	The proposed project still needs to validate its own end-to-end implementation
[4], [5] Google Maps Routes API documentation	Route calculation, route matrices and stop-order optimization	Supports distance/time estimation and multi-stop route planning	Depends on service availability, data quality and supported API functionality



3. Comparative Analysis

The reviewed sources highlight the importance of intelligent recommendation systems, real-time public transport information, personalized itinerary planning, and route optimization in developing an efficient travel management system. The study of existing solutions shows that platforms such as intelligent tourism recommenders [1], GTFS-based transit systems [2], personalized itinerary planning approaches [3], and Google Maps and routing APIs [4]

❖ Problem Identification

Travel planning involves several interconnected activities, including destination selection, public transport information, itinerary preparation, distance calculation, and route optimization. Although various digital platforms provide services for individual travel-planning activities, users may need to switch between multiple applications to gather information and organize their journeys efficiently. This fragmented process can increase planning effort and make it difficult to coordinate different aspects of a trip within a single interface.

The reviewed literature and existing travel technologies highlight several challenges in developing an integrated travel-planning system. First, destination selection can be difficult when travellers need recommendations that reflect their personal interests and preferences [1]. Second, obtaining reliable public transport schedules and real-time travel information depends on the availability and coverage of transport data [2]. Third, preparing a personalized itinerary requires the coordination of multiple points of interest, available travel time, and journey constraints [3]. Finally, determining suitable routes between multiple destinations requires accurate distance estimation, route calculation, and efficient ordering of travel stops [4].

Another important challenge is the integration of these functionalities into a unified system. When destination recommendations, transport information, itinerary generation, and route planning are handled separately, users may need to perform additional manual work to coordinate their travel activities. Furthermore, differences in external API availability, data quality, and service coverage can affect the consistency of the information presented to users.

Based on these observations, the primary problem identified is the lack of a unified and convenient travel-planning approach that brings together personalized destination recommendations, public transport information, itinerary planning, and route optimization. **Smart Travel Sync** aims to address this problem by integrating these functionalities into a single platform, with the objective of simplifying travel planning, reducing manual effort, and helping users organize their journeys more efficiently. The effectiveness of the

provide useful functionalities for improving the overall travel experience.

Intelligent recommendation systems [1] help users identify suitable destinations and activities based on their preferences, while GTFS-based transit systems [2] provide standardized public transport schedules and real-time updates where available.

proposed system will depend on successful integration, the quality of available travel information, and appropriate implementation and testing.

4. Research Gap

The reviewed sources indicate that existing travel technologies provide useful capabilities for individual travel-planning tasks. However, several opportunities remain for developing a more integrated and user-oriented travel management system.

- **Fragmented functionality:** Destination recommendation systems, public transport information services, itinerary-planning approaches, and route calculation APIs generally address different aspects of travel planning. Integrating these capabilities into a single, consistent workflow remains an important development opportunity.
- **Limited integration of personalized planning:** Destination recommendation approaches can help travellers identify relevant places, while itinerary-planning approaches organize visits according to user preferences and time constraints. A key opportunity is to connect destination selection directly with itinerary generation and subsequent route planning.
- **Dependence on transport data availability:** Public transport information systems can provide standardized schedules and real-time updates where supported. However, the completeness and reliability of transport information depend on the availability, coverage, and quality of data supplied by transport providers.
- **Coordination of multiple destinations:** Route calculation services provide distance estimates and route information, while multi-stop optimization can help organize visits more efficiently. A further opportunity is to integrate these capabilities with personalized itineraries so that the selected destinations and their travel sequence can be considered together.
- **Need for end-to-end evaluation:** Individual services may demonstrate the usefulness of



recommendation, transport-information, itinerary-planning, or routing functions. However, the effectiveness of an integrated travel-planning workflow must be evaluated separately through implementation and testing. Relevant measures may include itinerary relevance, route efficiency, response time, information reliability, and user satisfaction.

5. Methodology of the Present Literature Review

The present literature review follows a comparative qualitative approach to examine existing technologies and research related to intelligent travel planning. The review focuses on four major areas: intelligent tourism recommendation systems, public transport information using General Transit Feed Specification (GTFS), personalized itinerary recommendation, and mapping and routing services. These areas were selected because they represent the principal functional components relevant to the proposed Smart Travel Sync system.

The reviewed sources were examined using five common dimensions:

1. Problem addressed: The travel-planning challenge or user requirement targeted by each source.
2. Core functionality: The principal features provided, such as destination recommendations, transport schedules, itinerary generation, distance calculation, and route optimization.
3. Methods and technologies: The approaches or services used to support recommendations, transport information, itinerary planning, and route calculation.
4. Relevance to Smart Travel Sync: The potential contribution of each source to the design and functionality of the proposed system.
5. Limitations and implementation considerations: Factors such as data availability, service coverage,

API dependency, integration complexity, and the need for practical evaluation.

For comparative analysis, the reviewed sources were grouped according to their primary contribution to travel planning. Intelligent tourism recommendation systems were considered in terms of personalized destination and activity suggestions. GTFS-related sources were examined for standardized public transport schedules and the availability of real-time transit information. Personalized itinerary-planning approaches were considered for organizing points of interest according to user preferences and available time. Mapping and routing services were evaluated in terms of distance estimation, route calculation, and multi-stop route planning.

