International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management
ISSN: 3108-1754 (Online)
Volume 02 Issue 11 November-2026 | Impact Factor: 3.5



Driving Growth Through Direct Selling: A Study of Herbalife's Global Marketing Strategy

Aastha Sharma

An Internship-based Case Study of the Herbalife Distributor Network, Pune, Maharashtra

How to Cite this Article:

Sharma, A. (2026). Driving Growth Through Direct Selling: A Study of Herbalife's Global Marketing Strategy. International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management, 02(11), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i11.127

License:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

© The Author(s). Published by International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management.





https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i11.127

Abstract

This paper examines how direct selling was implemented in a local Herbalife distributor network in Pune, Maharashtra, through an Internship placement undertaken from 6 July to 8 September 2026. The study connects prospecting, product presentation, customer interaction and follow-up with relationship marketing and sales-funnel concepts. It uses the descriptive, experiential evidence recorded in the Internship report, including indicative weekly figures of 50 contacts, 22 leads and approximately 10 customer conversions. These figures imply contact-to-lead, lead-to-customer and contact-to-customer rates of 44.0%, approximately 45.5% and 20.0%, respectively. They are activity estimates, not audited sales records or evidence of causal effects. Field observations identify students, working professionals and fitness-oriented customers as relevant segments. Price sensitivity, hesitation, competition, limited product awareness, lead quality and inconsistent responses to follow-up were recurring practical challenges. AIDA, the personal selling process and the marketing funnel provide complementary lenses for interpreting these observations, while relationship-marketing research explains why trust and continuity matter. The study's contribution is a bounded account of how a global direct-selling approach is enacted in one local setting; it does not generalise to Herbalife's global performance. Recommendations focus on lead qualification, segment-specific communication, educational digital content, structured follow-up and weekly KPI review.

Keywords

Direct selling; Herbalife; relationship marketing; personal selling; AIDA; sales funnel; lead generation; customer trust

Introduction and Research Gap

Direct selling relies on person-to-person contact to present products, understand customer needs, respond to concerns and maintain relationships beyond an initial transaction. In the nutrition and wellness category, this approach operates in an information-intensive environment. Prospective customers may compare brands, prices and alternative solutions, while asking questions about product characteristics, use and value. Consequently, a seller's task is not simply to secure attention; it is to provide relevant information and establish sufficient confidence for an informed decision. Digital channels can extend this process, but they do not necessarily replace the interpersonal work of listening, explanation and follow-up. This study is based on an Internship placement with the Herbalife Distributor Network in Pune, Maharashtra. The trainee worked as a Sales Executive and Lead Generator under distributor mentor Satyaketu Singh. The report records activities including prospecting, product presentation, calling, WhatsApp follow-up, social-media awareness, product demonstrations, wellness or product meetings and customer relationship activities. The training period is stated as 6 July–8 September 2026. The study's unit of analysis is the local distributor-level selling process, not Herbalife's worldwide operations. Corporate descriptions provide context only; observations about customers and sales activity refer to the Pune placement. The Internship report identifies students, working professionals and fitness customers as the main prospect segments. It records price objections, hesitation, competition, limited awareness, non-response and difficulty finding qualified leads. It also supplies indicative activity figures: 50



people contacted, 22 leads generated and about 10 customers converted per week. These figures enable a basic funnel description but do not reveal customer-level histories, lead sources, repeat purchases, margins or verified follow-up outcomes. The research gap is therefore contextual and modest. Relationship marketing and selling research explains trust, buyer–seller development and lead follow-up, while hierarchy-of-effects literature cautions against treating persuasion as a guaranteed linear sequence. However, the supplied Internship record does not provide a controlled CRM dataset or a detailed account of how these concepts appear together in a small Indian distributor setting. This paper addresses that practical evidence gap by mapping the recorded activities and indicative funnel measures to established frameworks. It does not claim that no prior research exists.

Literature Review

Relationship marketing shifts attention from isolated transactions to the development and maintenance of exchange relationships. Dwyer, Schurr, and Oh (1987) describe buyer–seller relationships as developing processes rather than disconnected purchases. Morgan and Hunt (1994) identify trust and relationship commitment as central mediating constructs in successful relationship marketing. These perspectives are relevant to direct selling because a distributor may remain the customer's principal point of contact for explanations, service and subsequent engagement. In a direct-selling context, Young and Albaum (2003) examine the measurement of trust in salesperson–customer relationships, underlining the importance of treating trust as a specific relational construct rather than assuming that contact alone creates it. Research by the same authors is indexed as 2003 in the publisher record; the Internship bibliography itself does not include these journal studies. Direct-selling evidence also suggests that trust should not be reduced to a generic claim of friendliness. A study of buyer–salesperson relationships in Hong Kong found that honesty was the trust dimension significantly associated with repurchase intention, although its setting and sample should not be assumed to represent Pune (Wang, 2017). Poon and Albaum (2019), comparing direct selling and internet marketing in China, report differences in forms of trust across channels but caution that trust measures did not straightforwardly predict consumer behaviour. Together, these studies support a careful interpretation: trust is commercially relevant, yet its effects depend on context and cannot be inferred from a small activity count. Lead management research adds a process perspective. Sabnis et al. (2013) examine sales representatives' follow-up of marketing leads and show that lead prequalification, tracking and salesperson factors shape whether leads receive attention. Their organisational setting differs from a local distributor, but the central implication is applicable as a question for practice: the number of leads is not enough unless the seller also records their relevance, readiness and next action. This aligns with the Internship report's recommendation for a lead tracker and its observation that not all contacts are equally qualified. AIDA—attention, interest, desire and action—offers a familiar hierarchy for describing persuasive communication. Barry and Howard's (1990) review of hierarchy-of-effects models, however, discusses debate about their evidential basis. AIDA is therefore used here as an organising heuristic, not as a validated causal law or a claim that every customer follows four fixed stages. The personal selling process complements it by identifying practical activities such as prospecting, approach, need identification, presentation, objection handling, closing and follow-up.

Research Objectives

The primary objective was to understand and apply direct-selling, lead-generation and customer-relationship techniques while examining how a global Herbalife marketing approach is implemented at a local distributor level. The specific objectives were to: (1) understand the distributor or Independent Associate role in the direct-selling model; (2) develop product-presentation, personal-selling and customer-communication skills; (3) generate and qualify leads and interpret contacts, leads and conversions through basic analytics; and (4) build customer relationships through follow-up, responsible digital communication and need-based engagement. These objectives reproduce the Internship report's stated aims and delimit the analysis.

Research Methodology

The study adopts a descriptive and experiential Internship case-study approach. It is not a survey-based study, experiment or statistically representative market investigation. The field setting was the Herbalife Distributor Network in Pune, Maharashtra, and the placement ran from 6 July to 8 September 2026. The trainee's designation was Sales Executive and Lead Generator. The distributor mentor was Satyaketu Singh; the academic mentor was Prof. Kasturi



Dutt. The geographic areas named in the report are Ramdaspeth, Jatharpeth, Old Pune and Kaulkhed. Primary information, as described in the report, came from field observations, customer interactions, follow-up activity and distributor guidance. Activities included identifying prospects, making initial and follow-up calls, sharing information through WhatsApp, supporting social-media awareness, presenting products, conducting or assisting demonstrations, participating in wellness/product meetings and maintaining customer relationships. Secondary information was used for company and sector context, while established marketing concepts informed interpretation. No confidential customer database, revenue, margin data or audited sales records were available. The activity indicators used for numerical analysis are 50 people contacted per week, 22 leads generated per week and approximately 10 customers converted. The report labels these as indicative Internship figures supplied for academic analysis. They are treated as a weekly illustrative set, not as a complete cumulative count for the entire placement. Contact-to-lead conversion is calculated as leads divided by contacts; lead-to-customer conversion as approximate conversions divided by leads; and overall contact-to-customer conversion as approximate conversions divided by contacts. Percentages are rounded to one decimal place where appropriate. Qualitative analysis organises reported observations into customer segments, objections, selling activities and challenges. AIDA, the personal selling process, STP, CRM and funnel logic are interpretive frameworks. No interviews were coded, no customer survey was administered, and no inferential statistical tests were performed. The report's appendices contain blank templates for daily logs, weekly summaries, lead tracking and customer feedback; these are proposed record-keeping instruments, not completed datasets. Ethical safeguards include omitting customer identities, respecting privacy, avoiding unsupported health claims and allowing prospects time to decide. The design is suitable for documenting learning and generating practical recommendations, but not for estimating causal effects or generalising to all.