The findings from these categories were then compared to identify overlapping capabilities, differences in functionality, and limitations relevant to the proposed system. Particular attention was given to the extent to which individual capabilities could be integrated into a single travel-planning workflow.

6. Future Scope

Smart Travel Sync can be extended in several directions to improve its functionality, reliability, personalization, and practical usefulness. The following developments represent potential future enhancements that could be explored after the core system has been implemented and evaluated.

- **Advanced personalized recommendations:** Future versions could incorporate more detailed user preferences, travel interests, budget constraints, and previous choices to improve the relevance of destination and activity recommendations. Recommendation quality should be evaluated using appropriate user feedback and relevant performance measures.
- **Real-time transport integration:** The system could be extended to incorporate live public transport information, including service disruptions, delays, and updated arrival information, where supported by the relevant transport data providers. This would help users make better-informed travel decisions when conditions change.
- **Dynamic itinerary adjustment:** Future development could enable itineraries to be updated when users change their preferences, available travel time, or selected destinations. Where reliable live information is available, the system could also explore adjustments based on transport delays or changes in route conditions.
- **Advanced multi-stop route optimization:** The routing component could be enhanced to determine efficient visiting sequences for multiple destinations while considering travel distance, estimated journey time, opening hours, and user-defined constraints. The resulting routes could be compared with baseline routes to evaluate improvements in travel efficiency.
- **Budget-aware trip planning:** Additional functionality could estimate travel expenses using available information about transport fares, entry fees, and other relevant costs. This could help users organize trips according to their budget, provided that the underlying cost information is sufficiently accurate and up to date.
- **Improved data integration and reliability:** Future versions could support additional transport data sources, destination databases, and mapping



services. Appropriate error handling, data validation, caching, and fallback mechanisms could improve system reliability when external APIs are unavailable or return incomplete information.

- **Mobile-friendly implementation:** The platform could be adapted or extended for mobile devices to provide convenient access to itineraries, destination details, and route information while travelling. Additional features such as saved itineraries and location-aware guidance could be considered according to the system's technical requirements.
- **User feedback and performance evaluation:** Future testing could assess recommendation relevance, itinerary completion, route efficiency, response time, system reliability, and user satisfaction. Feedback collected during testing could help identify usability issues and guide subsequent improvements.
- **Accessibility and sustainable travel options:** Further development could consider accessible routes, walking requirements, public transport preferences, and lower-emission travel alternatives where reliable supporting data are available. This would allow the system to explore travel planning that considers factors beyond distance and journey time.

7. Conclusion

The reviewed literature provides a foundation for an integrated travel-planning system through intelligent tourism recommendations, personalized itinerary generation, structured transit data and digital route-planning services. Smart Travel Sync brings these ideas together in a proposed workflow that considers user preferences, route information and schedule feasibility. Its main purpose is not simply to suggest attractive places, but to help determine whether those places can practically fit within the traveler's available time, budget and preferences.

The proposed architecture separates user preferences, travel data, recommendation, routing, synchronization, alerts and user presentation into distinct modules, making the design easier to understand and extend. The current work establishes a functional design rather than validated performance results. Prototype implementation and systematic testing are therefore important next steps before drawing conclusions about its effectiveness in real travel situations.

References

- [1] J. Borràs, A. Moreno, and A. Valls, "Intelligent tourism recommender systems: A survey," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 41, no. 16, pp. 7370–7389, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2014.06.007.
- [2] General Transit Feed Specification, "Overview: GTFS Schedule and GTFS Realtime," GTFS Documentation.
- [3] J. P. Esper, L. de S. Fraga, A. C. Viana, K. V. Cardoso, and S. L. Correa, "+Tour: Recommending personalized itineraries for smart tourism," *Computer Networks*, vol. 260, art. 111118, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.comnet.2025.111118.
- [4] K. Otaki, T. Nakajima, and Y. Matsumoto, "Travel itinerary recommendation using interaction-based data augmentation," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 236, 2025.
- [5] Yadav, S., et al. (2025). JourneyJolt: AI-Powered Travel Planning. *International Journal of Recent Trends in Innovation*.
- [6] B. S. S. Miryalkar, H. Kalidindi, V. S. Mashetty, A. Moturi, and S. Sanapala, "Journey, Craft: Crafting a Smart Traveling Experience," in 2024 IEEE Xplore. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10673012>.
- [7] S. Sankar, N. Kumar, S. M. Dinesh, S. Abhishek, and A. T., "Intelligent Trip Planning with Integrated Street View: A Seamless AI-driven Approach," in 2023 IEEE Xplore. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10434354>[2].
- [8] Najmeh Neshat, Saeedeh Moayedfar Sustainable Tourism with Deep Learning Approaches," in 2021 *Journal of Eco-Friendly AI Travel*, [Online]. Available: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/19407963.2021>.
- [9] S. Renjith and A. Sreekumar, "A survey on context-aware recommender systems in tourism," *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 165, 2020.
- [10] S. Chen, Y. Sun, J. Zhang, and X. Li, "Itinerary planning via deep reinforcement learning," in *Proc. ACM Int. Conf. on Information and Knowledge Management*, 2020, pp. 115–124.
- [11] Sánchez, J., González, J., & Martínez, M. (2019). Faculty assignment models: Balancing preferences and student demand. *Computers & Education*, 136, 105-118.
- [12] Sena, M., Dhanalakshmi, G., & Babu, S. (2018). Optimizing student grouping using genetic algorithms *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 179(18), 29-34.