Data Analysis and Results

The numerical results are derived from the report's indicative weekly activity set. Table 1 summarises the funnel.

Table 1. Indicative weekly sales funnel

Stage	Indicative count	Rate
People contacted	50	100.0% of contacts
Leads generated	22	44.0% of contacts
Approximate customers converted	10	45.5% of leads; 20.0% of contacts

Stage | Indicative count | Rate People contacted | 50 | 100.0% of contacts Leads generated | 22 | 44.0% of contacts Approximate customers converted | 10 | 45.5% of leads; 20.0% of contacts The contact-to-lead rate is 22 ÷ 50 × 100 = 44.0%. In the report's terms, this means that 22 of 50 contacts were identified as leads. It does not establish that all 22 were equally interested, affordable or ready to buy. Lead-to-customer conversion is approximately 10 ÷ 22 × 100 = 45.5%. Since the numerator is explicitly approximate, the percentage should also be treated as approximate. Overall contact-to-customer conversion is 10 ÷ 50 × 100 = 20.0%. These are descriptive ratios from the supplied activity indicators, not independently verified performance benchmarks. The funnel illustrates two separate management questions. First, prospecting activity creates opportunities, but contact volume alone does not indicate whether the people reached fit the product category or have a genuine need. Second, the gap between leads and reported conversions makes qualification and follow-up relevant areas for review. The available data do not identify how many leads received demonstrations, how often each prospect was contacted, the time between contact and purchase, or the source of each lead. It is therefore not possible to attribute the reported conversions to WhatsApp, face-to-face meetings, demonstrations or any single selling technique. The report identifies three broad customer segments. Students were described as budget-conscious and peer-influenced, with interests in fitness and wellness. Working professionals were associated with time constraints and convenience needs. Fitness customers showed greater interest in protein, sports and performance-related information. These are qualitative observations, not quantified segment shares or measured differences in conversion. Their practical value is to guide need-based questions and message relevance rather than to stereotype individual customers. The objection record identifies price, hesitation, competition, low awareness, non-response and difficulty locating qualified leads. Price objections were linked to comparison with alternatives; the report recommends explaining value without pressure. Hesitation was associated with a need for trust



or further information, suggesting listening and clarification. Competition reflected the availability of multiple brands and substitutes, making relevant service and accurate information potential points of differentiation. Low awareness called for simple educational explanations. Non-response could reflect timing or low priority, although the report did not measure the reasons. Lead-generation difficulty supports using referrals, social media, field interactions and community activities as multiple prospecting sources. Qualitative observations further indicate that personal interaction created opportunities to understand needs, demonstrations made product information more tangible, and digital communication helped maintain contact between meetings. Follow-up was often required before.

Discussion and Findings

The findings suggest that local direct selling in this case involved a sequence of prospecting, need identification, explanation, objection handling and follow-up. The personal selling process describes these tasks at the level of the seller's work. AIDA offers a complementary view: initial calls, introductions and social content can attract attention; product explanations may develop interest; need-based discussions and demonstrations may help prospects assess relevance; and a purchase decision represents action. Yet the stages are not necessarily linear. A price objection or unanswered question can return a prospect to information seeking, while some contacts may never develop beyond awareness. Barry and Howard's (1990) critique is a reason to use AIDA cautiously rather than to assume a predictable psychological sequence. The funnel figures show that 22 of 50 contacts were classified as leads and approximately 10 of those leads were reported as converted customers. The 44.0% and 45.5% rates provide a basic operational snapshot, but they do not measure lead quality, profitability, repeat purchase or customer retention. Sabnis et al. (2013) show why prequalification and follow-up tracking merit attention: lead volume without process discipline can obscure which opportunities receive meaningful attention. A local tracker recording segment, need, source, status, last contact and next action would make the Pune process more observable. Trust is a second central finding. The Internship report describes hesitation, questions and the need for accurate product information. Relationship-marketing theory places trust and commitment at the centre of sustained exchanges (Morgan & Hunt, 1994), while direct-selling studies show that trust is context-specific and should not be treated as an automatic outcome of personal contact (Poon & Albaum, 2019; Wang, 2017). The practical implication is to communicate honestly, avoid unsupported health claims, respect customer choice and provide follow-up that responds to the prospect's stated concern. Competition and price sensitivity also shape the value conversation. The report records comparisons with alternatives and the need to explain product or service value without pressure. The supplied Internship document does not record a specific objection about paid courses, certification programmes or free certification providers.

Recommendations and Limitations

The local distributor should maintain one lead tracker recording source, segment, need, status, last interaction and next action. Weekly review should distinguish new contacts, qualified leads, demonstrations, purchases, pending follow-ups, repeat customers and referrals. Communication should be adapted to students' budget concerns, professionals' convenience needs and fitness customers' information requirements, without unsupported outcome claims. Short educational social-media posts and FAQs can support awareness, while calls and meetings provide space for individual questions. Follow-up should be timely, respectful and based on readiness rather than repetitive pressure. The study is limited to one distributor context and a short Internship period. Activity figures are indicative, approximate and unaudited; no completed CRM extract, customer survey, interview transcript, revenue data or longitudinal retention measure is available. Segment and objection findings are qualitative. The study cannot test causality, compare channels statistically or generalise to Herbalife globally. The blank appendices are templates rather than evidence of completed.

Conclusion

This Internship case shows how direct selling was practised through prospecting, product presentation, customer interaction, digital communication and relationship follow-up in one Herbalife distributor network in Pune. The indicative weekly funnel—50 contacts, 22 leads and approximately 10 conversions—produces descriptive rates of 44.0%, 45.5% and 20.0%. These measures make activity visible but do not establish lead quality, profitability or causal effects. AIDA, personal selling and funnel analysis help organise the process, while relationship-marketing



research explains the importance of trust and continuity. The practical priorities are structured lead qualification, segment-sensitive explanations, accurate information, respectful follow-up and regular KPI review. Further research should use complete dated CRM records, defined conversion windows and customer feedback to test how lead source, follow-up and perceived value relate to outcomes. The conclusions remain specific to the recorded local placement.

References

Barry, T. E., & Howard, D. J. (1990). A review and critique of the hierarchy of effects in advertising. International Journal of Advertising, 9(2), 121–135. https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.1990.11107138

Dwyer, F. R., Schurr, P. H., & Oh, S. (1987). Developing buyer-seller relationships. Journal of Marketing, 51(2), 11–27. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224298705100202

Morgan, R. M., & Hunt, S. D. (1994). The commitment-trust theory of relationship marketing. Journal of Marketing, 58(3), 20–38. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299405800302

Poon, P., & Albaum, G. (2019). Consumer trust in internet marketing and direct selling in China. Journal of Relationship Marketing, 18(3), 216–232. https://doi.org/10.1080/15332667.2019.1589244

Sabnis, G., Chatterjee, S. C., Grewal, R., & Lilien, G. L. (2013). The sales lead black hole: On sales reps' follow-up of marketing leads. Journal of Marketing, 77(1), 52–67. https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.10.0047

Young, L., & Albaum, G. (2003). Measurement of trust in salesperson-customer relationships in direct selling. Journal of Personal Selling & Sales Management, 23(3), 253–269. https://doi.org/10.1080/08853134.2003.10749002

Wang, J.-S. (2017). Exploring risks, advantages and interpersonal trust in buyer-salesperson relationships in direct selling in a non-western country. International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 45(3), 328–342. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-08-2016-0124